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ISO’s system has seen a fast growth of storage resources in the last six months.
How storage resources economically participate in the ISO’s market seems to be evolving – day-ahead bids
How storage resources economically participate in the ISO’s market seems to be evolving - Real-time bids
Real-time economic dispatch

Purpose
Balance supply and demand on a five-minute basis at least cost while respecting all constraints

Market Inputs
• Demand forecast, flexible ramp requirements, resource bids, resource characteristics

Constraints
• Resource: capacity, ramping, temporal
• System: Power balance, ancillary services, flexible ramp
• Security: transmission thermal limits, nomogram constraints
Multi-interval optimization real-time economic dispatch

Minimize Bid-in Costs

\[ \min \sum \sum C(p_{i,t}) \]

S.t. Optimize over the horizon

Power Balance Constraint

\[ D_t = \sum_i p_{i,t} + NSI_t \]

Resource Capacity constraint

\[ p_{i,t}^{min} < p_{i,t} < p_{i,t}^{max} \]

Resource Ramp Constraint

\[ RR_{i,t}^{DN} < p_{i,t} - p_{i,t-1} < RR_{i,t}^{Up} \]

\[ \text{i} \quad 1 \ldots N, \text{ where } N \text{ is number of generating units} \]

\[ \text{t} \quad \text{Time interval} \]

\[ \text{D demand} \]

\[ p_i \quad \text{power output from generating unit} \]

\[ \text{NSI Net-Schedule Interchange} \]

\[ p_i^{min}, p_i^{max} \quad \text{Min and Max power output of generating unit } i \]

\[ RR_{i,(t)}^{DN} \quad \text{Ramp rate down} \]

\[ RR_{i,(t)}^{Up} \quad \text{Ramp rate up} \]
Real-time economic dispatch uses a multi-Interval horizon

- Multi-Interval optimization allows real-time market to position resources to handle changes in future horizon
- Inter-temporal constraints links solutions between intervals
- Changes in the horizon may include:
  - Demand changes: demand ramping up or down
  - Supply changes: derates/rerates, ancillary service awards, start-up shut down, renewable production variability
  - Net schedule Interchange: changes in hourly imports/exports
ISO’s model for storage resources

- Resources that can operate in generation or load model with a positive and negative range.
- They can be dispatched to any operating level within their entire capacity.
- Can be constrained to charge (consumption) or discharge (generate) in a continuous basis.
- Limited energy storage resources is one type of non-generator resource.
- Based on an initial state of charge, its consumption or generation is bounded by its max/min storage limit.
- They can participate in the energy or ancillary service markets.
Non-generating resource model

Non-generating resource (limited energy resource) physical characteristics

- Maximum stored energy (Max SOC)
- Minimum operating limit (Pmin)
- Maximum operating limit (Pmax)
- Ramp rate (up/down)
- Ancillary service capability
Multi-interval real-time economic dispatch

Minimize Bid-Costs

$$\min \sum_{i,t} C_{i,t}(p_{i,t})$$

S.t.

Resource Capacity constraint

$$p_{i,t}^{\min} < p_{i,t} < p_{i,t}^{\max}$$

$$p_{i,t} = p_{i,t}^+ + p_{i,t}^-$$

Resource Capacity plus AS constraint

$$p_{i,t} + Ru_{i,t} + Sr_{i,t} + Nr_{i,t} \leq P_{i,t}^{\max}$$

$$P_{i,t}^{\min} \leq p_{i,t} - Rd_{i,t}$$

State of Charge Constraint

$$soc_{i,t}^{\min} < soc_{i,t} < soc_{i,t}^{\max}$$

$$soc_{i,t} = soc_{i,t-1} + (p_{i,t}^+ + \eta_i p_{i,t}^-) \times DT/T$$

State of Charge Constraint plus Ancillary Service

$$soc_{i,t} \geq soc_{i,t}^{\min} + [Ru_{i,t} + Sr_{i,t} + Nr_{i,t}] \times DT/T$$

Model is simplified to illustrate the concept. Actual implementations may include additional variables and constraints.
Storage resource awards in binding interval may be uneconomical due to a variety of reasons, including economics, and resource’s capacity and inter-temporal constraints.

