December 13, 2023

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose  
Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20426

Re: California Independent System Operator Corporation  
Docket: ER15-2565-__  
Independent Assessment by the Department of Market Monitoring  

Dear Secretary Bose:

The Department of Market Monitoring (DMM) hereby submits its independent assessment on the transition period of El Paso Electric Company (EPE) during its first six months of participation in the Western Energy Imbalance Market (WEIM) for September 2023, as EPE joined the WEIM on April 5, 2023.

Please contact the undersigned directly with any questions or concerns regarding the foregoing.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Eric Hildebrandt

Eric Hildebrandt  
Director of Market Monitoring  
California Independent System Operator Corporation  
250 Outcropping Way  
Folsom, CA 95630  
Tel: (916) 608-7123  
Fax: (916) 608-7222  
ehildebrandt@caiso.com
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Executive summary

Pursuant to the Commission’s October 29, 2015 Order on the CAISO’s Western Energy Imbalance Market (WEIM), the California ISO (CAISO) filed a report on October 25, 2023 covering the period from September 1 through September 30, 2023 (September report) for El Paso Electric Company (EPE) in the Western Energy Imbalance Market. 1 EPE joined the WEIM on April 5, 2023, and the transition period will apply to the EPE balancing authority area (BAA) until September 30, 2023. 2

This report provides a review by the Department of Market Monitoring (DMM) of Western Energy Imbalance Market performance for the EPE balancing authority area during the period covered in the CAISO’s September report. This is the sixth and final report for the transition period of the EPE balancing authority area. Key findings in this report include the following:

- Prices in the EPE area tracked slightly below prices in the Desert Southwest WEIM region and well below the Southern California Edison (SCE) default aggregation point within the CAISO.

- The EPE balancing authority area failed the upward sufficiency test during 17 intervals and the downward sufficiency test during 10 intervals in September. Additionally, the EPE balancing authority failed the upward capacity test during 3 intervals and the downward capacity test during 6 intervals.

- For the month, there were 5 valid under-supply infeasibilities in the 15-minute market and 20 in the 5-minute market.

- Transition period pricing decreased EPE area prices by $1.68/MWh and $2.25/MWh in the 15-minute and 5-minute markets, respectively.

Section 1 of this report provides a description of prices and power balance constraint relaxations, and Section 2 discusses the flexible ramping sufficiency and bid range capacity tests.

---


2 This follows from the application of CAISO Tariff section 27(b)(1), which refers to a number of months rather than a number of days.
Western Energy Imbalance Market prices

Figure 1.1 and Figure 1.2 show hourly average 15-minute and 5-minute prices during September for EPE compared with prices in the CAISO at the Southern California Edison (SCE) default load aggregation point and the average Desert Southwest WEIM regional prices.³

Average prices in the El Paso Electric Company area tracked slightly below prices in the Desert Southwest WEIM region and well below the Southern California Edison (SCE) default aggregation point within the CAISO. For the month, EPE prices averaged $28.53/MWh in the 15-minute market and $29.99/MWh in the 5-minute market.

Figure 1.1 Average hourly 15-minute price (September 2023)

³ The Desert Southwest WEIM region includes Arizona Public Service, Tucson Electric Power, Nevada Energy, Public Service Company of New Mexico, and Salt River Project. Western Area Power Administration – Desert Southwest Region is part of this WEIM region, but is not included for this analysis.
All power balance constraint relaxations that occurred in September were subject to the six-month transition period pricing that expires on October 1, 2023.\textsuperscript{2} The transition period pricing mechanism sets prices at the highest cost supply bid dispatched to meet demand, rather than at the $1,000/MWh penalty parameter while relaxing the constraint for shortages, or the -$155/MWh penalty parameter while relaxing the constraint for excess energy.\textsuperscript{4,5} Power balance constraint relaxations can be grouped in the following categories:

- **Valid under-supply infeasibility** (power balance constraint shortage). These occurred when the power balance constraint was relaxed because load exceeded available generation. The CAISO validated that CAISO software was working appropriately during these instances.

- **Valid over-supply infeasibility** (power balance constraint excess). These occurred when the power balance constraint was relaxed because generation exceeded load. The CAISO validated that CAISO software was working appropriately during these instances.

- **Load conformance limiter would have resolved infeasibility**. The load conformance limiter automatically reduces the size of an operator load adjustment and sets prices at the last economic

\textsuperscript{4} When transition period pricing provisions are triggered by relaxation of the power balance constraint, any shadow price associated with the flexible ramping product is set to $0/MWh to allow the market software to use the last economic bid dispatched.

