

August 14, 2008

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20426

Re: *California Independent System Operator Corporation*
Docket No. ER08-____-000

Transmission Access Charge Informational Filing

Dear Secretary Bose:

Please find enclosed an original and 5 copies of an informational filing by the California Independent System Operator Corporation (“CAISO”) that is intended to provide notice regarding the CAISO’s revised transmission Access Charges effective June 1, 2008. The basis for the revision is to implement the “out-of-cycle” Transmission Revenue Balancing Account (“TRBA”) adjustment of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (“SDG&E”). This SDG&E TRBA adjustment was accepted by the Commission in a letter order issued on April 28, 2008 in Docket No. ER08-759.

Changes in Rates

The transmission Access Charges provided in the present filing revise the Access Charges and Wheeling Access Charges provided for informational purposes in the CAISO’s submission of July 31, 2008 in Docket No. ER08-1349 (deemed by the Commission as filed on August 1, 2008).¹ The changes in the present filing are effective June 1, 2008, in accordance with CAISO Tariff Appendix F, Schedule 3, Section 8.

Worksheets illustrating the recalculation of the CAISO’s transmission Access Charges are included with the present transmittal letter as Attachment A. The recalculated rates for each of the TAC Areas, effective June 1, 2008, are as follows:

¹ As first noted in the CAISO’s informational filing in Docket No. ER08-1321, the revised Access Charges and Wheeling Access Charges in the present filing include a revision to the TRR of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (“PG&E”) that was effective March 1, 2008, but which has not yet been the subject of an informational filing of revisions of TAC rates by the CAISO. As noted in its filing in Docket No. ER08-1321, the CAISO will be submitting a separate informational filing describing the effect of this PG&E TRR revision and the need for a recalculation of TAC rates for the month of March 2008.

Northern Area-	\$3.6952/MWh
East/Central Area	\$3.7445/MWh
Southern Area	\$3.4665/MWh

Communications regarding this filing should be addressed to the following individuals, whose names should be placed on the official service list established by the Secretary with respect to this submittal:

Deborah A. Le Vine*	Michael D. Dozier*, Senior Counsel
California Independent System Operator Corporation	California Independent System Operator Corporation
151 Blue Ravine Road	151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630	Folsom, CA 95630
Phone: (916) 351-2144	Phone: (916) 608-7048
Fax: (916) 351-2267	Fax: (916) 608-7222
dlevine@caiso.com	mdozier@caiso.com

*Individuals designated for service pursuant to Rule 203(b)(3), 18 C.F.R. § 385.203(b)(3).

The CAISO has served copies of this transmittal letter and attachments hereto on the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, the California Energy Commission, and the Participating TOs, and on all parties with effective Scheduling Coordinator Agreements under the CAISO Tariff. In addition, the CAISO is posting this transmittal letter and all attachments on the CAISO Website.

An additional copy of this filing is enclosed to be date-stamped and returned in the enclosed, pre-paid Federal Express envelope. If there are any questions concerning this filing, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael D. Dozier
Senior Counsel
California Independent System Operator Corporation
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630
Tel: (916) 608-7048
Fax: (916) 608-7222

Attachment

ATTACHMENT A

June 01, 2008 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

Based on the FERC Order on SDG&Es' Out-of-Cycle TRBAA Filing (Docket No. ER08-759)

TAC Components:

