

# RCST Significant Event / Repeat MOWD Report

Report Date: 10/25/07

Period: 10/14/07 – 10/20/07

MOWD Evaluations this period:	3
MOWD Evaluations for real-time commitments:	0
MOWD Evaluations for day-ahead commitments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mandalay Unit 1</li> <li>• Mandalay Unit 2</li> <li>• Ormond Beach Unit 2</li> </ul>	3
Evaluations involving commitments for system reliability:	
Evaluations involving commitments for zonal reliability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ormond Beach Unit 2 (Committed 7/22/07 and 7/25/07)</li> </ul>	
Evaluations involving commitments for local reliability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mandalay Unit 1 (Committed 10/12/07 – 10/15/07)</li> <li>• Mandalay Unit 2 (Committed 10/13/07 – 10/16/07)</li> <li>• Ormond Beach Unit 2 (Committed 10/15/07 and 10/16/07)</li> </ul>	

## Evaluation

During the reporting period, three MOWD Evaluations were conducted, all for units committed in the day-ahead timeframe.

### *Determination of commitment sequence and availability of resources*

The evaluation included day-ahead commitments for zonal (SP26) and for local energy purposes. The CAISO has verified that all applicable RA or RMR units were already committed on-line before MOWDs for non-RA or non-RMR resources were committed.

### *Significant Event determination*

#### *Zonal*

Of the day-ahead commitments, Ormond Beach Unit 2 was committed for zonal purposes on two different days (7/22/07 and 7/25/07). The commitment on 7/22/07 was a continuation of a series of commitments starting on 7/19. As noted in a previous

## RCST Significant Event / Repeat MOWD Report

report<sup>1</sup>, the time period covering this commitment has been evaluated and it was determined that a designation of resources was not required under the RCST tariff.

In review of 7/25 it was determined that the CAISO faced additional capacity needs of up to 600 MW beyond what was scheduled in the Day Ahead market, based on CAISO day-ahead forecasts. Although RA capacity was not exhausted, the CAISO committed Ormond Beach Unit 2 instead of off-line RA units because the RA units could not be started up in time for 7/25 and Ormond Beach Unit 2 was already on-line on the 24th due to self-commitment.

The CAISO is not declaring the conditions leading to this commitment as a Significant Event that requires an RCST designation because there was no material difference in ISO Controlled Grid operations relative to what was assumed in developing the LARN Report. The real-time loads did not exceed the assumptions. The actual loads were lower than the CEC forecast and the CAISO forecast, and RA demonstrations exceeded the actual loads. Also, capacity was procured for a zonal reason not a local area. Finally, there was no evidence showing that the event was enduring in nature so as to necessitate a prospective designation of resources under the RCST Tariff.

### *Local*

All ten MOWD commitments occurring in October were for local energy purposes. Mandalay unit 1 was committed from 10/12 – 10/15, Mandalay unit 2 from 10/13/07 – 10/16/07, and Ormond Beach unit 2 on 10/15/07 and 10/16/07. On all days, the units were required by the CAISO to maintain reliability in the LA basin, as noted in last week's report<sup>2</sup>.

As previously discussed, the CAISO is not declaring these local commitments as a Significant Event that requires RCST designation because there is no evidence showing that the planned event would be enduring in nature, thereby necessitating a prospective designation of capacity. The maintenance was completed on October 18.

---

<sup>1</sup> Please refer to RCST Significant Event / Repeat MOWD Report for the time period 7/15/07 to 7/21/07.

<sup>2</sup> Please refer to RCST Significant Event / Repeat MOWD Report for the time period 10/7/07 to 10/13/07.

# RCST Significant Event / Repeat MOWD Report

As required per section 40.15.4 of the tariff<sup>3</sup>, this report is signed by the CAISO Vice President of Operations.

Signed,

---

(original signed by)  
Jim Detmers  
Vice President of Operations  
California ISO

## Report purpose / Applicable tariff sections / Definitions

Please refer to the **Significant Event / Repeat MOWD Report Purpose** document by using the CAISO website URL below.

<http://www.caiso.com/1bed/1bed75c84d240.pdf>

---

<sup>3</sup> In the event that the ISO denies a must-offer waiver request for local or system reasons that do not constitute a Significant Event or is not due to a Resource Adequacy Resource non-performance, the report shall include an explanation for such issuance and shall be signed by the ISO's Vice President of Operations.