

**CALIFORNIA ISO**  
**REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS**  
**BEFORE THE**  
**FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

## Contents

- I. SUMMARY OF RECENT DECISIONS
- II. SUMMARY OF RECENT FILINGS
- III. SUMMARY OF UPCOMING EVENTS
- IV. SUMMARY OF MATTERS SET FOR HEARING
- V. SUMMARY OF MATTERS ON REHEARING & APPEAL
- VI. COMPLAINTS
- VII. STATUS OF ISO FERC FILINGS
  - A. Tariff & Protocol Filings
  - B. Reliability and Must Run Agreements
  - C. Transmission and Distribution Access Rates
  - D. Utility Pass-Through of GMC and PX Charge
- VIII. OTHER FERC MATTERS IN WHICH THE ISO IS PARTICIPATING
- IX. RULEMAKINGS

## I. SUMMARY OF RECENT DECISIONS

- In an Order issued 8/2/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,156) FERC acted on the rehearing requests regarding Amendment No. 9. FERC granted the ISO's request to postpone FTR implementation deadlines due to Y2K concerns. The ISO may conduct its initial FTR auction to permit release effective February 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001. The reports of the ISO and the Market Surveillance Committee, formerly due October 1, 1999, will now be due December 1, 2000. The Commission denied the Intervenor's request for rehearing on the availability of physical transmission rights, stating that "properly designed financial rights" can be as effective as firm physical transmission rights, as long as the ISO has the ability to manage congestion efficiently. FERC denied requests for clarification that the ISO provide for FTRs that last for at least twenty years, on the one hand, and requests that the ISO not make any decision on long-term FTRs until there has been time to analyze market performance, on the other. The Commission expressed itself satisfied with the current plan, which will provide for FTR's lasting one year, while leaving the requirement that the ISO report on progress towards making longer-term FTRs available unchanged apart from the date the report will be due (December 1, 2000). The Commission denied the intervenors' request for rehearing on treatment of revenues for counter scheduling, stating such transactions need to be compensated appropriately, as the ISO Tariff currently provides. FERC granted the ISO's request to determine available capacity using a 99.5 percent historic capacity availability standard, as it was "satisfied...that the ISO is taking a conservative approach" which considers the possible harms which would result if the ISO released too much or too little capacity. The Commission directed the ISO to continue to review its methodology, to determine whether a more definite measure of available capacity can be developed, and to address its progress in this regard in the report. The Commission denied requests for rehearing on the desirability of creating new congestion zones before FTRs expire, treated in Tariff Section 9.2.2.1. As it did in the May 3 order, FERC again delayed providing guidance on issues related to secondary market transactions. The Commission also directed the ISO to modify its tariff to include the clarification that "any Participating Transmission Owner that has no transmission customers need not develop a Transmission Revenue Balancing Account, a Transmission Revenue Requirement, nor an Access Charge."
- Order issued 8/3/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,164) conditionally accepting PG&E's interconnection agreement with Laguna.
- Order issued 8/5/99 granting the Oversight Board's Petition for Declaratory Order. FERC grants petition concluding that SB 96 prescribes an interim role for the Oversight Board that would be consistent with jurisdictional guidance given by the Commission in its prior orders and that appropriately would be reevaluated at such time that another state joins the ISO.
- Order issued 8/10/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,212) the Commission conditionally accepts SDG&E's market-based rate tariff. SDG&E commits to sell its remaining generation and QF contract capacity to the PX and only sell outside the PX power acquired through power purchase contracts.

- Order issued 8/13/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,177) the Commission accepts PJM's proposed amendments to assess Operating Reserves charge on all bilateral export transactions except those that are dynamically scheduled and to establish procedures for market participants to deny the automatic backup service.
- Order issued 8/16/99 the Commission conditionally accepted Amendment No. 17. The revisions concerned: (1) an extension of the current payment calendar; (2) a pro forma PLA; (3) a revised outage coordination protocol; (4) the recovery of WSCC fines; (5) the recovery of costs for communications services; (6) REPA allocation; (7) dispatch instructions; and (8) the broadening of financial instruments with which Scheduling Coordinators can establish their creditworthiness. The ISO is to complete an evaluation of its payment calendar.
- Order issued 8/30/99 the Commission accepted the revisions which ISO New England, Inc. proposed with regard to the NEPOOL market rule by which the clearing price in energy markets is established. The proposal was to preclude hydroelectric and pumped storage generators from setting the energy clearing price in order to eliminate the need to revise energy prices after they are initially posted.
- Order issued 9/1/99 accepting Amendment No. 20.
- Order issued 9/1/99 (88 FERC ¶ 63,007) Initial Decision on non-rate terms and conditions of TO Tariffs. Judge Grossman's conclusions include: (1) that, while a pro forma tariff would be appropriate, he did not require adoption of one at this time; (2) the TRBAA should not include shortfall or surpluses resulting between transmission losses and ancillary service payments associated with existing contracts and those under the ISO tariff; (3) neutrality and UFE should not be included in the TRBAA; (4) it was not unduly discriminatory for entities such as Vernon to have to take service under two tariffs; (5) that customers should not be given the right to own direct assignment facilities; and (6) Edison's load shedding provisions were reasonable. The Judge also rejected Enron's request for: (1) a pro forma WDT, (2) a distribution-level OASIS, (3) an assignment right for distribution service, and (4) to have unbundled metering and billing available under the WDT. With respect to Enron's request that the WDTs should offer "distribution-only" service, the Judge concluded that such service would unjustly permit a customer to avoid its share of the costs associated with the construction, maintenance and operation of the ISO Grid, and that distribution-only service would have significant effects on the ISO Grid.
- Order issued 9/3/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,208) - Commission accepts revisions to TO tariffs to implement FTRs.
- Final Rule issued 9/15/99 (Order No. 607) – The Commission revised its rules concerning off-the-record communications between persons outside the Commission on one side, and Commissioners and other decision-making employees on the other. The new rules define off-the-record communications; establish guidelines for when such communications are to be required, permitted, and prohibited; and detail how public notice and disclosure of prohibited communications are to occur.

- Order issued 9/15/99 - Commission approves Temporary Extraordinary Procedures and a Cutover Plan for the NY ISO. Similar to NEPOOL Rule 15 these are designed to provide protection during first 90 days.
- Order issued 9/15/99 – The Commission rejected the proposed Amendment No. 19 to the ISO Tariff regarding the new generation interconnection policy. The California ISO was directed to reconvene its stakeholder process to redesign its new generation interconnection policy. The Commission granted the ISO's request for an extension of time to file a report evaluating zone creation.
- Order issued 9/15/99 – The Commission approved the agreement on governance structure filed by the Member Systems of the NYPP, to be effective the date the New York ISO becomes operational. The Commission also required the Member Systems to file a report on the status of the governance of the New York ISO. Requests for rehearing concerning the proposed governance structure were denied.
- 9/15/99 - Staff notice of pilot project for electronic filing.
- Order issued 9/16/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,231) – The Commission conditionally accepted for filing the Interconnection Agreement between PG&E and Fresno Irrigation District, without hearing or suspension, to become effective on the date Fresno initiates service. The condition was that, before PG&E would be allowed to assess any charges under the Interconnection Agreement, it would first have to make a filing pursuant to section 205 of the FPA with the appropriate cost support. Fresno's application for interconnection in Docket No. EL99-50-000 was dismissed as moot. The Commission also clarified that acceptance of the IA was not a determination concerning Fresno's entitlement to service under any Tariff or agreement.
- Letter order issued 9/17/99 accepting Amendment No. 9 Compliance filing
- Order issued 9/17/99 – The Commission accepted the compliance filing tendered by the California PX, with the requirement that the PX modify its Block-Forward Market rate schedule to clarify and support which cost allocation methodology is applicable to each of its cost categories.
- Order issued 9/17/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,250) – The Commission granted the request of the California PX to terminate the requirement that the PX submit status reports every 60 days concerning the progress of the stakeholder process, with regard to certain settlement and billing issues. The Commission also required the PX to inform the FERC how it proposes to implement the resolution of those issues once they have been resolved.
- Order issued 9/17/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,253) – The Commission granted the request of the New York ISO for a limited waiver of the OASIS Phase IA requirements on information used to reserve transmission capacity, to become effective upon operation of the New York ISO.