\textsuperscript{5} The penalty parameter while relaxing the constraint for shortages may rise from $1,000/MWh to $2,000/MWh, depending on system conditions, per phase 2 implementation of FERC Order 831.
signal when the conditions for the limiter are met. During the transition period, the limiter does not change price outcomes because transition period pricing is applied during these intervals instead. However, in these cases, the load conformance limiter would have resolved the infeasibility had transition period pricing not been in effect.

- **Correctable infeasibility.** These occurred when the CAISO software relaxed the power balance constraint concurrent with a software error or data error that resulted in a price correction, or would have triggered a price correction if transition period pricing were not active.

Figure 1.3 and Figure 1.4 show the monthly frequency of under-supply and over-supply infeasibilities in the 15-minute and 5-minute markets, respectively. In September, there were 5 valid under-supply infeasibilities in the 15-minute market and 20 in the 5-minute market.

Additionally during September, there were 2 intervals in the 5-minute market when the load conformance limiter would have triggered for the EPE balancing authority area, had transition period pricing not been in effect.

---

6 The CAISO implemented an enhancement to the load conformance limiter, effective February 27, 2019. With the enhancement, the load conformance limiter triggers by a measure based on the change in load adjustment from one interval to the next, rather than the total level of load adjustment.

7 Section 35 of the CAISO tariff provides the CAISO authority to correct prices if it detects an invalid market solution or issues due to a data input failure, occurrence of hardware or software failure, or a result that is inconsistent with the CAISO tariff. During erroneous intervals, the CAISO determined that prices resulting under transition period pricing were equivalent to prices that would result from a price correction, so no further price adjustment was appropriate. For more information: [http://www.caiso.com/Documents/Section35_MarketValidationAndPriceCorrection_May1_2014.pdf](http://www.caiso.com/Documents/Section35_MarketValidationAndPriceCorrection_May1_2014.pdf)
Figure 1.4  Frequency of over-supply power balance infeasibilities by month  
El Paso Electric Company

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent of intervals</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected or invalid infeasibility</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load conformance limiter would have resolved infeasibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid over-supply infeasibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On average for September, transition period pricing decreased EPE area prices by $1.68/MWh and $2.25/MWh in the 15-minute and 5-minute markets, respectively.

---

A detailed description of the methodology used to calculate these counterfactual prices that would result without transition period pricing was provided on p. 7 of the January 2017 report for Arizona Public Service from DMM:  
Figure 1.5  Average prices by month – El Paso Electric Company (EPE)  
(15-minute market)

Figure 1.6  Average prices by month – El Paso Electric Company (EPE)  
(5-minute market)
2 Flexible ramping sufficiency and bid range capacity tests

As part of the WEIM, each area including the California ISO is subject to a resource sufficiency evaluation. The evaluation is performed prior to each hour to ensure that generation in each area is sufficient without relying on transfers from other balancing areas. The evaluation includes two tests:

- **The bid range capacity test (capacity test)** requires that each area provide incremental bid-in capacity to meet the imbalance between load, intertie, and generation base schedules.

- **The flexible ramping sufficiency test (sufficiency test)** requires that each balancing area has enough ramping flexibility over an hour to meet the forecasted change in demand as well as uncertainty.

If an area fails either the bid range capacity test or flexible ramping sufficiency test, energy imbalance market transfers into that area cannot be increased. If failures of the capacity and sufficiency tests are important because these outcomes limit transfer capability. Constraining transfer capability may affect the efficiency of the WEIM by limiting transfers into and out of a balancing area that could potentially provide benefits to other balancing areas. Reduced transfer capability also affects the ability for an area to balance load, since there is less availability to import-from or export-to neighboring areas. This can result in local prices being set at power balance constraint penalty parameters.

Figure 2.1 shows the monthly frequency of upward and downward flexible ramping sufficiency test failures, while Figure 2.2 shows the number of bid range capacity test failures by month. The EPE balancing authority area failed the upward sufficiency test during 17 intervals and the downward sufficiency test during 10 intervals in September. Additionally, the EPE balancing authority failed the upward capacity test during 3 intervals and the downward capacity test during 6 intervals.

---

9 If an area fails either test in the upward direction, net WEIM imports (negative) during the hour cannot exceed the lower of either the base transfer or optimal transfer from the last 15-minute interval prior to the hour.
Figure 2.1  Frequency of upward and downward sufficiency test failures by month
El Paso Electric Company (EPE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Upward sufficiency test
Downward sufficiency test

Figure 2.2  Frequency of upward and downward capacity test failures by month
El Paso Electric Company (EPE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Upward capacity test
Downward capacity test
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Dated at Folsom, California, this 13th day of December, 2023.

/s/ Aprille Girardot

Aprille Girardot