- Storage resource is charged or discharged in binding interval to support the Ancillary Service awards in either binding or advisory intervals.
- Storage resource is charged or discharged in binding interval due to Self-Schedules to charge or discharge in future horizon.
- Storage resource is charged or discharged in binding interval to comply with maximum or minimum state of charge in future horizon.
- Storage resource is charged or discharged in binding interval due to projected conditions in future horizon.
- Additionally, storage resource is charged or discharged due to an exceptional instruction on the resource.
Frequency of intervals with dispatches out of merit for all storage resources
Frequency of binding intervals with market dispatches by resource
Scenarios of multi-interval optimization
Scenario I: Real-time dispatch binding interval energy award impacted by regulation up award

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regulation Up Award</td>
<td>19.07 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Economic Limit</td>
<td>9.87 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource bid to Charge</td>
<td>9.85 MW@ $28.97/MWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource LMP</td>
<td>$33.78 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource energy award</td>
<td>-9.2 MW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Resource receives an uneconomic energy award to charge at 9.2 MW to support the regulation up award of 19.07 MW
- The resource bid to charge is $28.99/MWh while the locational marginal price is $33.87/MWh
Scenario I: RTD Binding Interval Energy Award Impacted by Regulation Up Award

- Resource receives an energy award to charge at 9.2 MW to support the regulation up award of 19.07 MW.
- The resource bid to charge is $28.97/MWh but LMP is $33.87/MWh.
- Resource dispatch is uneconomic due to Regulation Up award.
Counterfactual scenario I: If resource is not dispatched uneconomically for energy, it cannot provide its regulation award

Counter-factual:
- If resource is not dispatched uneconomically for energy, it cannot provide its regulation award
- If there is no multi-interval optimization, this type of scenarios will increase because there would not be an opportunity to position resources to this condition in advance
**Scenario II : Future interval self-schedule impacts binding interval energy award**

13 interval real-time dispatch horizon

Market inputs
- Resource has self-schedule to discharge at 9.85 MWh from 07:00 to 08:00
- Resource state of charge at 06:15 is 1.77 MWh
- Resource maximum charge capacity – 9.85 MWh
- Resource charging bid - 9.85 MW @ $3.14/MWh
- Resource minimum state of charge is 1 MWh

Resource solution constraint
- Before 7:00, resource needs the state of charge at 9.85 MWh plus 1 MWh of minimum state of charge to ensure it can discharge continuously at 9.85 MW and comply with minimum state of charge
Scenario II: Future interval self-schedule impacts the binding interval energy award

- Resource is charged continuously between Intervals 1 to interval 8 to meet self-schedule to discharge from 7:00 to 8:00 but resource is unable to reach 10.85 MWh
- Resource is charging at 10 MW in binding interval at locational marginal price of $24.9/MWh
- Resource bid in to charge at 10 MW is $3.12; thus, it is dispatched out of merit
Counterfactual Scenario II: With no multi-interval optimization, market has no mechanism to set resource in advance to meet self schedule.

- Based on binding interval price, resource would not be charged in this interval.
- For every interval (1 through 7) that resource is not charged, the resource will not be able to comply with self schedule for an interval of next hour.
Scenario III: Maximum SOC constraint in future hour impacts binding interval energy award

Thirteen Interval RTD horizon

23:15 to 00:00

00:00 to 00:20

Max SOC=180 MWh

Market Inputs
• Resource bid-in Maximum SOC from 00:00 to 01:00 is 180 MWh
• Resource State of Charge (SOC) at 23:10 is 208.7 MWh
• Resource Discharge bid - 250 MW @$250/MWh

Resource Solution Constraint
• Resource needs to start discharging to comply with SOC of 180 MWh at 00:00
Scenario III: Future interval maximum State of charge impacts binding interval Energy Award

- Resource is discharged in intervals 1 and 2 to ensure the resource state of charge is not more than 180 MWh at 00:00 based on bid-in maximum state of charge
- Resource locational marginal price in interval 1 is $29.53/MWh and interval 2 is $31.75/MWh
- Resource discharge offer for 250 MW@ $250/MWh and 100 MW@ $100/MWh
- Resource is discharged uneconomically