- Order issued 9/17/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,254) – The Commission established further procedures, to consider additional evidence and arguments, regarding the appropriate rate of return on common equity for SoCal Edison’s proposed TO Tariff and Wholesale Distribution Access Tariff.
- Order issued 9/21/99 - the Commission denied ECI’s request for rehearing of the Commission’s approval of the PJM Interconnection market monitoring unit’s plan. ECI had objected to the requirement that bid and other data would be made public after 6 months. The requirement does not require disclosure of specific names but would allow someone to track bidding behavior by individual bidder.
- Letter Order issued 9/23/99 accepting RPTO Compliance filing
- Order issued 9/30/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,305) – The Commission granted the motion for expedited clarification filed by Coral Power, Dynegy Power Marketing, Enron Power Marketing, and Tractabel Energy Marketing; the Commission clarified what mandatory back-up procedures are to be undertaken in the event of an OASIS communications equipment breakdown.
- Order issued 9/30/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,330) – The Commission denied requests for rehearing, with regard to the confidential treatment that various petitioners had sought concerning certain information in their Form 1s.
- Order issued 9/30/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,306) - the Commission accepts for filing and establishes a hearing regarding the New York Power Pool member system’s filing to revise 38 existing transmission agreements to conform with scheduling, ancillary service, and loss provisions of NY ISO tariff.
- Order issued 9/30/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,304) – The Commission conditionally accepted for filing the temporary (2 month) emergency rule implementing a Load Response Program tendered by ISO New England, Inc. The rule encouraged the amount of interruptible load available during capacity shortages by paying \$8/kW of average hourly load reduction over the duration of the interruption paid by a market benefits charge by those who benefit in the form of reduced purchases and operable capability requirements.
- Order issued 9/30/99 – The Commission accepted for filing the revisions that ISO New England, Inc. had submitted concerning NEPOOL Market Rules 1 and 10, which govern the NEPOOL Operable Capability Market. The proposal addressed flaws in the Operable Capability Market and permits the ISO to cap prices at five times the average of the three highest hourly market clearing prices in the previous 30 days. The changes are temporary pending a comprehensive market redesign filing by December 31, 1999.
- Order issued 10/4/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,009) – the Commission authorized SoCal Edison’s proposed sale of jurisdictional metering facilities to the City of Anaheim. The Commission also accepted for filing, without hearing or suspension, SoCal Edison’s proposed amendment to its existing Interconnection Agreement with the City to reflect the change in ownership of the metering facilities.

- Order issued 10/4/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,008) - the Commission rejects a complaint by Old Dominion Electric Cooperative alleging that PJM had improperly increased energy prices by permitting utilities with units designated as Capacity Resources to make out of PJM sales during peak times. Under the PJM Operating Agreement, parties are free to sell out of the area subject to recall only in the event of an operating emergency.
- Letter order issued 10/8/99 - Commission accepts Amendment No. 14 Compliance filing
- Order issued 10/13/99 - Commission accepts the PX Tariff simplification for filing and establishes proceedings before a settlement judge.
- Order issued 10/13/99 - the Commission accepted for filing and set for hearing the proposal of the New York ISO to recover start-up costs in Docket No. ER99-4235.
- Order issued 10/28/99 - regarding Member Systems of NY ISO a proposal to conduct an Installed Capacity (ICAP) auction, on an interim basis, for the New York ISO market. Later, the applicants announced that the interim auction would not occur, but requested that the Commission provide guidance for designing a permanent auction in the future. The Commission did not accept or reject the auction proposal before it, but instead directed the applicants to conform any future ICAP auction proposal to the following findings: (1) the Commission expected market mitigation measures, which had already been filed, to be in place before the permanent auction began; (2) the New York ISO was not required to provide further clarification regarding the details of its installed capacity requirements. The Commission reasoned that such issues were not related to the auction and so were beyond the scope of the proceeding; (3) the Commission instructed the New York ISO to clarify how its market clearing prices are determined, because the ISO's description was confusing and incomplete. The Commission also directed the ISO to explain and support adequately its definition of market clearing price in its filing to implement the permanent auction (citing as support *Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Maryland Interconnection*, 81 FERC ¶ 61,257, at 62,267 (1998), *reh'g pending*); (4) the proposed ICAP auction rules followed the procedures described in *ConEd*, in requiring that all offers by the owners of generation originating inside New York City be properly capped at \$105/kW/year and at 1/12 of that rate for the monthly rate; (5) the Commission ordered the New York ISO to revise its filing for the permanent auction to permit any entity to participate (citing as support *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 86 FERC ¶ 61,017, at 61,044 (1999)). Participation in the auction should not be limited to Load Serving Entities (LSEs), as required under the proposed auction rules. The New York ISO had provided no explanation in support of the limitation; and (6) the New York ISO's standard bid and offer forms were deemed acceptable, because those forms simply provided a standard format for submitting binding bids to purchase or offers to sell capacity. In addition, the Commission encouraged the New York ISO to address the intervenors' concerns and questions prior to filing its permanent auction proposal.
- Order issued 10/15/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,048) - Commission conditionally accepts compliance filing - ISO directed to modify the tariff to include the operating procedure used to manage intra-zonal congestion.
- Letter Order issued 10/27/99 - Commission accepts compliance filing on Amendment No. 17.

- Order issued 10/27/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,081) Commission accepts PG&E TO 4 Tariff for filing suspends the rate for five months until 4/1/00 and establishes a hearing.
- Order issued 10/28/99 - the Commission rejected the compliance filing by the Member Systems of the New York ISO in response to the Commission's 6/30/99 Order because it included more than compliance issues and non-substantive and corrective changes.
- Order issued 11/1/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,134) - the Commission rejected the rehearing requests of the Coalition of New Market Participants and the Western Power Trading Forum to the 8/5/99 Order granting the Oversight Board's request for a declaratory order regarding SB 96.
- Order issued 11/1/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,137), Commission accepts PX compliance filing regarding distribution of sanction revenues.
- Order issued 11/1/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,130), The Commission accepted for filing, without suspension or hearing, the proposed Generation Aggregation Tariffs GATs filed by Nevada Power. In those GATs, Nevada Power proposed that a price cap of \$250/MWh, which is equal to the California PX's purchase price cap for the real-time energy imbalance market, should apply to sales from the generating units that Nevada Power planned to divest. The cap also includes an adjustment mechanism that allows the cap to be lowered if a generation owner is able to recover significantly higher prices than the California PX price South of Path 15 (SP 15) for an extended period of time, but then returned to the \$250/MWh level once actual revenues have been shown to be a certain amount lower than the PX revenues over a span of time. The Commission approved the price cap as "a reasonable mitigation measure for sales made in the presence of constraints in Nevada, given that there is, as yet, no competitive market for power in Nevada." The Commission determined that it was reasonable to base the price cap on the real-time energy imbalance purchase price cap and the market price of power in the adjacent California markets. It found the circumstances in Nevada similar to those described in *Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.*, 84 FERC ¶ 61,287 (1998), in which the Commission also accepted a cost-based price cap as a market mitigation measure. However, the Commission expressed misgivings about price caps in general, stating that if a price cap is set too high, it will increase the cost of power unnecessarily and might result in the unnecessary construction of new generation, or in the building of generation in situations where building new transmission would be the more sensible course. On the other hand, the Commission was concerned that if a price cap is set too low, the new owners of the divested generation will lose revenues from that generation which, in turn, will ultimately result in higher prices in the form of higher stranded costs. Accordingly, the Commission stated that it would consider future modifications to the price cap proposed by any interested parties.
- Order issued 11/1/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,133) - Commission granted the request for clarification and/or rehearing of the Cal. PX and denied to requests of PG&E, SDG&E, and SCE regarding assessment of FERC annual charges. Because of the need to collect annual charges in advance, the Commission stated it "will not assess annual charges against PXs, whether operated by an ISO or operating as a separate entity, or against ISOs, until such time as [FERC has] completed [its] review of [its] annual charges assessments with respect to RTOs, ISO, and PXs, and also other

market participants.” FERC did not address the California companies concerns regarding the assessment of annual charges with respect to unbundled retail transmission.