	Filed Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [1]	Filed Annual TRR New HV Facilities (\$) [2]	Filed Annual Gross Load (MWh) [3]	TAC Area [4]	Total Filed TRR (\$) =[1] + [2] [5]	EHVF only Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWh) [6] =[1] / [3]	EHVF only TAC Area Rate (\$/MWh) [7] =[21]	HV Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWh) [8] =[5] / [3]	TAC Area Rate (\$/MWh) [9] =[19]
PGE	\$ 170,711,601	\$ 194,448,728	90,934,164	N	\$ 365,160,329	\$ 1.8773	\$ 1.8547	\$ 4.0157	\$ 3.6952
SCE	\$ 159,363,861	\$ 94,316,942	91,670,569	EC	\$ 253,680,803	\$ 1.7384	\$ 1.8917	\$ 2.7673	\$ 3.7445
SDGE	\$ 15,599,258	\$ 63,038,002	21,271,145	S	\$ 78,637,260	\$ 0.7334	\$ 1.6259	\$ 3.6969	\$ 3.4665
Anaheim	\$ 21,670,561	\$ -	2,766,313	EC	\$ 21,670,561	\$ 7.8337	\$ 1.8917	\$ 7.8337	\$ 3.7445
Azusa	\$ 1,008,851	\$ -	239,575	EC	\$ 1,008,851	\$ 4.2110	\$ 1.8917	\$ 4.2110	\$ 3.7445
Banning	\$ 830,074	\$ -	139,457	EC	\$ 830,074	\$ 5.9522	\$ 1.8917	\$ 5.9522	\$ 3.7445
Pasadena	\$ 8,706,949	\$ -	1,239,884	EC	\$ 8,706,949	\$ 7.0224	\$ 1.8917	\$ 7.0224	\$ 3.7445
Riverside	\$ 13,680,083	\$ -	1,814,019	EC	\$ 13,680,083	\$ 7.5413	\$ 1.8917	\$ 7.5413	\$ 3.7445
Vernon	\$ (746,419)	\$ -	1,288,684	EC	\$ (746,419)	\$ (0.5792)	\$ 1.8917	\$ (0.5792)	\$ 3.7445
Atlantic P15	\$ -	\$ 32,373,056	-	N	\$ 32,373,056	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3.6952
Startrans	\$ 6,055,879	\$ -	-	EC	\$ 6,055,879	\$ -	\$ 1.8917	\$ -	\$ 3.7445
ISO Total	\$ 396,880,699	\$ 384,176,728	211,363,810		\$ 781,057,427				

STEP 1: Calculate the Access Charge Rate for each TAC Area.

TAC-Area portion is the percent of Total TRR in each area which has not yet transitioned to the ISO (20%) divided by the Total Load of each area.

The ISO portion is the percent of all TRR which has transitioned to ISO-Wide (80%), plus the TRR of New HV Facilities, divided by total load.

	Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [10] =[1]	Annual TAC Area TRR (\$) [11] =[10] x 20%	Annual TAC Area TRR (w/Load) (\$) 11B =[10] x 20%	Annual Gross Load (MWh) [12] =[3]	TAC Area Rate (\$/MWh) [13] =[11] / [12]	TAC Area Rate (TRR w/Load) (\$/MWh) 13B =[12] / [13]			Existing HV Facilites (EHVF) only TAC Rate (\$/MWh) [21] =[13] + [18]	New HV Facilites (NHVF) only TAC Rate (\$/MWh) [22] =[15] / [16]																		
North	\$ 170,711,601	\$ 34,142,320	\$ 34,142,320	90,934,164	\$ 0.3755	\$ 0.3755	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>TAC Rate (TAC Area + ISO Wide) (\$/MWh) [19] =[13] + [17]</td> <td>Wheeling Rate (TAC Area + ISO Wide) (\$/MWh) [20] =[19]</td> <td>Existing HV Facilites (EHVF) only TAC Rate (\$/MWh) [21] =[13] + [18]</td> <td>New HV Facilites (NHVF) only TAC Rate (\$/MWh) [22] =[15] / [16]</td> </tr> <tr> <td>North</td> <td>\$ 3.6952</td> <td>\$ 3.6952</td> <td>\$ 1.8547</td> <td>\$ 1.8176</td> </tr> <tr> <td>East/Central</td> <td>\$ 3.7445</td> <td>\$ 3.7445</td> <td>\$ 1.8917</td> <td>\$ 1.8176</td> </tr> <tr> <td>South</td> <td>\$ 3.4665</td> <td>\$ 3.4665</td> <td>\$ 1.6259</td> <td>\$ 1.8176</td> </tr> </table>	TAC Rate (TAC Area + ISO Wide) (\$/MWh) [19] =[13] + [17]	Wheeling Rate (TAC Area + ISO Wide) (\$/MWh) [20] =[19]	Existing HV Facilites (EHVF) only TAC Rate (\$/MWh) [21] =[13] + [18]	New HV Facilites (NHVF) only TAC Rate (\$/MWh) [22] =[15] / [16]	North	\$ 3.6952	\$ 3.6952	\$ 1.8547	\$ 1.8176	East/Central	\$ 3.7445	\$ 3.7445	\$ 1.8917	\$ 1.8176	South	\$ 3.4665	\$ 3.4665	\$ 1.6259	\$ 1.8176		
TAC Rate (TAC Area + ISO Wide) (\$/MWh) [19] =[13] + [17]	Wheeling Rate (TAC Area + ISO Wide) (\$/MWh) [20] =[19]	Existing HV Facilites (EHVF) only TAC Rate (\$/MWh) [21] =[13] + [18]	New HV Facilites (NHVF) only TAC Rate (\$/MWh) [22] =[15] / [16]																									
North	\$ 3.6952	\$ 3.6952	\$ 1.8547	\$ 1.8176																								
East/Central	\$ 3.7445	\$ 3.7445	\$ 1.8917	\$ 1.8176																								
South	\$ 3.4665	\$ 3.4665	\$ 1.6259	\$ 1.8176																								
East/C	\$ 210,569,840	\$ 42,113,968	\$ 40,902,792	99,158,501	\$ 0.4247	\$ 0.4125																						
South	\$ 15,599,258	\$ 3,119,852	\$ 3,119,852	21,271,145	\$ 0.1467	\$ 0.1467																						
Total	\$ 396,880,699	\$ 79,376,140	\$ 78,164,964	211,363,810																								
	ISO Wide TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [14] Total ([10]) x 80%	ISO Wide TRR EHVF w/Load (\$) 14B Total ([11]) x 80%	ISO Wide TRR New HV Facilities (\$) [15] = Total [2]	ISO Wide Annual Gross Load (MWh) [16] = Total [3]	ISO Wide Rate (\$/MWh) [17] = ([14] + [15]) / [16]	EHVF ISO-Wide Rate TRR w/Load only (\$/MWh) [18] =[14B] / [16]																						
ISO-wide	\$ 317,504,559	\$ 312,659,856	\$ 384,176,728	211,363,810	\$ 3.3198	\$ 1.4792																						

