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### Table D-1: 2020 Request Window Submittals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref. #</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Service Area</th>
<th>Submitted by</th>
<th>Review of Submission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rearrange TL23013 and TL6969</td>
<td>SDG&amp;E</td>
<td>SDG&amp;E</td>
<td>A new RAS was proposed to mitigate the reliability need.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Metro Region Reliability and Economic Project</td>
<td>SDG&amp;E</td>
<td>SDG&amp;E</td>
<td>The Request Window submission was reviewed with the determination that the submission does not meet the reliability and economic needs identified by the CAISO in this year’s planning cycle with respect to addressing the identified needs in the transmission reliability assessment results posted on August 14, 2020 and presented at the September 23 and 24 stakeholder meeting of the 2020-2021 transmission planning process. Therefore the submission was not considered as a reliability alternative or economic project in the development of the transmission plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kasson-Kasson Jct1 115 kV Line Section Reconductoring Project</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>May be considered for reliability alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Manteca #1 60 kV Line Section Reconductoring Project</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>May be considered for reliability alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Palermo-Wyandotte 115 kV Line Section Reconductoring Project</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>May be considered for reliability alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Metcalf 500/230 kV Transformers Dynamic Series Reactor Project</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>May be considered for reliability alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Santa Teresa 115 kV Substation Project</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>Load interconnection submission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>LEAPS</td>
<td>NHC</td>
<td>SDG&amp;E</td>
<td>The Request Window submission was reviewed with the determination that the submission does not meet a reliability need identified by the CAISO in this year’s planning cycle with respect to addressing the identified needs in the transmission reliability assessment results posted on August 14, 2020 and presented at the September 23 and 24 stakeholder meeting of the 2020-2021 transmission planning process. Therefore the submission was not considered as a reliability alternative or economic project in the development of the transmission plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. #</td>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Service Area</td>
<td>Submitted by</td>
<td>Review of Submission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pacific Transmission Expansion</td>
<td>WGD</td>
<td>PG&amp;E/SCE</td>
<td>2020-2021 transmission planning process. Therefore the submission was not considered as a reliability alternative in the development of the transmission plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Great Basin Transmission</td>
<td>LSPower</td>
<td>Outside CAISO</td>
<td>The Request Window submission was reviewed with the determination that the submission does not meet a reliability need identified by the CAISO in this year’s planning cycle with respect to addressing the identified needs in the transmission reliability assessment results posted on August 14, 2020 and presented at the September 23 and 24 stakeholder meeting of the 2020-2021 transmission planning process. Therefore the submission was not considered as a reliability alternative in the development of the transmission plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Contra Costa 230 kV</td>
<td>HWT</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>May be considered for reliability alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Lopez 230/115 kV</td>
<td>HWT</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>May be considered for reliability alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Metcalf 230 kV</td>
<td>HWT</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>May be considered for reliability alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Westside Canal Reliability Center</td>
<td>ConEdison</td>
<td>Outside CAISO</td>
<td>The Request Window submission was reviewed with the determination that the submission does not meet a reliability need identified by the CAISO in this year’s planning cycle with respect to addressing the identified needs in the transmission reliability assessment results posted on August 14, 2020 and presented at the September 23 and 24 stakeholder meeting of the 2020-2021 transmission planning process. Therefore the submission was not be considered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"California ISO/I&OP  D-4"
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref. #</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Service Area</th>
<th>Submitted by</th>
<th>Review of Submission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>as a reliability alternative in the development of the transmission plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>