## II. SUMMARY OF RECENT FILINGS

- September 1, 1999 - Motion for Clarification and in the Alternative Rehearing on Amendment No. 9
- September 3, 1999 - Staging Plan No. 7.  
Status Report on MSA Settlement
- September 7, 1999 - Motion to Intervene in PX Docket No. ER99-4113
- September 9, 1999 - Notice to Market Participants Regarding Effective Date for Amendment No. 14 element - Inter SC Trades
- September 10, 1999 - MSA with AES Placerita, Inc.  
Offer of Settlement in MSA Proceeding, Docket No. ER98-1499-000, et al.
- September 13, 1999 - Answer to Joint Request for Clarification regarding PJM Docket No. EL98-71
- September 13, 1999 - Motion to Intervene in Sierra Pacific Power EL99-85
- September 15, 1999 - Amendment No. 17 Compliance filing  
MSA with Coral Power
- September 17, 1999 - Amendment No. 21
- September 22, 1999 - Motion to Intervene in PG&E Docket No. ER99-4323
- September 24, 1999 - Answer to Modesto in ER99-1971  
Answer in opposition to motion to shorten response time in Docket No. ER98-441  
Application of Carolyn Kehrein to hold interlocking positions
- September 27, 1999 - Notice of Implementation of payment calendar changes approved in Amendment No. 17
- September 28, 1999 - Answer to Sierra Pacific Power in Docket No. ER99-3719

- October 1, 1999 - Answer to DWR in Docket No. ER98-3594
- October 4, 1999 - Amendment No. 22
- October 7, 1999 - Answer to Complaint and request for Investigation by Turlock and Modesto
- October 8, 1999 - Answer to Motion to Compel Discovery in PG&E TO Case  
Motion to Intervene in PG&E Docket No. EL99-91-000  
Motion to Intervene in PG&E Docket No. ER99-4471-000
- October 15, 1999 - Rehearing Request on Amendment No. 19  
Notice of Status of MSC Report
- October 19 & 20, 1999 - MSC Report on Redesign of California Real-Time Energy and Ancillary Service Markets
- October 21, 1999 - Brief Opposing Exceptions in TO non-rate terms and conditions case
- October 22, 1999 - SCA with Merchant Energy  
SCA with PECO
- October 27, 1999 - Motion to Intervene in Southern California Edison Docket No. ER00-60
- October 27, 1999 - SCA with Entergy
- October 29, 1999 - Answer to interventions on Amendment No. 21  
Letter in response to Mountain West
- November 1, 1999 - Answer to interventions on Amendment No. 22
- November 3, 1999 - Answer in opposition to SMUD's request for leave to answer in EL99-93

### III. SUMMARY OF UPCOMING EVENTS

- November 18, 1999 - Alturas - Company Direct Testimony
- November 19, 1999 - QF PGA Settlement Conference
- November 30, 1999 - Reports evaluating the effectiveness of zone creation criteria and loss factors
- December 1, 1999 - Reply Supplemental Briefs on Edison ROE issues
- December 15, 1999 - GMC Informational filing (Pursuant to ER98-211 settlement)
- December 31, 1999 - Filing of revised Transmission Access Charge  
Report to FERC in Docket No. ER99-3158 evaluating the market in the San Diego Basin
- January 21, 2000 - Alturas Other Parties' Reply Testimony
- February 25, 2000 - Alturas FERC Staff Testimony
- March 14, 2000 - Alturas Cross-Answering Testimony
- April 4, 2000 - Alturas Company Rebuttal Testimony
- April 25, 2000 - Alturas hearing
- December 1, 2000 - Report on Long-Term FTRs
- January 15, 2001 - Proposed date for ISO RTO filing

**IV. SUMMARY OF MATTERS SET FOR HEARING**

<b>Case</b>	<b>Subject</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>Comments</b>
El Segundo Power, LLC; ER98-2550-000	RMR	12/10/99 - Owner's Direct Testimony 1/21/00 - TO's Direct Testimony 3/10/00 - FERC/CPUC/ ISO Answering Testimony 4/10/00 - Cross rebuttal 4/18/00 - Supplemental Pre-hearing conference 5/2/00 - Owner's rebuttal 5/16/00 - Hearing	Before Judge Bobbie McCartney
Southern California Edison, ER98-441, California ISO ER98-1019-000 and El Segundo Power, ER98-2550-000	Black Start Agreement and RMR	Offer of Settlement filed 4/2/99. Certified as uncontested by order dated 4/27/99.  Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.  Offer of Settlement with Geysers Power filed on July 1, 1999. Certified to the Commission as unconditional Offer of Settlement by order dated 7/23/99.  Offer of Settlement with Williams filed on 8/31/99. Certified to the Commission as unconditional Offer of Settlement by order dated 10/5/99  Offer of Settlement with Reliant filed on 9/8/99. Certified to the Commission as unconditional Offer of Settlement by order dated 10/5/99	Offer of Settlement filed on 6/15/98 on Black Start accepted by letter order dated 9/17/98;  Before Judge Wagner
PG&E, ER98-495-000, ER98-1614, ER98-2145	RMR		Before Judge Wagner - Schedule suspended by 11/1/99 Order
Duke Energy Moss Landing ER98-2668 and ER98-4300; Duke Energy Oakland ER98-2669-000 and ER98-4296-000	RMR		Before Judge Wagner - Schedule suspended by 11/1/99 Order
SDG&E, ER98-496	RMR		Before Judge Wagner

Southern California Edison; ER98-441-000	RMR Phases I and II	<u>Phase I (Article X.C of 4/2/99 Stipulation)</u> 9/24/99 - Owners/TOs/ISO direct testimony 10/22/99 - FERC/CPUC/EOB answering testimony 12/8/99 - Rebuttal testimony 1/25/00 - Hearing  <u>Phase II</u> 10/29/99 - Owners direct testimony 12/29/99 - TOs Direct testimony 2/14/00 - FERC/CPUC/ISO answering testimony 3/13/00 - TO/FERC/CPUC/ISO rebuttal 4/7/00 - Owners Rebuttal testimony 5/2/00 - Hearing	Before Judge Joseph R. Nacy
Pacific Gas & Electric, ER98-2087 and ER97-2358	TO Tariff	Offer of settlement filed 4/14/99 as corrected on 4/30/99	Before Judge Bruce Birchman - contested offer of settlement certified to the Commission by order dated 5/20/99
Southern California Edison, ER98-2322 and ER97-2355	TO Tariff	Initial Decision issued 3/31/99;	Briefs on Exception and Opposing Exceptions have been filed with FERC; Order issued 9/17/99 establishing further procedures on ROE issues
ER97-2358-002; ER97-2355-002; ER97-2364-002; ER97-4235-002 ER98-497-002	Non-rate terms and conditions of TO tariff	Partial Offer of Settlement certified to the Commission by Order dated 9/1/99  Initial Decision issued 9/1/99	
CAL ISO, ER98-1499-000, ER98-1500-000, ER98-1501-000, ER98-1502-000	Meter Service Agreements	Uncontested Offer of Settlement certified to the Commission by Order dated October 18, 1999	
CAL ISO, ER98-992, et al.	Non-QF Participating Generator Agreement		Contested Offer of Settlement certified to the Commission on March 8, 1999

CAL ISO, ER98-997-000 and ER98-1309	QF PGA	Procedural Schedule suspended - joint motion for appointment of a settlement judge has been granted	Before Judge Delbert Terrill, Jr Settlement Judge William Cowan
Duke Energy, ER99-1127-000 and ER99-1128-000	Duke affiliate service agreements	Order granting motion to hold paper hearing in abeyance issued 3/18/99	
Sierra Pacific Power ER99-28-000, ER99-945-000 and EL99-38-000	Alturas	October 1, 1999 - Joint Statement of Issues November 18, 1999 - Company Testimony January 21, 2000 - Other Party's Testimony February 25, 2000 - Staff testimony March 14, 2000 - Cross-Answering testimony April 4, 2000 - Sierra Pacific files rebuttal testimony April 14, 2000 - Final Joint Statement of Issues April 25, 2000 - Hearing	Before Judge Silverstein
PG&E ER99-2326-000 and EL99-68-000	TO 3 Tariff	June 22, 1999 - Initial discovery on PG&E July 13, 1999 - PG&E responses to initial discovery July 29-30, 1999 - settlement conference August 6, 1999 - PG&E suppl. direct testimony on rate design August 13, 1999 - Follow-up discovery September 8, 1999 - PG&E responses to follow-up discovery September 17, 1999 - further discovery on PG&E October 1, 1999 - PG&E response to discovery October 29, 1999 - Intervenor answering testimony November 19, 1999 - Discovery to Intervenor testimony ends December 3, 1999 - Staff/CPUC answering testimony January 13, 2000 - cross - answering testimony, discovery to Staff/CPUC ends January 20, 2000 - cross- answering discovery ends February 10, 2000 - PG&E rebuttal testimony February 17, 2000 - Discovery ends on PG&E rebuttal February 22, 2000 - Joint stipulation of issues February 24, 2000 - final PG&E discovery responses March 7, 2000 - hearing  Order issued 10/18/99 conditionally suspending procedural schedule on wholesale issues	Before Judge H. Peter Young
PG&E ER99-4323	TO 4 Tariff		Before Judge Joseph R. Nacy
CAL PX, ER99-4113-000	Tariff Simplification		Settlement Judge Stephen Grossman