June 01, 2008 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 2: Calculate the HV Access Charge the UDC/MSS pays on Filed Gross Load and Benefit/Burden. Note: ISO total for (Benefit)/Burden may not equal zero due to rounding of TAC Rate.

TAC Area	Filed Gross Load (MWH)	EHVF only TAC Rate (\$/MWH)	Amount Paid Based on Filed Gross Load (\$)	EHVF only Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH)	Would Have Paid w/ EHVF Utility Specific Rate (\$)	EHVF Access Charge (Benefit)/Burden (\$)
[23] = [4]	[24] = [3]	[25] = [7]	[26] = [24] x [25]	[27] = [6]	[28] = [24] x [27]	[29] = [26] - [28]
PGE N	90,934,164	\$ 1.8547	\$ 168,656,656	\$ 1.8773	\$ 170,711,601	\$ (2,054,945)
SCE EC	91,670,569	\$ 1.8917	\$ 173,417,690	\$ 1.7384	\$ 159,363,861	\$ 14,053,829
SDGE S	21,271,145	\$ 1.6259	\$ 34,585,187	\$ 0.7334	\$ 15,599,258	\$ 18,985,929
Anaheim EC	2,766,313	\$ 1.8917	\$ 5,233,169	\$ 7.8337	\$ 21,670,561	\$ (16,437,391)
Azusa EC	239,575	\$ 1.8917	\$ 453,216	\$ 4.2110	\$ 1,008,851	\$ (555,636)
Banning EC	139,457	\$ 1.8917	\$ 263,818	\$ 5.9522	\$ 830,074	\$ (566,257)
Pasadena EC	1,239,884	\$ 1.8917	\$ 2,345,549	\$ 7.0224	\$ 8,706,949	\$ (6,361,400)
Riverside EC	1,814,019	\$ 1.8917	\$ 3,431,668	\$ 7.5413	\$ 13,680,083	\$ (10,248,415)
Vernon EC	1,288,684	\$ 1.8917	\$ 2,437,866	\$ (0.5792)	\$ (746,419)	\$ 3,184,285
Startrans EC	-	\$ 1.8917	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
ISO Total	211,363,810		\$ 390,824,820		\$ 390,824,820	\$ (0)

STEP 3: For Information Only -- Projected annual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge for Existing Facilities.

\$32/32/8 million cap for IOUs; munis are held harmless; IOUs pay muni cost increases in proportion to their cap relative to the total cap.

