August 10, 2020

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose  
Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20426

Re: California Independent System Operator Corporation  
Docket: ER15-2565-__  
June 2020 for Seattle City Light.

Dear Secretary Bose:

The Department of Market Monitoring (DMM) hereby submits its Energy Imbalance Market (EIM) special report on the transition period of Seattle City Light during its first six months of participation in the EIM for June 2020. Seattle City Light joined the energy imbalance market on April 1, 2020.

Please contact the undersigned directly with any questions or concerns regarding the foregoing.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Eric Hildebrandt

Eric Hildebrandt  
Director of Market Monitoring  
California Independent System Operator Corporation  
250 Outcropping Way  
Folsom, CA 95630  
Tel: (916) 608-7123  
Fax: (916) 608-7222  
ehildebrandt@caiso.com
California ISO

Report on energy imbalance market issues and performance: Seattle City Light for June 2020

August 10, 2020

Prepared by: Department of Market Monitoring
**Executive summary**

Pursuant to the Commission’s October 29, 2015 Order on the ISO’s energy imbalance market (EIM), the ISO filed a report on July 29, 2020 covering the period from June 1 through June 30, 2020 (June report) for Seattle City Light (SCL) in the energy imbalance market.¹ SCL joined the energy imbalance market on April 1, 2020.

This report provides a review by the Department of Market Monitoring (DMM) of energy imbalance market performance for the SCL balancing authority area during the period covered in the ISO’s June report. This is the third report for the transition period for the SCL balancing authority area. Key findings in this report include the following:

- Prices in the SCL area were similar to prices within PacifiCorp West. In the SCL area during the month, prices averaged $9.87/MWh in the 15-minute market and $9.62/MWh 5-minute market.

- The SCL balancing authority area did not fail the upward sufficiency test or the downward sufficiency test during June.

- There were no valid under-supply or over-supply infeasibilities in the 15-minute or 5-minute market during June.

- Transition period pricing did not impact 15-minute or 5-minute market prices for the SCL area during June.

Section 1 of this report provides a description of prices and power balance constraint relaxations and section 2 discusses the flexible ramping sufficiency test.

---

1 Energy imbalance market prices

Figure 1.1 and Figure 1.2 show hourly average 15-minute and 5-minute prices during June for Seattle City Light compared with prices in PacifiCorp West and in the ISO at the Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) default load aggregation point.

Prices in Seattle City Light (SCL) were mostly lower than prices in the ISO because of limited transmission to the ISO. This resulted in local resources setting the price in a combined Seattle City Light, Powerex, Portland General Electric, Puget Sound Energy, and PacifiCorp West region during many intervals, instead of prices reflecting the overall system price. In the SCL area during the month, prices averaged $9.87/MWh in the 15-minute market and $9.62/MWh 5-minute market. During the majority of intervals in June, real-time prices in SCL and PacifiCorp West were similar.

**Figure 1.1** Average hourly 15-minute price (June 2020)
All power balance constraint relaxations that occurred in June were subject to the six-month transition period pricing that expires on October 1, 2020. The transition period pricing mechanism sets prices at the highest cost supply bid dispatched to meet demand rather than at the $1,000/MWh penalty parameter while relaxing the constraint for shortages, or the -$155/MWh penalty parameter while relaxing the constraint for excess energy. Power balance constraint relaxations can be grouped in the following categories:

- **Valid under-supply infeasibility** (power balance constraint shortage). These occurred when the power balance constraint was relaxed because load exceeded available generation. The ISO validated that ISO software was working appropriately during these instances.

- **Valid over-supply infeasibility** (power balance constraint excess). These occurred when the power balance constraint was relaxed because generation exceeded load. The ISO validated that ISO software was working appropriately during these instances.

- **Load conformance limiter would have resolved infeasibility**. The load conformance limiter automatically reduces the size of an operator load adjustment and sets prices at the last economic signal when the conditions for the limiter are met. During the transition period, the limiter does not

---

2 When transition period pricing provisions are triggered by relaxation of the power balance constraint, any shadow price associated with the flexible ramping product is set to $0/MWh to allow the market software to use the last economic bid dispatched.

