

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

California Independent System)
Operator Corporation) Docket No. ER98-1499-001
)
)

**ANSWER OF THE
CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION
TO MOTIONS TO INTERVENE AND PROTEST**

Pursuant to Rule 213 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.213 (1997), the California Independent System Operator Corporation ("ISO") submits this answer to the motions to intervene submitted in this docket. As stated herein, the ISO does not oppose any of the interventions.

I. BACKGROUND

On June 1, 1998, the ISO submitted a compliance filing amending the ISO Tariff (including the ISO Protocols), the ISO Code of Conduct, the Transmission Control Agreement, certain of the ISO's pro forma operating agreements, and certain of the ISO's bilateral operating agreements.¹ Included in the June 1, 1998, compliance filing were amendments to the pro forma and bilateral Meter

¹ Capitalized terms used herein and not defined are used with the meanings given in the Master Definitions Supplement, Appendix A to the ISO Tariff.

Service Agreements for ISO Metered Entities and Meter Service Agreement for Schedule Coordinators (“MSAs”).²

The Commission noticed the ISO’s filing on June 9, 1998, with interventions and protests due by August 5, 1998. The Commission specified that comments related to the pro forma and bilateral MSAs were to be submitted in Docket No. ER98-1499-001.

Interventions were filed by four parties: the Western Area Power Administration (“WAPA”), the California Department of Water Resources, Enron Power Marketing, Inc., and CalEnergy Company, Inc. Only WAPA raised a substantive issue concerning metering and that issue was directed at a provision of the ISO Tariff, not the MSA.³ By order dated August 14, 1998, the Commission granted the ISO’s motion for an extension of time to respond to all of the interventions in the various compliance dockets, including this matter.

II. DISCUSSION

The ISO does not oppose the intervention of any of the parties that have moved to intervene in this proceeding. Given that no party has raised a substantive issue concerning the compliance filing with respect to the MSAs, the ISO respectfully requests that the Commission approve the amendments filed by the ISO.

III. CONCLUSION

² By orders dated March 12, 1998, California Independent System Operator Corporation, 82 FERC ¶ 61,252, March 30, 1998, California Independent System Operator Corporation, 82 FERC ¶ 61,325, and April 21, 1998 (unpublished letter order in Docket No. ER98-2264-000), the Commission conditionally accepted the MSAs for filing. The Commission directed the ISO to revise the MSAs to conform to the order issued December 17, 1997, Pacific Gas and Electric Co. et al., 81 FERC ¶ 61,320 (1997). 82 FERC at 62,012 and 62,275. The amendments were to be filed within 60 days of the start of ISO operations. Id.

³ See WAPA Protest at 12.

Wherefore, for the reasons stated herein, the ISO respectfully requests that the motions to intervene be granted and that the Commission accept, without modification, the ISO's compliance filings of the pro forma and bilateral MSAs.

Respectfully submitted,

N. Beth Emery
Vice President and General Counsel
Roger E. Smith
Regulatory Counsel
The California Independent
System Operator Corporation
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630
(916) 351-4400

Edward Berlin
Kenneth G. Jaffe
David B. Rubin
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP
3000 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20007-3851
(202) 424-7500

Dated: September 3, 1998

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify I have this day served this document upon each person designated on the official service list compiled by the Secretary in this docket in accordance with the requirements of Rule 2010 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. ¶ 385.2010).

Dated at Washington, D.C. on this 3rd day of September, 1998.

David B. Rubin

September 3, 1998

The Honorable David P. Boergers
Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20426

**Re: California Independent System Operator Corporation
Docket No. ER98-1499-001**

Dear Secretary Boergers:

Enclosed is an original and fourteen copies of the Answer of the California Independent System Operator Corporation To Motions To Intervene and Protest in the above-captioned docket.

Also enclosed is an extra copy of the filing to be time/date stamped and returned to us by the messenger. Thank you for your assistance.

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth G. Jaffe
David B. Rubin
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP
3000 K Street, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20007

Counsel for the California
Independent System Operator

Enclosures
cc: Service List