![Graph showing dispatch, LMP, and state of charge over time intervals with maximum state of charge of 180 MWh at 00:00]
Scenario IV(a) : Future interval economic conditions impacts binding interval energy award

Market inputs
- Resource discharge offer 150MW @ $120/MWh
- Resource charge bid 100 MW @ $60

Resource solution constraint
- Market can minimize overall costs by discharging resource below it offer and charge the resource at price lower than its bid through the whole horizon
- The horizon will factor in projected system conditions for all intervals, not just for binding interval
Scenario IV(a) : Projected system conditions impacts binding interval energy award

- **Binding interval** – Resource discharged uneconomically at locational marginal price below offer price

- **Advisory intervals** – Resource is charged back at locational marginal prices below bid to charge

- **Overall**, resource is in the money through the horizon
Scenario IV(a) : Projected system conditions impacts binding interval energy award – revenue

Resource Profit/Loss

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time interval</th>
<th>Resource Profit/Loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$(1,200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$(1,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$(800)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$(600)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$(400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$(200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Binding Interval Revenue: - $1046
- Advisory Interval Revenue: + $1239

Resource has a positive revenue across all real-time dispatch intervals of $193.02
Scenario IV(a) : Projected system conditions impacts binding interval energy award – with binding MW and Binding locational marginal price

Offer $250/MW LMP $36.25

The project dispatch and price from the real-time dispatch run with start time 13_11 did not materialize in future binding intervals.
Impact of change in system condition on market solution

- Resource is uneconomically discharged in HE13 Interval 11 and 12 because in advisory intervals for HE 14 it was projected to charge at locational marginal price, lower than the bid price.
- The lower locational marginal prices were projected in hour ending 14 due to congestion on the 22192.DOUBLTTP.138.22300.FRIARS_138_BR_1_1 line.
- The projected lower locational marginal price and lower congestion on 22192.DOUBLTTP.138.22300.FRIARS_138_BR_1_1 constraint did not materialize due to variation in output from solar resources.

There are numerous inputs used in the real-time market optimization. Changes in inputs between different real-time market runs can result in different solution. These inputs include, load and renewable forecast updates, load bias, exceptional dispatches, transmission congestion, intertie changes.
Scenario IV(b) : Future interval economic conditions impacts binding interval energy award

Eleven interval real-time dispatch horizon

06:55 – 07:50

Market inputs
- Resource initial state of charge is 111 MWh
- Resource discharge offers – 132 MW @ $75/MWh, 132 MW-176 MW @ $90/MWh, 176 MW-212 MW @ $120/MWh
- Congestion on MIDWAY-VINCENT 500 kV line and LUGO – VICTORVL 500 kV lines

Resource solution constraint
- There is not sufficient state of charge over the real-time dispatch horizon to further dispatch the resource in all intervals
Scenario IV(b) : Future interval economic conditions impacts binding interval energy award

The binding (first) interval locational marginal price is $236/MW but the first advisory (second) interval locational marginal price is $592/MW. These locational marginal prices are mainly driven by congestion.

Due to limited state of charge on the resource, it is dispatched to 0 MW in binding Interval such that resource can be dispatched in advisory intervals and exhaust its state of charge.
Scenario IV(b) : Future interval economic conditions impacts binding interval energy award

Eleven interval real-time dispatch horizon

06:55 – 07:50

Case A ->

Case B ->

Ten Interval RTD horizon

07:00 – 07:50

Compare results for 7:00 to 7:05 real-time dispatch interval between case A and case B
- In case A first advisory interval locational marginal price was $592. Did that materialize in case B binding interval?
Scenario IV(b): Locational marginal price projected in Case A does not materialize in Case B

What changed between these two cases?
- Active flow management on Lugo – Victorville 500 kV line resulted in lower level of congestion and drove lower marginal congestion price, resulting in a lower real-time dispatch.
Scenario IV(b) – Counter factual resource dispatch with sufficient state of charge