3 The ISO implemented an enhancement to the load conformance limiter, effective February 27, 2019. With the enhancement, the load conformance limiter triggers by a measure based on the change in load adjustment from one interval to the next, rather than the total level of load adjustment.
change price outcomes because transition period pricing is applied during these intervals instead. However, in these cases, the load conformance limiter would have resolved the infeasibility had transition period pricing not been in effect.

- **Correctable infeasibility.** These occurred when the ISO software relaxed the power balance constraint concurrent with a software error or data error that resulted in a price correction or would have triggered a price correction if transition period pricing were not active.\(^4\)

Figure 1.3 and Figure 1.4 show the monthly frequency of under-supply and over-supply infeasibilities in the 5-minute market and 15-minute market. As shown in Figure 1.3, there were no valid under-supply infeasibilities in June.

There were no valid over-supply infeasibilities for the SCL area during June. In addition, there were no intervals when the load conformance limiter would have triggered for the SCL balancing authority area had transition period pricing not been in effect.

---

\(^4\) Section 35 of the ISO tariff provides the ISO authority to correct prices if it detects an invalid market solution or issues due to a data input failure, occurrence of hardware or software failure, or a result that is inconsistent with the ISO tariff. During erroneous intervals, the ISO determined that prices resulting under transition period pricing were equivalent to prices that would result from a price correction, so no further price adjustment was appropriate. [http://www.caiso.com/Documents/Section35_MarketValidationAndPriceCorrection_May1_2014.pdf](http://www.caiso.com/Documents/Section35_MarketValidationAndPriceCorrection_May1_2014.pdf).
Figure 1.4  Frequency of over-supply power balance infeasibilities by week
Seattle City Light

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent of intervals</th>
<th>15-minute market</th>
<th>5-minute market</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1-Apr</td>
<td>1-Apr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>8-Apr</td>
<td>8-Apr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>15-Apr</td>
<td>15-Apr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>22-Apr</td>
<td>22-Apr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>29-Apr</td>
<td>29-Apr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>6-May</td>
<td>6-May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected or invalid infeasibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load conformance limiter would have resolved infeasibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid over-supply infeasibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1.5 and Figure 1.6 show the average weekly prices in the 15-minute market and 5-minute market with and without the special transition period pricing provisions applied to mitigate prices in the SCL area during June. On average for the month, transition period pricing did not impact 15-minute or 5-minute market prices for the SCL area during June.

---

5 A detailed description of the methodology used to calculate these counterfactual prices that would result without transition period pricing was provided on p. 7 of the January 2017 report for Arizona Public Service from DMM: http://www.caiso.com/Documents/May1_2017_Department_MarketMonitoring_EIMTransitionPeriodReport_ArizonaPublicService_Jan2017_ER15-2565.pdf
Figure 1.5  Average prices by week – Seattle City Light (15-minute market)

Figure 1.6  Average prices by week – Seattle City Light (5-minute market)
2 Flexible ramping sufficiency test

The flexible ramping sufficiency test ensures that each balancing area has enough ramping resources over each hour to meet expected upward and downward ramping needs. The test is designed to ensure that each energy imbalance market area has sufficient ramping capacity to meet real-time market requirements without relying on transfers from other balancing areas.

If an area fails the upward sufficiency test, energy imbalance market imports cannot be increased.\(^6\) Similarly, if an area fails the downward sufficiency test, exports cannot be increased. In addition to the sufficiency test, each area is also subject to a capacity test. If an area fails the capacity test, then the flexible ramping sufficiency test automatically fails as a result.\(^7\)

Figure 2.1 shows the monthly frequency that SCL failed the sufficiency test in the upward or downward direction. The SCL balancing authority area did not fail the upward sufficiency test or the downward sufficiency test during June.

---