## V. SUMMARY OF MATTERS ON REHEARING & APPEAL

### MATTERS ON REHEARING

Case	Issues	Status
EC96-19-001 to 005; ER98-1663-001 to 006; Order dated October 30, 1997, 81FERC ¶ 61,122	Rehearing requests on issues other than governance	
EC96-19-024; ER96-1663-025; Order dated March 11, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,236	Rehearing of selection of RMR units	
EC96-19-023; ER9-1663-024; Order dated May 28, 1988, 83 FERC ¶ 61,209 - Rehearing Requests Designated EC96-19-030 and ER96-1663-031	Rehearing of Amendment No. 7	
El Segundo, ER98-2550-000	CAL ISO rehearing request on cost-based rate cap	FERC issued a tolling order on 7/31/98
AES, ER98-2843-000, 98-2844, 98-2883-000; Long Beach, ER98-2972-000; El Segundo, ER98-2971-000; Ocean Vista et al., ER98-2977-000	Request for Emergency Stay, Request for Rehearing and Motion for Clarification regarding authorization to sell Ancillary Services at market-based rates	<p>Order dated July 17, 1998 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 6/30/98 and 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to "reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve." FERC issues tolling order on 8/12/98.</p> <p>Order issued October 28, 1998 in AES Redondo Beach, LLC, et al., 85 FERC ¶ 61, 123 - FERC authorizes market-based rates for all sellers of Ancillary Services and Replacement Reserve Services with California and extends the interim authority of the ISO to limit prices it will pay for Ancillary Services. FERC directs the ISO to conduct a stakeholder process and make a comprehensive proposal to restructure the Ancillary Service markets by March 1, 1999. FERC also denies the requests for rehearing of its prior orders and SoCal's complaint in Docket No. EL98-62-000.</p>

AES, ER98-2843-005, 98-2844-005, 98-2883-005; Long Beach, ER98-2972-006; El Segundo, ER98-2971-006; Ocean Vista et al., ER98-2977-004; Williams ER98-3106-002; Duke Energy, ER98-3416-004, et al.; Southern California Edison, EL98-62-003; Sempra Energy, ER98-4497-002; and SDG&E, ER98-4498-002	Rehearing requested by ISO, CPUC, and Bonneville	Tolling order issued 12/18/98
Williams Energy Services; ER98-3106-000	Authorization to sell Ancillary Services at Market-based rates	Consolidated with AES Dockets.
CAL ISO, ER98-3760-002	Metropolitan Water District and Southern California Edison Rehearing Requests of September 11, 1998 Order accepting clarification filing	Tolling order issued 11/9/98
CAL ISO, EC96-19-029 and ER96-1663-030  Woychick vs. CA ISO, EL98-51-000  CAL PX, EC96-19-028 and ER96-1663-029	Oversight Board rehearing of 11/24/98 Order finding noncompliance, denying request for public conference, and granting complaint	Order denying rehearing issued 2/4/99
CAL ISO, EC96-19-044 and ER96-1663-046	Turlock rehearing on Amendment 10	Tolling order issued 12/14/98
CAL ISO, EC96-19-043 and ER96-1663-044	SDG&E rehearing on Amendment 11	Tolling order issued 11/17/98
Sierra Power Pacific, ER99-28-000	Rehearing requests of November 30, 1998 Order accepting Alturas Intertie Project Interconnection and Operation and Maintenance Agreement	Order issued 2/26/99 (86 FERC ¶ 61,198) FERC accepted for filing Sierra Pacific Power Company's Operating and Scheduling Agreement for Alturas. FERC consolidated the O&S Agreement with the prior dockets for the Interconnection and Operation and Maintenance Agreement. FERC noted that "the mutual agreement on these issues that we anticipated could be reached by the parties apart from formal Commission proceedings has not occurred." FERC not only set for hearing the O&S Agreement, but also granted rehearing and set for hearing the previously-approved Interconnection and O&M Agreement. The hearing is to evaluate the justness and reasonableness of the terms and conditions of the agreements, including how the agreements may impact the reliable operation of interconnected transmission systems, and the interregional transmission grid's ability to effect power deliveries to customers in both Nevada and California.

<p>CAL ISO, ER99-473-000</p>	<p>Enron and CA ISO rehearing requests of Order approving extension of GMC settlement</p>	<p>Orders issued 4/2/99 (87 FERC ¶¶ 61,016 and 87 FERC ¶¶ 61,023) - the Commission: (1) accepted the ISO's informational filing of 12/15/98 and rejected EPUC/CAC's protest; (2) dismissed Western Power Trading Forum's complaint as duplicative; (3) reaffirmed its determination that the extension filing was one under section 205 to modify an existing rate rather than a contested settlement; (4) established a refund effective date under section 206 (since there was no rate increase their could not be a refund); and (5) affirmed that no purpose would be served by holding a hearing prior to the July 1, 1999 proceeding.</p>
<p>CAL ISO, ER99-896-000</p>	<p>DWR, Cities of Redding and Santa Clara and M-S-R, ECI, TANC, So Cal Edison, and SDG&amp;E rehearing of Amendment No. 13</p>	<p>Tolling order issued 4/9/99</p>

<p>CAL ISO, ER99-3594-000</p>	<p>Rehearings of Amendment No. 9</p>	<p>In an Order issued 8/2/99, 88 FERC ¶ 61,156, FERC acted on the rehearing requests regarding Amendment No. 9. FERC granted the ISO's request to postpone FTR implementation deadlines due to Y2K concerns. The ISO may conduct its initial FTR auction to permit release effective February 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001. The reports of the ISO and the Market Surveillance Committee, formerly due October 1, 1999, will now be due December 1, 2000. The Commission denied the Intervenor's request for rehearing on the availability of physical transmission rights, stating that "properly designed financial rights" can be as effective as firm physical transmission rights, as long as the ISO has the ability to manage congestion efficiently. FERC denied requests for clarification that the ISO provide for FTRs that last for at least twenty years, on the one hand, and requests that the ISO not make any decision on long-term FTRs until there has been time to analyze market performance, on the other. The Commission expressed itself satisfied with the current plan, which will provide for FTR's lasting one year, while leaving the requirement that the ISO report on progress towards making longer-term FTRs available unchanged apart from the date the report will be due (December 1, 2000). The Commission denied the intervenors' request for rehearing on treatment of revenues for counter scheduling, stating such transactions need to be compensated appropriately, as the ISO Tariff currently provides. FERC granted the ISO's request to determine available capacity using a 99.5 percent historic capacity availability standard, as it was "satisfied...that the ISO is taking a conservative approach" which considers the possible harms which would result if the ISO released too much or too little capacity. The Commission directed the ISO to continue to review its methodology, to determine whether a more definite measure of available capacity can be developed, and to address its progress in this regard in the report. The Commission denied requests for rehearing on the desirability of creating new congestion zones before FTRs expire, treated in Tariff Section 9.2.2.1. As it did in the May 3 order, FERC again delayed providing guidance on issues related to secondary market transactions. The Commission also directed the ISO to modify its tariff to include the clarification that "any Participating Transmission Owner that has no transmission customers need not develop a Transmission Revenue Balancing Account, a Transmission Revenue Requirement, nor an Access Charge."</p>
<p>CAL ISO, ER98-3574-003</p>	<p>California ISO, SoCal Edison, and Dynegy requests for rehearing on Amendment No. 9</p>	<p>Tolling order issued 9/23/99</p>
<p>CAL ISO, ER99-1770-001</p>	<p>Coalition of New Market Participants rehearing of Path 15 Operating Instructions</p>	<p>Tolling order issued 8/10/99</p>

CAL ISO, ER99-2730-002; and EL99-67-001	Western Power Trading Forum, Enron and Coral Power clarification and rehearing of June 17, 1999 GMC Order - Amendment No. 16	Tolling order issued 8/10/99
PG&E, ER99-2326-001 and EL99-68-001	California Commission rehearing of Order at 87 FERC ¶ 61,218 on PG&E TO3 case	Tolling order issued 7/15/99
CAL ISO, ER99-1971-000	Rehearings of Amendment No. 14	Order issued 7/26/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,096), FERC denied the ISO's request for rehearing and stay of the May 26, 1999 order rejecting the buy-back proposal (Billing on Metered Demand) as to self-provided capacity withdrawn at the instruction of the ISO. Other rehearing requests are still pending
CAL ISO, ER99-3301-002	DWR Rehearing on Amendment No. 18	Tolling order issued 9/23/99
California Oversight Board; EL99-75-001	WPTF and CNMP rehearing of 8/5/99 Order granting request for declaratory order on SB 96	Order issued 11/1/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,134) - the Commission rejected the rehearing requests of the Coalition of New Market Participants and the Western Power Trading Forum to the 8/5/99 Order granting the Oversight Board's request for a declaratory order regarding SB 96.
PG&E; ER99-3145-001 and Laguna; EL98-46-006	PG&E and Edison rehearing of Order at 88 FERC ¶ 61,164	Tolling order issued 9/30/99