EHVF Access Charge (Benefit)/Burden (\$)	IOU Burden Annual Cap (\$)	Amount IOUs' Cap Exceeds IOUs' Burden (\$)	Amount IOU's Burden Exceeds IOU's Cap (\$)	Payments by Entities with Net Benefit (\$)	Mitigation Payments (\$)	Adjusted Net (Benefit) / Burden (\$)	Reallocation IOU Burden (\$)	Transition Charge (\$)	Adjusted Net (Benefit) / Burden (\$)	Transition Charge Rate (\$/MWh)
[30] = [29]	[31]	[32] IF ([31] - [30] > 0) = [31] - [30]. If no cap, then 0.	[33] IF [30] - [31] > 0 = [30] - [31]. If no cap, then 0.	[34] IOUs = ([32] / total[32]) x total[33]. Munis w/ Benefit = ([30] / total[30]) x total[33] - total[32]	[35] = [34] - [33]	[36] = [30] + [35]	[37] Reallocate IOU Burden [39] so it is proportional to IOU Cap [31] = [39] - [36]	[38] = [35] + [37]	[39] = [36] + [37]	[40] = [38] / [24]
PGE \$ (2,054,945)	\$ 32,000,000	\$ 34,054,945	\$ 0	\$ 9,279,914	\$ 9,279,914	\$ 7,224,969	\$ 7,961,297	\$ 17,241,211	\$ 15,186,266	\$ 0.1896
SCE \$ 14,053,829	\$ 32,000,000	\$ 17,946,171	\$ 0	\$ 4,890,301	\$ 4,890,301	\$ 18,944,130	\$ (3,757,864)	\$ 1,132,437	\$ 15,186,266	\$ 0.0124
SDGE \$ 18,985,929	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 0	\$ 10,985,929	\$ 0	\$ (10,985,929)	\$ 8,000,000	\$ (4,203,433)	\$ (15,189,362)	\$ 3,796,567	\$ (0.7141)
Anaheim \$ (16,437,391)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (16,437,391)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (16,437,391)	\$ 0
Azusa \$ (555,636)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (555,636)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (555,636)	\$ 0
Banning \$ (566,257)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (566,257)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (566,257)	\$ 0
Pasadena \$ (6,361,400)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (6,361,400)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (6,361,400)	\$ 0
Riverside \$ (10,248,415)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (10,248,415)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (10,248,415)	\$ 0
Vernon \$ 3,184,285	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 3,184,285	\$ 0	\$ (3,184,285)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (3,184,285)	\$ 0	\$ (2.4710)
Startrans \$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Total	\$ 72,000,000	\$ 52,001,116	\$ 14,170,214	\$ 14,170,214	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	

June 01, 2008 TAC Rates
Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 4: For Information Only -- Projected annual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge for New Facilities and Total projected annual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge.

	Filed Annual TRR New HV Facilities (\$) [41] = [2]	ISO Wide Annual Gross Load (MWh) [42] = [3]	New HVTRR Rate (\$/MWh) [43] = ([15]) / [16]	New HVTRR Cost Responsibility (\$) [44] = ([42]) * [43]	NHVF Access Charge (Benefit)/Burden (\$) [45] = ([44]) - [41]	Total Access Charge (Benefit)/Burden (\$) [46] = ([45]) + [39]
PGE	\$ 194,448,728	90,934,164	\$ 1.8176	\$ 165,282,740	\$ (29,165,988)	\$ (13,979,722)
SCE	\$ 94,316,942	91,670,569	\$ 1.8176	\$ 166,621,236	\$ 72,304,294	\$ 87,490,560
SDGE	\$ 63,038,002	21,271,145	\$ 1.8176	\$ 38,662,621	\$ (24,375,381)	\$ (20,578,814)
Anaheim	\$ -	2,766,313	\$ 1.8176	\$ 5,028,075	\$ 5,028,075	\$ (11,409,317)
Azusa	\$ -	239,575	\$ 1.8176	\$ 435,454	\$ 435,454	\$ (120,182)
Banning	\$ -	139,457	\$ 1.8176	\$ 253,478	\$ 253,478	\$ (312,778)
Pasadena	\$ -	1,239,884	\$ 1.8176	\$ 2,253,624	\$ 2,253,624	\$ (4,107,776)
Riverside	\$ -	1,814,019	\$ 1.8176	\$ 3,297,177	\$ 3,297,177	\$ (6,951,238)
Vernon	\$ -	1,288,684	\$ 1.8176	\$ 2,342,323	\$ 2,342,323	\$ 2,342,323
Atlantic P15	\$ 32,373,056	0	\$ 1.8176	\$ 0	\$ (32,373,056)	\$ (32,373,056)
Total	\$ 384,176,728	211,363,810		\$ 384,176,728	\$ 0	\$ 0