

Decision on ISO 2019-2020 Transmission Plan

Jeff Billinton Director, Transmission Infrastructure Planning

Board of Governors Meeting General Session March 25, 2020

Approving the plan means approving determinations and recommendations contained in the plan

- 9 transmission projects identified as needed:
 - 9 reliability-driven projects totaling \$141.7 million
 - 7 of the 9 projects, totaling \$87.7 million, were approved by ISO Management as a part of the 2019-2020 transmission planning process
- 3 previously approved projects are on hold and require further evaluation in future planning cycles
- No policy-driven projects
- No economic-driven projects
 - one project already found to be needed for reliability needs is recommended to be advanced for economic benefit reasons



2019-2020 Transmission Planning Process



ISO Board for approval of transmission plan



Emphasis in transmission planning cycle

- A modest capital program, as
 - Reliability issues are largely in hand
 - Policy work considered portfolios submitted by CPUC of "42 MMT scenario" baseline and sensitivity studies.
 - Very little economic-driven opportunity, largely due to status of IRP decision making and need to retain the gas fleet.
- Significant interest in development community for transmission lines and storage proposals for major facilities needing detailed economic analysis.
- Continued "special" study efforts on local capacity areas and gas-fired generation requirements.



Studies are sequentially coordinated as a part of the transmission planning process





The ISO's reliability analysis led to the following:

- 9 new reliability projects of approximately \$141.7 million
 - 7 projects in PG&E service territory totaling \$120.7 million
 - 1 project in VEA/GLW service territory totaling \$5 million
 - 1 projects in SCE service territory totaling \$16 million
 - 7 of the 9 projects totaling \$87.7 million were approved by ISO Management as a part of the 2019-2020 transmission planning process.
- Three previously approved projects in PG&E service territory are recommended to be on hold for further review in future cycles.



Policy-driven analysis

- CPUC's 2017-2018 Integrated Resource Planning cycle provided resource planning assumptions to the ISO:
 - Base portfolio based on its "42 MMT scenario" that results in approximately a <u>60 percent RPS</u>, and
 - Two sensitivity portfolios resulting in approximately a 71% percent RPS.
- The ISO performed policy-driven study assessments of the 42 MMT scenario and did not identify any new Category 1 policy-driven transmission needs.
- Sensitivity analyses showed significant potential curtailments, raising potential for economic-driven needs, if not policydriven
- The ISO is not recommending any new transmission solutions at this time for policy purposes.



Generic resources included in CPUC base portfolio:



Queue Map – Conventional & Renewables – July 24, 2019



California ISO

COL	niy 7	+ or Projects	Kenewables	slorage	Conventional	10101
1	Humboldt	6	1910	201		2,110
2	Shasta	1	200			200
3	Lassen	2	21	27		48
4	Mendocino	1		31		31
5	Napa	1	30			30
6	Tehama	2	ó			ó
7	Lake	3	145	39		184
8	Colusa	9	1,198	825		2,023
9	Sacramento	1		59		59
10	Yolo	2	12	12		24
11	Solano	5	454	821		1,275
12	Alameda-Contra Costa-Santa Cla	ira 24	634	3,510		4,144
13	San Francisco	1		250		250
14	San Joaquin	14	994	862		1,857
15	Yuba	1	6			6
16	Sutter	2	100	100		200
17	Stanislaus	7	657	108		765
18	Merced	12	833	200		1,033
19	Tuolumne	1		10		10
20	Fresno-Madera	45	4734	3,562	123	8,419
21	San Benito-Monterey	6	30	1,867		1,898
22	Kings	24	3,443	2,176		5,619
23	Tulare-Inyo	13	1,285	1,014		2,299
24	San Luis Obispo	11	8,994	856		9,850
25	Kern	81	11,633	5,022		16,655
26	San Bernardino	24	2,035	1,232	38	3,306
27	Ventura	2	500	500		1,000
28	Los Angeles Orange	28	3,893	5,469	700	10,062
29	Riverside	38	6,223	5,761		11,984
30	San Diego	35	1,233	2,709	141	4,082
31	Imperial	13	1,837	1,337		3,175
In-	state Totals	415	53,041	38,560	1,002	92,604
1	Nevada	28	5,235	2,738	44 CC-	7,973
	Arizona	24	7,383	3,157		10,540
	Mexico	6	1,057	1,128		2,185
_	ıt-of-state Totals	58	13,675	7,023		20,698
	TAL ALL PROJECTS	473	66,716	45,583	1,002	113,301