MATTERS ON APPEAL

Case	Issues	Status
<p>California Independent System Operator Corporation V. FERC, No. 98-1225</p> <p>and</p> <p>California Electricity Oversight Board v. FERC, No. 98-1226 and No. 99-1133</p> <p>and</p> <p>Motion to Dismiss, No. 98-1384</p>	<p>Does FERC have jurisdiction over matters included in a state law that asserts jurisdiction over reliability decisions affecting retail transactions; does FERC have authority to change the governance of an entity created under state law and charged with carrying out both federal and state functions; can a FERC order bind an entity that did not exist when the order was issued; and did FERC abuse its discretion in denying rehearing as untimely when the entity against whom the original order was issued did not exist?</p>	<p>FERC filed motion to dismiss on June 18, 1998; ISO filed response on June 29, 1998</p> <p>Status Report due 7/22/99</p>
<p>Duke Energy Moss Landing, LLC and Duke Energy Oakland, LLC</p> <p>v.</p> <p>FERC</p> <p>No. 99-1141</p>	<p>Recovery of acquisition premiums</p>	<p>Filed April 8, 1999. Motion to dismiss filed July 1999</p> <p>No briefing schedule has been set as yet</p>

## VI. COMPLAINTS

Complaint	Date Filed	Noticed	Response Due	Comments
EL98-51-000 Eric Woychick Utility Reform Network et al v. California ISO	5/27/98	7/1/98	7/31/98	<p>Complaint by TURN, UCAN and CU regarding the governance structure</p> <p>Order issued November 24, 1998 (85 FERC ¶ 61,263) addressing compliance issues relating to ISO governance. FERC directs the ISO to amend its Bylaws within 45 days, to seat Mr. Woychik immediately for a full term or on an interim basis pending further elections.</p> <p>Order denying the Oversight Board's rehearing request issued 2/4/99</p>
EL98-62-000	7/13/98	7/17/98	8/17/98	<p>Complaint filed by SCE regarding FERC authorizations to AES and others to sell ancillary services at market-based rates. Order issued October 28, 1998 in AES Redondo Beach, LLC, et al., 85 FERC ¶ 61, 123 - FERC denies the complaint in Docket No. EL98-62-000.</p>
EL99-30-000	1/20/99	3/5/99	3/5/99	<p>Complaint by Western Power Trading Forum alleging that the GMC is unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, and in violation of prior ISO settlement</p> <p>Orders issued 4/2/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,016 and 87 FERC ¶ 61,023) - the Commission: (1) accepted the ISO's informational filing of 12/15/98 and rejected EPUC/CAC's protest; (2) dismissed Western Power Trading Forum's complaint as duplicative; (3) reaffirmed its determination that the extension filing was one under section 205 to modify an existing rate rather than a contested settlement; (4) established a refund effective date under section 206 (since there was no rate increase their could not be a refund); and (5) affirmed that no purpose would be served by holding a hearing prior to the July 1, 1999 proceeding.</p>
EL99-93-000	9/17/99	9/20/99	10/7/99	<p>Complaint by Turlock and Modesto alleging undue discrimination in treatment of resources supplying AS and IE from units inside the ISO Control Area as opposed to units outside the ISO Control Area</p>

## VII. STATUS OF ISO FERC FILINGS

### A. Tariff & Protocol Filings

TARIFF & PROTOCOL FILINGS						
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	F ERC Orders	Status
ISO Tariff	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-003 ER96-1663-003	8/15/97	7/30/97 <sup>1</sup>	9/2/97	10/30/97	See below.
ISO Tariff Changes For Info Purposes	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-008 ER96-1663-009	10/31/97	11/6/97	N/A	12/17/97	Changes accepted with nominal suspension, accepted and permitted to go into effect on ISO Operations Date; conforming changes made and tariff posted 12/22/97; compliance filing due 60 days from ISO Operations Date.
ISO Protocols	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-008 ER96-1663-009	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97	Informational filing was accepted with nominal suspension as part of ISO Tariff and permitted to go into effect; conforming changes made and posted 12/22/97 as part of ISO Tariff.
ISO Grid Mgmt. Charge	ISO, ER98-211-000	10/17/97	10/21/97	11/7/97	12/17/97; 6/1/98	Settlement accepted by FERC order dated 6/1/98. On 10/28/98 the ISO filed to extend Settlement for six months.

ISO Grid Mgmt. Charge	ISO, ER99-473-000	10/28/98	11/10/98	11/20/98	12/23/98 4/2/99	<p>Order issued December 23, 1998 (85 FERC ¶ 61,433) accepting, subject to refund and further orders, proposed six month extension of current GMC</p> <p>Orders issued 4/2/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,016 and 87 FERC ¶ 61,023) - the Commission: (1) accepted the ISO's informational filing of 12/15/98 and rejected EPUC/CAC's protest; (2) dismissed Western Power Trading Forum's complaint as duplicative; (3) reaffirmed its determination that the extension filing was one under section 205 to modify an existing rate rather than a contested settlement; (4) established a refund effective date under section 206 (since there was no rate increase their could not be a refund); and (5) affirmed that no purpose would be served by holding a hearing prior to the July 1, 1999 proceeding.</p>
Informational filing for GMC settlement	ISO, ER99-921	12/29/98	N/A		4/2/99	<p>Orders issued 4/2/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,016 and 87 FERC ¶ 61,023) - the Commission: (1) accepted the ISO's informational filing of 12/15/98 and rejected EPUC/CAC's protest; (2) dismissed Western Power Trading Forum's complaint as duplicative; (3) reaffirmed its determination that the extension filing was one under section 205 to modify an existing rate rather than a contested settlement; (4) established a refund effective date under section 206 (since there was no rate increase their could not be a refund); and (5) affirmed that no purpose would be served by holding a hearing prior to the July 1, 1999 proceeding.</p>
ISO Financing	ISO; ES98-9-000	11/17/97	11/21/97	12/16/97	12/22/97	Order allowed closing of transfer from Trust; amendment required prior to permanent financing.
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 1	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-014 EC96-1663-015	2/19/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/27/98	Proposed amendment is accepted, with conditions and modifications discussed in <u>82 FERC ¶ 61,312</u> . The ISO shall post this amendment on the ISO Home Page and shall file these changes with the compliance filing within 60 days of the ISO Grid Operation date.
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 2	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-015 ER96-1663-016	2/25/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/27/98	Proposed amendment is hereby rejected.

ISO Tariff Amendment No. 3	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-016 ER96-1663-017	2/25/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/27/98	Proposed amendment is hereby rejected.
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 4	PG&E EC96-19-017 ER96-1663-018	3/3/98	3/4/98	3/16/98	3/30/98	Proposed amendment is hereby accepted for filing, and suspended for a nominal period, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date, subject to refund, subject to the conditions and modifications discussed, and subject to further Commission orders. The ISO is hereby directed to refile the ISO Tariff no later than 60 days after the ISO Operations Date. The ISO is directed to inform the Commission of tariff provisions that will be staged and timing of future implementation.
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 5	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-018 ER96-1663-019	3/3/98	3/4/98	3/16/98	3/30/98	Proposed amendment is hereby accepted for filing, and suspended for a nominal period, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date, subject to refund, subject to the conditions and modifications discussed, and subject to further Commission orders. The ISO is hereby directed to refile the ISO Tariff no later than 60 days after the ISO Operations Date. The ISO is directed to inform the Commission of tariff provisions that will be staged and timing of future implementation.
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 6	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-021 ER96-1663-022	3/23/98	3/25/98	4/9/98	3/30/98	Proposed amendment is hereby accepted for filing, and suspended for a nominal period, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date, subject to refund, subject to the conditions and modifications discussed, and subject to further Commission orders. The ISO is hereby directed to refile the ISO Tariff no later than 60 days after the ISO Operations Date. The ISO is directed to inform the Commission of tariff provisions that will be staged and timing of future implementation.
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 7	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-023 ER96-1663-024	3/31/98	4/20/98	5/11/98	5/28/98; 83 FERC ¶ 61,209	Amendment 7 other than the proposed modification to section 2.1.4 is accepted.
Amendment No. 7 clarification	EC96-19-031; ER96-1663-032	6/29/98	7/6/98	7/23/98		
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 8	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-027 ER96-1663-028	5/19/98	5/29/98	6/8/98	6/24/98; 83 FERC ¶ 61,309	Conditionally accepted subject to clarification and future reporting requirements
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 8 Compliance	CAL ISO, EC96-19-034; ER96-1663-035	7/24/98	7/29/98	8/13/98		

