186 FERC ¶ 61,108 FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20426

February 15, 2024

In Reply Refer To: California Independent System Operator Corporation Docket No. ER24-355-000

California Independent System Operator Corporation 250 Outcropping Way Folsom, CA 95630

Attention: David S. Zlotlow

Dear Mr. Zlotlow:

1. On November 2, 2023, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) submitted, pursuant to Rule 207 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure¹ and section 37.9.4 of the CAISO Open Access Transmission Tariff (Tariff), a Petition seeking Commission approval to distribute the penalty proceeds collected for violations of CAISO's Rules of Conduct and certain nonrefundable study deposits stemming from interconnection projects.² As discussed below, we grant CAISO's Petition.

2. CAISO explains that the Rules of Conduct and related provisions set forth in section 37 of its Tariff require it to collect penalties and to deposit such amounts into an interestbearing trust account. CAISO states that, after the end of each calendar year, it allocates these proceeds, with accrued interest, to the scheduling coordinators of eligible market participants in accordance with the formula set forth in section 37.9.4 of its Tariff. CAISO states that the formula is based on the product of: (a) the amount in the trust account, including interest; and (b) the ratio of the grid management charge payments by each scheduling coordinator on behalf of eligible market participants to the total grid

¹ 18 C.F.R. § 385.207 (2023).

² CAISO November 2, 2023 Petition at 1 (Petition).

management charge payments by all scheduling coordinators.³ Further, CAISO explains that it must obtain the Commission's approval to distribute the penalty proceeds prior to any disbursement.⁴

3. CAISO also explains that the Wholesale Distribution Access Tariff for Southern California Edison Company (SoCal Edison) requires interconnection study deposits not reimbursed to the interconnection customer or otherwise applied to interconnection study costs be remitted to CAISO. Pursuant to section 3.4.1.2 of SoCal Edison's Wholesale Distribution Access Tariff, those funds are to be handled pursuant to CAISO Tariff section 37.9.4.⁵

4. In the instant Petition, CAISO seeks approval to distribute the proceeds from penalties assessed during the 2022 calendar year, plus accrued interest. In Attachment A of its Petition, CAISO sets forth the calculation of each scheduling coordinator's share of the penalty proceeds.⁶ CAISO states that it assessed \$1,043,500 in penalties for 2022. CAISO explains that once it receives Commission approval to distribute the penalty proceeds, the final interest amount will be recalculated to correspond to the actual day on which the distribution will occur.⁷

5. CAISO also seeks approval to distribute the interconnection study funds for 2022. In Attachment B of its Petition, CAISO sets forth the calculation of each scheduling coordinator's share of the interconnection funds.⁸ CAISO notes that it calculated the allocation based on the *pro rata* share of the grid management charge payments made by scheduling coordinators without accounting for whether a scheduling coordinator was assessed a financial penalty under section 37 of its Tariff during the relevant calendar year, consistent with its past practices. CAISO states that the total interconnection funds

⁵ *Id*. at 7.

⁶ *Id.* at 4-5.

⁷ *Id*. at 4.

⁸ Id. at 7.

³ *Id.* at 2-3.

⁴ Id. at 3 (citing CAISO, CAISO eTariff, §37.9.4 (Disposition of Proceeds) (1.0.0)).

are \$194,742.13 for 2022.⁹ CAISO explains that similar to the distribution of penalty revenues, once it receives Commission approval to distribute the interconnection funds, the final interest amount will be recalculated to correspond to the actual day on which the distribution will occur.

6. Notice of CAISO's Petition was published in the *Federal Register*, 88 Fed. Reg. 78,014 (Nov. 14, 2023), with interventions and protests due on or before November 24, 2023. None was filed.

7. We find that CAISO's proposal to distribute penalty proceeds to scheduling coordinators, as stated in Attachment A to its Petition, appears to be just and reasonable, and has not been shown to be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential, or otherwise unlawful. The methodology in CAISO's proposal is consistent with the relevant provisions in its Tariff for allocating and distributing penalty proceeds to scheduling coordinators. Therefore, in accordance with section 37.9 of CAISO's Tariff and consistent with prior Commission orders,¹⁰ we grant CAISO's Petition to distribute penalty proceeds in accordance with Attachment A, subject to CAISO's final interest calculation.

8. We also find that CAISO's proposal to distribute the interconnection proceeds to scheduling coordinators, as stated in Attachment B of its Petition, appears to be just and reasonable, and has not been shown to be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential, or otherwise unlawful. The methodology in CAISO's proposal is consistent with section 37.9 of the Tariff (i.e., the distribution is in proportion to the grid management charge), with the exception noted by CAISO (i.e., not accounting for whether a scheduling coordinator was assessed a financial penalty under section 37.9 of the Tariff during the relevant calendar year). Therefore, in accordance with section 37.9 of CAISO's Petition

⁹ *Id.* at 8.

¹⁰ See Cal. Indep. Sys. Operator Corp., 181 FERC ¶ 61,199 (2022); Cal. Indep.
Sys. Operator Corp., 170 FERC ¶ 61,184 (2020); Cal. Indep. Sys. Operator Corp.,
163 FERC ¶ 61,058 (2018); Cal. Indep. Sys. Operator Corp., 157 FERC ¶ 61,220 (2016);
Cal. Indep. Sys. Operator Corp., 154 FERC ¶ 61,127 (2016); Cal. Indep. Sys. Operator
Corp., 151 FERC ¶ 61,099 (2015); Cal. Indep. Sys. Operator Corp., 145 FERC ¶ 61,222 (2013).

to distribute forfeited interconnection funds in accordance with Attachment B, subject to CAISO's final interest calculation.¹¹

By direction of the Commission.

Debbie-Anne A. Reese, Acting Secretary.

¹¹ Supra note 10.