Megawatts

of Projects Renewables Storage Conventional Total

Interconnection queue by county

County

Slide 9

Economic-driven analysis

- In the economic assessment the ISO:
 - Received a number of economic study requests, which included projects that would more reasonably be categorized as interregional transmission projects;
 - Received several proposed reliability projects that cited material economic benefits; and
 - Completed the expanded 10-year local capacity technical study initiated in the 2018- 2019 planning cycle exploring potential transmission upgrades
- No new projects were found to be needed as economic-driven projects in the 2019-2020 planning cycle
 - One project already found to be needed for reliability needs is recommended to be advanced for economic benefit reasons.
 - One project in Contra Costa LCR subarea will be continue to be assessed as a part of the annual LCR analysis – and may be brought for approval in at a future Board of Governors meeting.



New Projects Recommended for Approval

Projects approved by ISO Management in the 2019-2020 transmission planning process:

Projects	ΡΤΟ	Project costs (millions)	Comments
Tulucay-Napa #2 60 kV Line Capacity Increase	PG&E	\$5-\$10	Reliability
East Shore 230 kV Bus Terminals Reconfiguration	PG&E	\$2-\$4	Reliability
Newark 230/115 kV Tran7 of the 9 projects totaling \$87.7 million were approved by CAISO management as a part of transmission planning process sformer Bank #7 Circuit Breaker Addition	PG&E	\$3-\$6	Reliability
Moraga 230 kV Bus Upgrade	PG&E	\$17	Reliability
Wilson-Oro Loma 115kV Line Reconductoring	PG&E	\$11.3-\$22.7	Reliability
Borden 230/70 kV Transformer Bank #1 Capacity Increase	PG&E	\$11.5-\$23	Reliability
Gamebird 230/138 kV Transformer Upgrade	VEA/GLW	\$5	Reliability

Projects recommended for approval in the 2019-2020 Transmission Plan:

Projects	РТО	Project costs (millions)	Comments
Salinas-Firestone #1 and #2 60 kV Lines	PG&E	\$19-\$38	Reliability
Pardee-Sylmar 230 kV Line Rating Increase Project	SCE	\$16	Reliability (with economic benefits)



Previously Approved Projects Recommended to be on Hold

Projects	РТО	Comment
North of Mesa Upgrades	PG&E	On hold
Moraga-Sobrante 115 kV Line Reconductor	PG&E	On hold
Wheeler Ridge Junction Station Project	PG&E	On hold



Other Informational Studies

- As in past transmission planning cycles, the ISO undertook additional informational studies to help inform future transmission planning or resource procurement processes:
 - Frequency response and dynamic system modeling
 - Reliance on gas-fired generation in local capacity Areas
 - Flexible capacity deliverability requirements



Regional high voltage transmission access charge projection trending from January 1, 2020 values:





Stakeholder feedback

- General support for much of the transmission plan
- Concerns with CPUC portfolios used for planning
- Requests for further consideration of alternatives submitted
- Concerns with respect to potential renewable generation curtailment
- Concerns with PTO capital maintenance projects
 - PG&E capital maintenance plans in Oakland area



Management recommends the Board approve the 2019-2020 ISO Transmission Plan

- Continues to pursue low emission strategies in addressing reliability needs of the ISO controlled grid
- Sets a foundation for higher renewable energy goals
- Provides for prudent and economic development of the transmission system



Management proposes the following motion:

Moved, that the ISO Board of Governors approves the ISO 2019-2020 transmission plan attached to the memorandum dated March 18, 2020.