ISO Tariff Amendment No. 9 [FTR]	CAL ISO; ER98-3594-000	6/30/98	7/6/98	7/20/98	12/21/98; 85 FERC 61,405	Order grants ISO's motion to extend the effective date for implementation of FTRs
		12/4/98	12/9/98	12/28/98	5/3/99; 87 FERC 61,143 8/2/99	Order conditionally accepting proposed tariff changes
Amendment No. 9 [FTR]	ISO; ER98-3594-001				8/2/99; 88 FERC ¶ 61,156	FERC granted the ISO's request to postpone FTR implementation deadlines due to Y2K concerns. The ISO may conduct its initial FTR auction to permit release effective February 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001. The reports of the ISO and the Market Surveillance Committee, formerly due October 1, 1999, will now be due December 1, 2000. The Commission denied the intervenors' request for rehearing on the availability of physical transmission rights, stating that "properly designed financial rights" can be as effective as firm physical transmission rights, as long as the ISO has the ability to manage congestion efficiently. FERC denied requests for clarification that the ISO provide for FTRs that last for at least twenty years, on the one hand, and requests that the ISO not make any decision on long-term FTRs until there has been time to analyze market performance, on the other. The Commission expressed itself satisfied with the current plan, which will provide for FTR's lasting one year, while leaving the requirement that the ISO report on progress towards making longer-term FTRs available unchanged apart from the date the report will be due (December 1, 2000). The Commission denied the intervenors' request for rehearing on treatment of revenues for counter scheduling, stating such transactions need to be compensated appropriately, as the ISO Tariff currently provides. FERC granted the ISO's request to determine available capacity using a 99.5 percent historic capacity availability standard, as it was "satisfied...that the ISO is taking a conservative approach" which considers the possible harms which would result if the ISO released too much or too little capacity. The Commission directed the ISO to continue to review its methodology, to determine whether a more definite measure of available capacity can be developed, and to address its progress in this regard in the report. The Commission denied requests for rehearing on the desirability of creating new congestion zones before FTRs expire, treated in Tariff Section 9.2.2.1. As it did in the May 3 order, FERC again delayed providing guidance on issues related to secondary market transactions. The Commission also directed the ISO to modify its tariff to include the clarification that "any Participating Transmission Owner that has no transmission customers need not develop a Transmission Revenue Balancing Account, a Transmission Revenue Requirement, nor an Access Charge."
Amendment No. 9 Compliance filing	California ISO Docket No. ER99-3594-002	8/13/99 & 8/17/99	8/18/99 & 8/23/99	9/2/99 & 9/7/99	9/17/99	Accepted for filing

June 1, 1998 Compliance Filing	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-029; ER96-1663-030	6/1/98	6/9/98	8/5/98		Order issued 10/28/98 accepting certain proposed changes to the ISO's Bylaws.  Order issued 4/28/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,102) - the Commission issues an order accepting the March 11, 1999 unresolved issues report and establishing further procedures for the Offer of Settlement and briefing of the Unresolved Issues.
July 15, Clarification Filing	Cal ISO; ER98-3760-000	7/15/98	7/20/98	Initially 8/4/98 extended to 8/17/98	7/31/98; 9/11/98  4/28/99	7/31/98 Order extends date for interventions and protests. 9/11/98 Order issued September 11, 1998 - FERC accepts all clarification changes, except a change that was superseded by Amendment 10; requires the filing within 15 days of a protocol describing how the ISO will exercise its discretion under sec. 2.2.12.1 to waive scheduling guidelines; and denies (without prejudice) the ISO's proposal to move all unresolved issues to the Clarification docket, but otherwise adopting the ISO's proposed procedural approach, including establishing a 120-day period for the parties to agree on a comprehensive open-issues list and to settle as many issues as possible, with trial staff's participation.  Order issued 4/28/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,102) - the Commission issues an order accepting the March 11, 1999 unresolved issues report and establishing further procedures for the Offer of Settlement and briefing of the Unresolved Issues.
Compliance filing from 9/11 order on clarification filing	Cal ISO, ER98-3760-001	9/28/98	10/1/98 errata 10/2/98	10/16/98	12/16/98	ISO directed to amend protocol within 15 days to include specific waiver criteria
Compliance filing from 12/16 Order	Cal ISO, ER98-3760	12/30/98	1/6/98	1/19/98	2/18/99	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 10	Cal ISO, EC96-19-035 and ER96-1663-036	7/27/98 amend. 7/28/98	7/28/98	8/6/98	7/31/98	Order issued July 31, 1998 (84 FERC ¶ 61,121) - FERC conditionally accepts Amendment No. 10 to permit the ISO to receive ancillary bids from producers outside the control area. FERC also accepts, on a prospective basis, the proposed amendment to section 26.2 to clarify that the ISO will only waive penalties incurred as a result of limitations with the ISO's software.

Amendment 10 compliance	Cal ISO, EC96-19-035 and ER96-1663-036	8/17 and 8/20 compliance	8/24/94	9/7/98	10/16/98	Accepted for filing pending further compliance filing to incorporate both Amendment 10 and Amendment 11 changes into a single conformed sheet.  Turlock has requested rehearing - tolling order issued 12/14/98
Amendment 10 Correction	Cal ISO, EC96-19-035 and ER96-1663-036	errata 8/21/98			10/16/98	Accepted for filing pending further compliance filing to incorporate both Amendment 10 and Amendment 11 changes into a single conformed sheet.
Amendment No. 11 - Downward Regulation Bids	Cal ISO, EC96-19-039 ER96-1663-040	8/14/98	8/14/98	8/28/98	9/17/98	Accepted for filing
		8/20/98	8/25/98	9/9/98		
Amendment 10 & 11 Compliance filing	Cal ISO, EC96-19-045 and ER96-1663-47	11/16/98 errata 11/17/98	11/20/98	12/4/98	6/1/99	Accepted for filing, 87 FERC ¶ 61,256.
Amendment No. 12 - Extension of the BEEP cap	Cal ISO, ER99-826-000	12/4/98	12/9/98	12/28/98	1/27/99	Order issued January 27, 1999 (86 FERC ¶ 61,059) rejecting ISO's proposed amendment to establish price caps for imbalance energy but granting ISO interim authority to impose purchase price caps in the real-time energy market in the same manner as FERC has granted it for the other ISO markets.
Amendment No. 13	Cal ISO, ER99-896-000	12/11/98	12/16/98	1/7/98	2/9/99	Order issued 2/9/99, approving Amendment No. 13 except for retroactive adjustment to settlement statements for Replacement Reserves
Amendment No. 13 Compliance	Cal ISO, ER99-896-001	2/24/99	3/1/99	3/16/99	3/24/99	Accepted for filing
Governance compliance filing	Cal ISO, EC96-19-047; ER96-1663-049	1/8/98	1/15/98	2/8/98	3/10/99	Commission accepts Enron's notice of withdrawal of protest.

A/S Redesign Amendment No. 14	Cal ISO, ER99-1971	3/1/99	3/4/99	3/26/99	5/26/99 7/26/99	Order issued 5/26/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,208, FERC largely approves Amendment 14 and confirms that the ISO acted correctly when it reduced above-cap A/S bids to the applicable cap. FERC: (1) conditionally accepted the rational buyer proposal, while expressing doubts about some components and requiring MSC to report on its implementation by 10/15/99; (2) approved the changes for replacement reserves/effective price and automated BEEP without condition; (3) accepted the Reg up/ Reg down and inter-SC trade proposals noting ISO agreement to clarify tariff provisions; (4) accepted the buy-back proposal (Billing on Metered Demand) as to self-provided capacity that is voluntarily withdrawn by an SC, but rejected it as to self-provided capacity withdrawn at the instruction of the ISO (noting the situation where self-provided capacity must be withdrawn because a transmission line is derated); (5) accepted the Generator Communication proposal; (6) rejected arguments that FERC mandate filing of pro forma PLA agreement, leaving that issue to stakeholder discussions; (7) permitted ISO to retain price cap authority only through November 15, 1999 (if ISO wants to retain authority, it must demonstrate after the summer that market design flaws remain); (8) rejected the argument that ISO should eliminate or modify the 25% limit on A/S imports; and (9) confirmed the reasonableness of the ISO's reducing above-cap bids to the applicable cap.
Amendment No. 14 Rehearing	Cal ISO, ER99-1971-001	6/25/99			7/26/99	Order issued 7/26/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,096), FERC denied the ISO's request for rehearing and stay of the May 26, 1999 order rejecting the buy-back proposal (Billing on Metered Demand) as to self-provided capacity withdrawn at the instruction of the ISO.
Amendment No. 14 Compliance Filing	Cal ISO,; ER99-1971-002	7/2/99 & 8/6/99	7/8/99 & 8/23/99	7/22/99 & 9/9/99	10/8/99	Accepted for filing
Employee Code of Conduct	Cal ISO, ER99-2563-000	4/22/99	4/28/99	5/12/99	5/26/99	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 15, RMR	Cal ISO, ER99-2407-000	4/7/99	4/12/99	4/27/99		Accepted by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC 61,229

