## FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20426

California Independent System Operator Corporation Docket No. ER11-2574-002 January 12, 2012

California Independent System Operator Corporation 250 Outcropping Way Folsom, California 95630

Attention: Andrew Ulmer, Esquire

Senior Counsel for California Independent

**System Operator Corporation** 

Reference: Compliance Filing

Dear Mr. Ulmer:

On April 8, 2011, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) submitted for filing tariff revisions in compliance with: (1) the Commission's February 28, 2011 Order on Tariff Revisions (February 28<sup>th</sup> Order) in Docket No. ER11-2574-000 and (2) the Commission's April 7, 2011 letter order that rejected CAISO's initial March 30, 2011 compliance filing. CAISO's instant revised compliance filing correctly submitted its proposed tariff revisions into the Commission's eTariff portal. Additionally, the CAISO's proposed compliance filing includes a number of tariff revisions to which it had committed to in its Answers to various protests filed in Docket No. ER11-2574-000. Specifically, CAISO has changed the definition of the term "Transmission Constraints," revised tariff language pertaining to bid extension rules in various tariff sections, revised tariff language concerning notice of and the opportunity for load serving entities to cure a resource adequacy deficiency in a local capacity area, and revised tariff provisions to correct typographical errors in its initial filing in Docket No. ER11-2574-000. CAISO's proposed tariff revisions, as embodied in various tariff sections are accepted for filing, effective February 28, 2011, consistent with the effective date assigned in the February 28<sup>th</sup> Order.

The filing was noticed on April 11, 2011, with comments, protests, or motions to intervene due on or before April 29, 2011. No protests or comments were filed. Notices

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See CAISO's Answers to protests filed on February 4 and February 16, 2011.

of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

This action does not constitute approval of any service, rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation, contract, or practice affecting such rate or service provided for in the filed documents; nor shall such action be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation affecting or relating to such service or rate; and such action is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against California Independent System Operator Corporation.

This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated to the Director, Division of Electric Power Regulation - West, under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director Division of Electric Power Regulation – West