

## PATH 26 UPGRADE STUDY Phase A - Short-Term Implementation

April 11, 2001 First Stakeholders Meeting

DLE / GRID PLANNING

1



Bold black lines identify Zone boundaries

Green lines identify transmission paths between zones (may include one or more lines) Red letters denote Zone names

Black letters denote "abbreviated" scheduling point names

Green letters denote Path names

Blue letters denote abbreviated "geographic" location names

18



### **EXISTING PATH 26 RATING**

- On 8/24/2000, the WSCC Operating Transfer Capability (OTC) Policy Group approved an increase in the summer OTC for Path 26 from 2,800 MW to 3,000 MW.
- The rating is bi-directional.
- 3,000 MW was the original WSCC-approved path rating.



### EXISTING PATH 26 RATING (cont'd)

- On 8/29/2000, the Cal-ISO approved SCE-proposed 500 kV wave trap replacement project at Vincent Substation. The Cal-ISO also approved similar PG&E-proposed project at Midway Substation on 8/14/2000.
- This will restore permanent rating on Path 26 at 3,000 MW. Wave trap's thermal rating is the limiting factor on Path 26's existing rating with DLO of Midway-Vincent 500 kV #1 and 2 lines.



#### **Day-Ahead Congestion Costs**

BRANCH_GRP	1999 Day Ahead	January-June 2000 Day Ahead	Flow Direction
	Congestion Costs (\$Millions)	Congestion Costs (\$Millions)	
PATH15 _BG	\$30.74	\$16.44	S-N
PATH26 _BG	N/A	\$10.24	N-S

Day-Ahead Congestion Costs are obtained from the Appendix G of the 2000 ISO Control Area Expansion Plan Study Report.



Feb 00-July 00 Initial-Final DA Schedules on Path 26





### NEW GENERATION DEVELOPMENTS CONNECTING TO MIDWAY SUBSTATION

- La Paloma Generating Project
  - Planned Operating Date: December 2001 \*
  - Ultimate Amount of Generation Output: 1,040 MW
- Sunrise Power Project
  - Planned Operating Date: Late Summer 2001 \*
  - Ultimate Amount of Generation Output: 320 MW
- Elk Hills Power Project
  - Planned Operating Date: First Quarter 2003 \*
  - Ultimate Amount of Generation Output: 500 MW
- Western Midway Sunset Cogeneration Company
  - Planned Operating Date: Second Quarter 2003 \*
  - Ultimate Amount of Generation Output: 500 MW

\* These dates are approximate and may subject to change.





# 🚄 California ISO

California Independent System Operator

Equipment	Normal Rating	<b>Emergency Rating</b>
2-Conductor Bundle	C C	
#3 Li ne (53 mile portion)	2478 A. (Summer)	2964 A. (Summer – Static)
		3200 A. (Summer – 30 min)
	3962 A. (Winter)	4254 A. (Winter – Static)
Wave Traps at Midway		
# 1 Line	3000 A.	3000 A.
# 2 Line	3000 A.	3000 A.
# 3 Line	3000 A. *	3200 A. *
Breakers at Midway		
SW 932, 832 # 1 Line	3000 A. (ea breaker)	3000 A. (ea breaker)
SW 922, 822 # 2 Line	3000 A. (ea breaker)	3000 A. (ea breaker)
SW 942, 842 # 3 Line	3000 A. (ea breaker)	3000 A. (ea breaker)
Bypass Switch at Midway		
Switch #925 o n Series Capacitor	3000 A.	3000 A.
in #2 line		
Series Capacitors at Midway		
#2 line	2132 A.	2400 A. (24 hr)
		3500 A. (30 minute)
Current Transformers at Midwav		
#1 Line	3000/5	3000/5
#2 Line	3000/5	3000/5
#3 Line	2000/5	3200/5 3
	5000/5	5200/5.5

\* Scheduled to be replaced with 4000-Ampere equipment Information obtained from PG&E's Response to CPUC Data Request 00-10-001 9





Figure 2. Midway-Vincent One-Line Diagram



### PERTINENT INFORMATION ON M-V #3 500KV LINE COMPONENT RATINGS (AT VINCENT SUBSTATION)

- Wave Trap (To Be Replaced With 4,000-A Equipment)
  - Summer Normal = 3,000 A
  - Emergency (30-min.) = 3,300 A
  - Emergency (10-min.) = 3,500 A
- Series Capacitors
  - Summer Normal = 2,400 A
  - Emergency (30-min.) = 3,500 A
- Bypass Switch (Series Capacitors)
  - Continuous Rating = 3,000 A
- SCE-owned Transmission Lines (2-2156 MCM)
  - Summer Normal = 3,950 A
  - Emergency (Continuous Rating) = 4,540 A (Likely Contingency)
  - Emergency (Continuous Rating) = 5,330 A (Unlikely Contingency)

DLE / GRID PLANNING



### SCE'S PRELIMINARY TRANSMISSION ALTERNATIVES FOR UPGRADING PATH 26

(SCE TRANSMISSION EXPANSION PLAN 2001-2005)

