

Storage as a Transmission Asset

Stakeholder Comment Template

Submitted by	Company	Date Submitted
Soheil Loghmanpour, P.E. Soheil.Loghmanpour@water.ca.gov (916) 574-1295	California Department of Water Resources (CDWR). 2135 Butano Dr. Ste. 100. Sacramento, CA 95825	08/30/2018

Please use this template to provide your comments on the Storage as a Transmission Asset revised straw proposal that was posted on August 15, 2018.



Submit comments to InitiativeComments@CAISO.com

Comments are due September 4, 2018 by 5:00pm

The revised straw proposal, posted on August 15, 2018, as well as the presentation discussed during the August 21, 2018 stakeholder web conference, may be found on the [Storage as a Transmission Asset](#) webpage.

Please provide your comments on the revised straw proposal topics listed below, as well as any additional comments you wish to provide using this template.

Contractual Arrangement

The ISO proposes to develop a new agreement with SATA resource owners that captures elements from Participating Generator Agreement (PGA), Participating Load Agreement (PLA), Reliability-Must-Run (RMR) and Transmission Control Area (TCA) agreements. Additionally, the ISO has indicated its preference to control SATAs when they operate as transmission assets. Please provide comments on this proposal.

Comments:

CDWR does not have any comment on this topic at this time.

Transmission Revenue Requirement Capital Credit

The ISO has proposed a TRR capital credit to reduce a SATA resource's capital cost recovery. The objective of this credit is (1) to protect ratepayers from early degradation of SATA resources operational capabilities due to dispatches from ISO market participation and potential for reduced useful lifespan for a SATA resource's ability to meet the identified transmission need(s), and, (2) to ensure the SATA resource owner considers all marginal costs when bidding into the market. Please provide comments on the ISO's proposal and any potential alternative the ISO could consider to achieve the same objectives.

Comments:

CDWR generally supports the CAISO's proposal of Transmission Revenue Requirement Capital Credit, but would like to see more details on the formula by which the TRR Capital Credit would be calculated.

Market Participation

The ISO provided two additional options it is currently considering to notify SATA resources when they would be permitted to provide market services and access market revenues: Day-ahead market option and D+2 Option. Please provide comments on these options, including any preference or alternative options.

Comments:

CDWR does not have any comment on this topic at this time.

Cost Recovery Mechanism

The ISO has proposed three alternative cost recovery mechanisms in the straw proposal:

1. Full cost-of-service based cost recovery with energy market crediting
2. Partial cost-of-service based cost recovery with no energy market crediting
3. Full cost-of-service based cost recovery with partial market revenue sharing between owner and ratepayer

Please provide comments on these three options and any other options the ISO has not identified. Please provide specific comments on (a) if the ISO should maintain option 2, above, and (b) why, if any, specific market profit threshold must be reached before the SATA resource would be permitted to retain some portion of profits and how such threshold should be determined.

Comments:

CDWR would like to see more details on the cost recovery mechanism for Alternative 3, specifically as to how the market revenues would be split between SATA owners and ratepayers.

Options in the event of insufficient qualified project sponsors

The ISO has proposed potential options for addressing SATA projects when there is insufficient qualified project sponsors. Please provide comments on these options, including preferences and/or additional alternatives that should be considered.

Comments:

CDWR does not have any comment on this topic at this time.

Consistent with FERC Policy Statement

The ISO believes the revised straw proposal is consistent with the FERC Policy Statement. Specifically, that the straw proposal does not inappropriately suppress market prices, impact ISO independence, nor result in double recovery of costs. Please provide comments on the whether you agree or disagree with the ISO. If you disagree, please clarify why and how the ISO might address this issue.

Comments:

CDWR does not have any comment on this topic at this time.

Other

Please provide any comments not addressed above, including any comments on process or scope of the Storage as a Transmission Asset initiative, here.

Comments:

CDWR does not have any comment on this topic at this time.