

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20426

OFFICE OF ENERGY MARKET REGULATION

California Independent System  
Operator Corporation  
Docket No. ER05-849-010  
**December 17, 2008**

Alston & Bird, LLP  
The Atlantic Building  
950 F Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20004-1404

Attention: Bradley R. Miliauskas, Esq.  
Attorney for the California Independent  
System Operator Corporation

Reference: Compliance Filing

Dear Mr. Miliauskas:

On November 17, 2008, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) submitted for filing revisions to the Station Power protocol in the CAISO Tariff in compliance with the Commission order issued on October 17, 2008.<sup>1</sup> The CAISO has satisfactorily complied with the requirements in the order. Therefore, the revised sheets are accepted, as designated, effective as discussed below.

The CAISO included two sets of revised sheets – one for revisions to the currently effective CAISO Tariff,<sup>2</sup> and one for the version of the CAISO Tariff that will be effective upon implementation of the CAISO's Market Redesign and Technology Upgrade (MRTU Tariff). The revised sheets to the CAISO Tariff are effective as requested. The CAISO requests an effective date of January 31, 2009 for the revisions to the MRTU Tariff; however, since the date of the instant filing, the CAISO postponed

---

<sup>1</sup> *Cal. Indep. Sys. Operator Corp.*, 125 FERC ¶ 61,072 (2008).

<sup>2</sup> The CAISO has included the substance of the revisions to the most recently effective sheets of the CAISO Tariff.

Docket No. ER05-849-010

2

implementation of MRTU beyond February 1, 2009.<sup>3</sup> Accordingly, the revisions to the MRTU Tariff are effective upon MRTU implementation, and the CAISO must make an informational filing specifying the effective dates of the tariff sheets being accepted herein prior to the implementation of MRTU.

This filing was noticed on November 20, 2008, with comments, protests, or motions to intervene due on or before December 8, 2008. No protests or comments were filed. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

This acceptance shall not be construed as constituting approval of the referenced filing or of any rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation or practice affecting such rate or service provided for in the filed documents; nor shall such acceptance be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation associated therewith; and such acceptance is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or any which may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against your company.

This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated to the Director, Division of Tariffs and Market Development - West, under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director  
Division of Tariffs and Market  
Development – West

cc: All Parties

---

<sup>3</sup> On November 24, 2008, the CAISO's Board of Governors approved an MRTU implementation date of March 1, 2009.

Document Content(s)

ER05-849-010.DOC.....1-2