ISO GMC - Amendment 16	Cal ISO, ER99-2730-000	4/30/99	5/5/99	5/20/99	6/17/99	FERC approves an order on the GMC. FERC: (1) accepts the filing effective July 1, 1999, to extend the GMC to 12/31/2000; (2) initiates a 206 investigation of the filing, effective 60 days after notice is published; (3) denies requests for hearings in light of fact that there is no unbunbling study or computer capabilities; and (4) makes the GMC for this period subject to the outcome of the GMC filing to become effective on January 1, 2001. In light of the decisions to put the case off to the next filing, the Commission rejects the surcharge request as premature.
Amendment No. 16 Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER99-2730-001	6/24/99	6/29/99	7/14/99	7/27/99	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 17	Cal ISO, ER99-3289-000	6/17/99	6/22/99	7/7/99	8/16/99	Order issued 8/16/99 – The Commission conditionally accepted Amendment No. 17. The revisions concerned: (1) an extension of the current payment calendar; (2) a <u>pro forma</u> PLA; (3) a revised outage coordination protocol; (4) the recovery of WSCC fines; (5) the recovery of costs for communications services; (6) REPA allocation; (7) dispatch instructions; and (8) the broadening of financial instruments with which Scheduling Coordinators can establish their creditworthiness. The ISO is to complete an evaluation of its payment calendar.
Amendment No. 17 Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER99-3289	9/15/99	9/20/99	10/5/99	10/15/99 and 10/27/99	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 18- Intra-zonal congestion management	Cal ISO, ER99-3301-000	6/18/99	6/21/99	7/1/99	7/30/99	Accepted for filing as modified, 88 FERC ¶ 61,146
Amendment No. 18 Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER99-3301-001	8/13/99	8/18/99	9/2/99	10/15/99	Commission conditionally accepts compliance filing - ISO directed to modify the tariff to include the operating procedure used to manage intra-zonal congestion.
Amendment No. 18 Compliance filing						

Amendment No. 19 - New Generator Interconnection	Cal ISO, ER99-3339-000	6/23/99	6/28/99 7/9/99	7/13/99 7/27/99	9/15/99	Order issued 9/15/99 – The Commission rejected the proposed Amendment No. 19 to the ISO Tariff regarding the new generation interconnection policy. The California ISO was directed to reconvene its stakeholder process to redesign its new generation interconnection policy. The Commission granted the ISO’s request for an extension of time to file a report evaluating zone creation.
Supplemental Bylaw filings	Cal ISO, EC96-19-047 and ER96-1663-049	7/8/99 7/16/99	7/15/99 7/21/99	8/9/99		
Amendment No. 20- Rational Buyer technical corrections	Cal ISO, ER99-3879-000	7/30/99	8/4/99	8/19/99	9/1/99	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 21 Price Caps	Cal ISO, ER99-4462-000	9/17/99	9/22/99 & 10/6/99	10/7/99 extended to 10/14/99		
Amendment No. 22	Cal ISO, ER99-4545	9/27/99	10/4/99	10/15/99		

## B. Reliability and Must Run Agreements

RELIABILITY AND MUST RUN AGREEMENTS						
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
	SDG&E ER98-496-000; ER98-2160-000	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97	Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
Amendment	SDG&E ER98-2160	3/11/98			5/1/98, 83 FERC P 61,113	Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
Amendment	SDG&E; ER98-4500	9/9/98		9/29/98		Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
	PG&E ER98-495-000	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97	Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
	SCE ER98-441-000	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97 2/25/98	Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
	Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-2668, 4300, and 1127					Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
	Duke Energy Oakland; ER98-2669, 4296, and 1128					Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
Compliance Report	Duke; ER98-441, et al.	7/30/99	8/4/99	8/19/99		
	ISO Must Run Selection EC96-19-012, ER96-1663-013	12/12/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	3/11/98	The initial RMR Unit selection, as amended, is accepted for filing. ISO shall post current listing of RMR Units on Home Page. The ISO shall file, for informational purposes, a summary of its long-term reliability requirement studies. If its long-term has not yet been completed within 30 days after the first year of operations then the ISO shall file, for informational purposes, a preliminary report summarizing and updating its reliability needs.
RMR 35 MVar/45 MW synchronous condenser	PG&E; ER99-3603-000	7/16/99	7/20/99	8/5/99	9/14/99; 88 FERC ¶ 61,213	Accepted for filing and consolidated with RMR proceeding



## C. Transmission and Distribution Access Rates

TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION ACCESS RATES						
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
	PG&E ER97-2358-000	3/31/97	4/7/97	6/6/97	12/17/97	Offer of Settlement filed 4/14/99 as corrected 4/30/99 certified to the Commission by Order dated 5/20/99
TO 3	PG&E ER99-2326-000	3/31/99	4/5/99	4/20/99	5/27/99	Accepted for filing subject to refund. Hearing established.
TO 4	PG&E ER99-4323-000	9/1/99	9/9/99	9/20/99	10/27/99	89 FERC ¶ 61,081 - Commission accepts PG&E TO 4 Tariff for filing suspends the rate for five months until 4/1/00 and establishes a hearing.
FTR Implementation	PG&E; ER99-3500-000	7/6/99	7/12/99	7/26/99	9/3/99 88 FERC ¶ 61,208	Accepted for filing
	SCE ER97-2355-000	3/31/97	4/7/97	6/6/97	12/17/97	Initial Decision issued 3/31/99; briefs on exceptions have been filed; brief opposing exceptions are due 5/27/99
FTR Implementation	SCE; ER99-3501-000	7/6/99	7/12/99	7/26/99	9/3/99 88 FERC ¶ 61,208	Accepted for filing
	SDG&E ER97-2364-000; ER97-4235-000;	3/31/97	4/7/97	6/6/97 9/24/97 11/20/97	12/17/97	Offer of Settlement approved by the Commission by letter order dated 3/12/99, 86 FERC ¶ 61,265.
Refund Report		8/9/99	8/18/99	8/30/99	9/10/99	Accepted for filing
Recovery from end-use customers of RMR charges	SDG&E ER99-2762-000	4/30/99	5/6/99	5/20/99	7/2/99; 88 FERC ¶ 61,017	Accepted for filing
FTR Implementation	SDG&E; ER99-3496-000	7/6/99	7/12/99	7/26/99	9/3/99 88 FERC ¶ 61,208	Accepted for filing

## D. Utility Pass-Through of GMC and PX Charge

UTILITY PASS-THROUGH OF GMC AND PX CHARGE						
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
	PG&E, ER98-556-000 ER98-557-000	10/31/97	11/20/97	12/4/97	6/1/98	Consolidated with ER98-211-000. Offer of settlement approved 6/1/98 for 1998 GMC. PG&E and SCE have filed revised tariff sheets to implement the settlement. FERC noticed SCE and PG&E compliance filings on 7/7/98 with comments due on 7/21/98. Order accepting the compliance filing in ER98-556-004 issued August 5, 1998, 84 FERC ¶ 61,164 and October 2, 1998, 85 FERC ¶ 61,015
Extension request to collect pass-through of GMC	PG&E, ER99-418-000	10/29/98	11/6/98	11/19/98	12/23/98	Accepted for filing subject to refund. PG&E may not pass through GMC to TANC or Santa Clara for transactions under SOTP and Grizzly Amendments
Extension request to collect pass-through of GMC	PG&E; ER99-1035; EL99-34-000			1/12/99	2/10/99	Accepted for filing to be effective 1/1/99 subject to refund
Continued pass-through to wholesale customers GMC	PG&E; ER99-2884-000	5/10/99	5/14/99	5/28/99	7/20/99	Accepted for filing, PG&E must submit revised tariff reference
Administrative revisions	PG&E; ER99-2884-001	7/13/99		8/4/99	9/17/99; 88 FERC ¶ 61,243	Accepted for filing
Modify GMC collection	PG&E; ER99-4471-00	9/20/99	9/23/99	10/8/99	10/21/99	Accepted for filing
	SCE, ER98-462-000	10/31/97	11/20/97	12/4/97	6/1/98	Consolidated with ER98-211-000. Offer of settlement approved 6/1/98 for 1998 GMC. PG&E and SCE have filed revised tariff sheets to implement the settlement. FERC noticed SCE and PG&E compliance filings on 7/7/98 with comments due on 7/21/98. Order accepting the compliance filing in ER98-556-004 issued August 5, 1998, 84 FERC ¶ 61,164 and October 2, 1998, 85 FERC ¶ 61,015



