# 97 FERC 1 61,335

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before Commissioners: Pat Wood, III, Chairman; William L. Massey, Linda Breathitt, and Nora Mead Brownell.

Pacific Gas & Electric Company

Docket No. ER01-1639-003

#### ORDER DENYING REHEARING

(Issued December 21, 2001)

This case is before the Commission on a request for rehearing by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) of an order issued in this proceeding on October 24, 2001.

Pacific Gas & Electric Co., 97 FERC ¶ 61,082 (2001) (October Order). That order largely affirmed the reasoning and findings of an initial decision issued September 21, 2001, Pacific Gas & Electric Co., 96 FERC ¶ 63,043 (2001), which concluded that the Mobile-Sierra doctrine barred PG&E from making its Federal Power Act (FPA) section 205 rate filing. We deny PG&E's request for rehearing.

#### Background

At issue are proposed amendments filed by Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) to Contract No. 14-06-200-2948A (Contract 2948A), which is a long-standing agreement with Western Area Power Administration (Western). Contract 2948A governs the interconnection of PG&E's and Western's transmission and distribution systems and the integration of their loads and resources.

On March 29, 2001, PG&E filed under FPA section 205, 16 U.S.C. § 824d (1994), proposed amendments to Contract 2948A and other related agreements. PG&E sought Commission approval to amend Contract 2948A to recover additional costs

011226.1137-1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The parties entered into the original Contract 2948A in 1967. There have been three subsequent modifications. The three modifications are: (1) the 1995 Letter of Agreement, dated July 31, 1995; (2) the PDC Settlement Agreement, dated December 31, 1992; and (3) the Energy Account No. 2 and Capacity Account Repurchase Rate Agreement – the February 7, 1992 Letter Agreement (1992 Letter Agreement).

Docket No. ER01-1639-003

-2-

associated with energy purchases and other obligations, and to update the transmission rates to reflect current revenue requirements.

In its order establishing a hearing, Pacific Gas & Electric Co., 95 FERC ¶ 61,273, reh'g denied, 96 FERC ¶ 61,102 (2001), the Commission left it to the presiding judge to determine how best to structure the hearing. The presiding judge phased the hearing and, on September 21, 2001, issued a partial initial decision on the Mobile-Sierra issue. The presiding judge determined that PG&E lacks the contractual right to make this section 205 filling under Contract 2948A or under the 1992 Letter Agreement; the section 205 filling made by PG&E exceeds the section 205 rights granted in the applicable provisions of Contract 2948A and the 1992 Letter Agreement. As a result, the presiding judge found that PG&E had violated the Mobile-Sierra doctrine. The October Order largely affirmed the reasoning and findings in the initial decision; PG&E was not permitted under the Mobile-Sierra doctrine to make the filling at issue. See 97 FERC at 61,397.

### Discussion

In the October Order, the Commission found that the initial decision properly and persuasively resolved the <u>Mobile-Sierra</u> issue. The Commission rejected the arguments to the contrary presented in PG&E's briefs.

On rehearing, PG&E presents the same arguments it offered in its brief on exceptions. PG&E presents nothing new that would cause us to reach a different conclusion than that found in our October Order. Accordingly, we will deny rehearing.

Acting Secretary.

#### The Commission orders:

PG&E's request for rehearing is hereby denied.

By the Commission.

(SEAL)