Resource is dispatched to 212 MW if it was fully charged at 400 MWh
Scenario IV(c) : Future interval economic conditions impacts binding Interval energy award

Bid $15/MW  
LMP $96.37

Offer $120/MW  
LMP $215.7

Binding interval – Resource charged uneconomically at locational marginal price above offer price

Advisory intervals – Resource is discharged back at locational marginal prices above offer to discharge

Overall, resource is in the money through the horizon
The Advisory locational marginal prices did not materialize as system conditions changed: active flow management on Lugo – Victorville 500 kV line resulted in lower level of congestion and drove lower marginal congestion price, resulting in a lower real-time dispatch.
Exceptional dispatch

ISO uses exceptional dispatches to manage real-time load forecast uncertainty

- Thermal resources may be started up before the evening peak or net load peak to manage forecast uncertainties
- Thermal resources may be dispatched at levels that can support fast ramp rates before the evening peak or net load peak to manage forecast uncertainties
- Storage resources may be manually dispatched to maximize its use during net load peak
Exceptional dispatches to storage resources have been sporadic in 2021
Exceptional dispatch – storage

• ISO market application currently does not support exceptional dispatch to directly manage state of charge
• Fixed Exceptional dispatch charging instruction are created manually to charge storage resources
• The determination of the fixed exceptional dispatch instruction takes into account:
  – Current state of charge
  – State of charge derate
  – Maximum bid in state of charge
  – Charging efficiency
At hour ending 16 interval 11, the initial state of charge was 297 MWh.

The resource maximum bid-in state of charge is 364 MW. The resource charging efficiency is 85%.

A fixed exceptional dispatch charge instruction of 79 MW for one hour could charge the resource to 364 MWh.
A fixed exceptional dispatch instruction to charge the resource at 184 MW was created instead of a fixed exceptional dispatch to charge at 79 MW. This resulted in unusual market outcome due to a software issue—The resource was discharged uneconomically.
Scenario V: Exceptional dispatch to charge resource results in unexpected discharge

Eleven interval real-time dispatch horizon

15:55 – 16:50

Market inputs

- Resource initial state of charge -297 MWh
- Resource charge bids – 184 MW @ $90/MW
- Resource discharge offers – 127 MW @ $250/MW
- Resource maximum state of charge – 364 MWh

Resource Constraint

- Resource has exceptional dispatch instruction to be charged at 184 MW
Scenario V: Exceptional Dispatch to charge resource can result in unexpected discharge

Dispatch – 127 MW, 
Bid – 127 MW @ $250/MW, 
LMP – $44.81/MWh

Max SOC -364 MW

Binding Interval LMP is $44.81/MWh whereas its discharge offer is $250. The resource is discharged uneconomically due to a fixed ED to charge the resource at 184 MW instead of 79 MW and a software issue.
Scenario V: Exceptional Dispatch to charge resource can result in unexpected discharge

A counterfactual without the software issue results in the resource no longer discharged, as expected.
Closing Remarks

- Multi-interval optimization provides the means to position the system in advance of projected conditions.

- Multi-interval optimization may pose challenges for certain dispatches; the lack of multi-interval optimization will pose another type of challenges.

- Dynamic system conditions are inherent to the operation of the grid and will impact all resources to a different extent.
Notation

\( i \) — Resource Index

\( p_{(i,t)} \) — MW output of storage resource

\( p^\text{min}_{(i,t)} \) — Minimum operating limit of storage resource

\( p^\text{max}_{(i,t)} \) — Maximum operating limit of storage resource

\( \text{soc}_{(i,t)} \) — MW output of storage resource

\( \text{soc}^\text{min}_{(i,t)} \) — Upper charging limit

\( \text{soc}^\text{max}_{(i,t)} \) — Lower charging limit

\( \text{Ru}_{(i,t)} \) — Regulation Up Award

\( \text{Rd}_{(i,t)} \) — Regulation Down Award

\( \text{Sr}_{(i,t)} \) — Spinning Resource Award

\( \eta \) — Charging Efficiency

\( DT \) — length of time interval

\( T \) — length of one interval