**VIII. OTHER FERC PROCEEDINGS IN WHICH THE ISO IS PARTICIPATING**

OTHER PROCEEDINGS						
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
	Duke Energy Moss Landing LLC; ER98-2668-000	4/24/98	4/28/98	5/13/98	6/25/98	Order accepting for filing and suspending RMR tariffs, dismissing proposed acquisition adjustment and consolidating tariffs and establishing hearing procedures.
	Duke Energy Oakland LLC; ER98-2669-000	4/24/98	4/29/98	5/14/98		
	PG&E; ER98-2785					
	Duke Energy Oakland; ER98-4296	4/24/98 & 8/20/98		9/9/98	6/25/98 & 10/14/98	Order accepting for filing and suspending RMR tariffs and consolidating tariffs and establishing hearing procedures.
	Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-4300	4/24/98 & 8/20/98		9/9/98	6/25/98 & 10/14/98	Order accepting for filing and suspending RMR tariffs and consolidating tariffs and establishing hearing procedures.
cost based rates for ancillary services	Long Beach Generation, ER98-2537	4/14/98		5/4/98	6/10/98, 83 FERC P 61,277	Order accepting for filing and establishing hearing procedures and consolidating dockets  Offer of settlement (ER98-2537) accepted by Order dated 11/30/98
cost based rates for ancillary services	El Segundo Power, ER98-2550	4/15/98		5/5/98		
Market based rates for ancillary services	El Segundo Power; ER98-2971-000	5/12/98			7/10/98  7/17/98	7/10/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing.  7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve.  See 10/28/98 AES Order.

Market based rates for ancillary service	Long Beach Generation; ER98-2972	5/12/98			7/10/98 7/17/98	7/10/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing.  7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve.  See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	AES Redondo Beach, ER98-2843; AES-Huntington Beach, ER98-2844; AES Alamitos, ER98-2883	5/1/98		5/21/98 ext. to 6/8/98	6/30/98 7/17/98 (84 FERC ¶ 61,046)	6/30/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing.  7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 6/30/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve.  8/19/98 Market Surveillance Committee files report. Protests due 9/8/98.  Order issued October 28, 1998 in AES Redondo Beach, LLC, et al., 85 FERC ¶ 61, 123 - FERC authorizes market-based rates for all sellers of Ancillary Services and Replacement Reserve Services with California and extends the interim authority of the ISO to limit prices it will pay for Ancillary Services. FERC directs the ISO to conduct a stakeholder process and make a comprehensive proposal to restructure the Ancillary Service markets by March 1, 1999. FERC also denies the requests for rehearing of its prior orders and SoCal's complaint in Docket No. EL98-62-000.
Market Monitoring Committee Report	ER98-2843, et al.	3/10/99	3/18/99 4/14/99	4/19/99		
Market Surveillance Committee Report	ER98-2843, et al.	3/35/99	3/29/99	4/19/99		
Market Surveillance Committee Report	ER98-2843-009	10/19 & 20/99	10/29/99	11/9/99		

Market based rates for ancillary services	Ocean Vista Power et al, ER98-2977-000	5/13/98		6/2/98	7/10/98 7/17/98	7/10/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing  7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve.  See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	Williams Energy; ER98-3106-000	5/26/98		6/15/98	7/24/98	Accepted without suspension or hearing  See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	Duke Energy Oakland LLC; ER98-3416-000	6/18/98		ext. to 7/27/98	8/17/98; 84 FERC ¶61,186	Accepted without suspension or hearing  See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	Duke Energy Morro Bay; Docket No. ER98-3417-000	6/18/98		ext. to 7/27/98	8/17/98; 84 FERC ¶ 61,186	Accepted without suspension or hearing  See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-3418-000	6/18/98		ext. to 7/27/98	8/17/98; 84 FERC ¶ 61,186	Accepted without suspension or hearing  See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	Mountainview Power; ER98-4301	8/20/98		9/9/98	10/16/98; 85 FERC ¶61,060	Accepted without suspension or hearing
Market based rates for ancillary services	Riverside Canal Power; ER98-4302	8/20/98		9/9/98	10/16/98; 85 FERC ¶61,060	Accepted without suspension or hearing
Market-based rates for ancillary services	San Diego Gas & Electric; ER98-4498-000	9/9/98		9/29/98	10/28/98	Conditionally accepted
Market-based rates for ancillary services	Sempra Energy Trading; ER98-4497-000	9/9/98		9/29/98	10/28/98	Conditionally accepted

Unexecuted service agreement placing the ISO under Pacificorp's market based sales tariff	Pacificorp; ER98-4083-000	7/31/98 & 8/12/98	8/7/98 & 8/18/98	9/2/98	9/9/98	Accepted for filing
Petition for Waiver of FERC annual charge	PJM Inter-connection; EL98-71-000	8/12/98	8/21/98	9/18/98		
Alturas Intertie Project	Sierra Pacific Power; ER99-28-000	10/2/98	10/17/98	10/22/98	11/30/98	Accepted for filing - jurisdictional parties are required to negotiate appropriate operating procedures
Alturas Intertie Operating and Scheduling Agreement	Sierra Pacific Power ER99-945-000	12/17/98	12/22/98	1/7/99		
Reliability Management System	Western Systems Coordinating Council; EL99-23-000	12/28/98		1/21/99	4/14/99	Order approving RMS on an experimental basis
Duke affiliate service agreements	Duke Energy Moss Landing and Duke Energy Oakland; ER99-1127-000 and ER99-112-000	12/31/98		1/20/99	2/25/99 86 FERC ¶ 61,187 3/18/99	Accepted for filing - paper hearing to consider whether additional transaction or reporting rules are needed to prevent affiliate abuse; trial-type hearing with respect to the reasonableness of prior affiliate sales.  3/18/99 - Order granting motion to hold paper hearing in abeyance.
PX Amendment 9	PX; ER99-1883-000	2/19/99	2/24/99	3/11/99	4/16/99 87 FERC ¶ 61,079	Order issued 4/16/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,079) Commission accepts the PX's Amendment 9 allowing for netting (bookouts) of certain purchases and sales in the Day-Ahead Market at common delivery points external to the ISO Controlled Grid.
Termination of RMR Agreement	Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER99-2721-000	4/30/99	5/6/99	5/20/99		
SMUD interim short-term coordination agreement	PG&E; ER99-2794-000	5/4/99	5/11/99	5/24/99		

PG&E Interconnection with Laguna	PG&E; ER99-3145-000	6/2/99	6/7/99	6/22/99	8/3/99	FERC issued a final order directing interconnection and conditionally accepting the interconnection agreement for filing
Petition for Declaratory Order on SB 96	California Electricity Oversight Board; EL99-75-000	7/7/99	7/9/99	7/22/99	8/5/99 11/1/99	FERC grants petition finding that SB 96 prescribes an interim role for the Oversight Board that would be consistent with jurisdictional guidance given by the Commission in its prior orders and that appropriately would be reevaluated at such time that another state joins the ISO.  Order issued 11/1/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,134) - the Commission rejected the rehearing requests of the Coalition of New Market Participants and the Western Power Trading Forum to the 8/5/99 Order granting the Oversight Board's request for a declaratory order regarding SB 96.
Mountain West ISA	Sierra Pacific; EC99-100-000	7/23/99	7/29/99	8/23/99		
	Mountain West; ER99-3719-000	7/23/99	8/5/99	8/18/99		
PX Tariff Simplification	PX; ER99-4113-000	8/18/99	8/23/99	9/7/99	10/13/99	Commission accepts the PX Tariff simplification for filing and establishes proceedings before a settlement judge.
Revenue sharing for certain products & services	PG&E; EL99-91-000	9/8/99	9/9/99	10/8/99		

<sup>2</sup> A Commission Notice was not issued for the August 15 filing. However, the Restated Tariff was requested by the Commission in the July 30 Order and the deadline to file comments (9/2/97) was set forth in the July 30 Order.

<sup>2</sup> The December 30 filing is an amendment to the December 9 Scheduling Coordinator Agreement between the ISO and IAG Trading Company.

**IX . RULEMAKINGS**

<b>Rulemakings</b>					
<b>Description</b>	<b>Docket</b>	<b>Date Noticed</b>	<b>Interv. Due</b>	<b>FERC Orders</b>	<b>Status</b>
RTO NOPR	RM99-2-000	7/21/99	8/23/99		Date for reply comments extended to October 6, 1999