Transmission Projects	ssion Projects Path 26	
to Increase Path 26 Transfer Capability	Transfer Capability	
	(Bi-directional)	
	(MW)	
	Total	Increase
Replace Wave Trap at Midway Substation on Midway-Vincent No. 3 500-kV line	3000	200
Add Fourth 500-230-kV Transformer Bank 230-kV Transformer Bank at Vincent Substation Replace Wave Trap at Vincent Substation	3250	250
Alternative 1: Replace Series Capacitors on Midway-Vincent No.3 500-kV line	4000	750
Alternative 2: Install RAS	4000	750



### **STUDY PLAN FOR UPGRADING PATH 26**

### **Short-Term Plan**

- Implementation in late 2001 or early 2002 for achieving higher Path 26 rating in the *N-S direction*
- Subject to WSCC's TSS and PCC Approval (Will seek expedited approval process)
- If RAS is recommended, RAS system would also need to be submitted to WSCC RAS Task Force and approved by WSCC JGC

#### Long-Term Plan

- To commence after submittal of the Short-Term Plan's Comprehensive Progress Report to TSS for review and comments
- Will develop transmission alternatives, including reinforcements, with Path 15 upgrade modeled in the analysis
- Will have detailed Path 26 congestion cost analysis available for economic evaluation
- Will look at bi-directional rating



### SHORT-TERM PLAN

#### **Study Scenarios and Base Cases**

 Available on the following Cal-ISO Website link for comments, http://www1.caiso.com/docs/2001/04/10/2001041014470417058.html

or,
Cal-ISO Website (www.caiso.com)
The Grid
Planning Information Exchange
Long-Term Transmission Expansion Plans
Path 26 Upgrade Study
Path 26 Upgrade Study For Year 2002 Implementation

- Developed from the 2000 ISO Control Area Transmission Expansion Plan's 2002 Heavy Summer and 2002 Spring base cases
  - The 2002 heavy summer peak case developed from 2003 HS3 WSCC base case
  - The 2002 spring peak case developed from 2001 HSP1 WSCC case



### SHORT-TERM PLAN (cont'd)

#### **Study Scenarios and Base Cases (cont'd)**

- <u>Scenario 1</u> 2002 Heavy Summer with High Midway Area Generation
  - M-V flow at 4,500 MW
  - M-V flow at 4,000 MW
  - M-V flow at 3,500 MW (if cannot obtain 4,000 or 4,500 MW path rating)
- Scenario 2 2002 Spring Peak
  - M-V flow at 4,500 MW
  - M-V flow at 4,000 MW
  - M-V flow at 3,500 MW (if cannot obtain 4,000 or 4,500 MW path rating)



### SHORT-TERM PLAN (cont'd)

#### **Study Scenarios and Base Cases (cont'd)**

• See base case summaries available on hard copies



### **CONTINGENCY ANALYSIS**

- Refer to Study Plan for list of contingencies
- Contingency Screening
  - Refer to Study Plan



## **SENSITIVITY STUDIES**

The following sensitivity studies are to be performed to evaluate the newly determined Path 26 rating on the following *existing* nomogram:

- AC/DC/Northern California Hydro Nomogram
- SCIT Nomogram

The studies are needed to determine if there any adverse impacts of having a higher Path 26 flow on these existing nomograms.



### **STUDY METHODOLOGY**

The following analyses will be studied:

- Power flow analysis
- Post-transient power flow analysis
  - Test for positive reactive margin at various 500 kV and 230 kV critical buses in PG&E, SCE, SDG&E and LADWP systems
- Transient stability analysis



### POTENTIAL TRANSMISSION ALTERNATIVES

#### 1) Remedial Action Schemes (RAS):

- Generation RAS: curtailing generation connected to Midway Substation in the event of critical contingencies which limit the flow of M-V beyond its 3,000 MW rating (for example, M-V #1 and #2 DLO)
- Load RAS: curtailing load (determine the amount of load curtailment as needed). Potential use of the proposed load curtailment for the IOOS RAS under consideration for Path 26
- 2) Combination of RAS and Transmission Reinforcements:
  - Up-rate the conductor rating for the M-V #3 500 kV line
  - Potential replacement of M-V #3 500 kV line series capacitors
  - This up-rate will help lowering the amount of generation and load RAS



### **COSTS AND FEASIBILITY**

- Not-to-exceed cost estimates (due to short study time frame)
- In addition to the above, a thorough analysis of the Los Banos -Midway, Midway - Vincent and Vincent - Lugo 500 kV line ratings on a component level.
  - To determine if there are any other constraints in achieving a higher Path rating



### **CONGESTION COST ANALYSIS**

• A detailed congestion cost analysis will need to be completed for an economic evaluation for developing and implementing the long-term plan.



### **STUDY SCHEDULE**

• Refer to the Study Plan



### **STUDY GROUP MEMBERS**

- Cal-ISO
- PG&E
- SCE
- PG&E National Energy Group
- Midway Sunset Co-generation Company
- Northern California Power Agency (NCPA)
- Williams Energy
- FPL Energy
- Calpine
- CDWR
- CPUC
- Power Industry Consultants