

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

OFFICE OF ENERGY MARKET REGULATION

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
Docket No. ER12-462-000
January 5, 2012

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
250 Outcropping Way
Folsom, CA 95630

Attention: John C. Anders, Esq.
Attorney for California Independent System Operator Corporation

Reference: Amended Scheduling Coordinator Agreement

Dear Mr. Anders:

On November 22, 2011, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) submitted an Amended Scheduling Coordinator Agreement (SCA) with Bonneville Power Administration (BPA). CAISO states the Amended SCA was filed to be consistent with the *pro forma* SCA in CAISO's tariff and current practices.¹ In addition, CAISO states the agreement reflects certain non-conforming language pertaining to BPA's jurisdiction under federal law that was agreed to by the parties. Waiver of the Commission's notice requirements pursuant to section 35.11 of the Commission's regulations (18 C.F.R. § 35.11) is granted, and the Amended SCA is accepted for filing effective November 25, 2011.²

¹ CAISO states the Amended SCA reflects changes previously approved by the Commission, citing *California Independent System Operator Corporation*, 125 FERC ¶ 61,262 (2008).

² *Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation, et al.*, 60 FERC ¶ 61,106, *reh'g denied*, 61 FERC ¶ 61,089 (1992), and *Prior Notice and Filing Requirements Under Part II of the Federal Power Act*, 64 FERC ¶ 61,139, *clarified*, 65 FERC ¶ 61,081 (1993).

This filing was noticed on November 22, 2011, with comments, protests, or motions to intervene due on or before December 13, 2011. No protests or adverse comments were filed. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to

intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

This action does not constitute approval of any service, rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation, contract, or practice affecting such rate or service provided for in the filed documents; nor shall such action be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation affecting or relating to such service or rate; and such action is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against CAISO.

This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated to the Director, Division of Electric Power Regulation - West, under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director
Division of Electric Power
Regulation – West