## Supplement to 2013-2014 ISO Transmission Plan

# Harry Allen-Eldorado Project Description and Functional Specifications

## Description and Functional Specifications of Proposed Economically Driven Harry Allen – Eldorado 500 kV Line Project<sup>1</sup>

## Description

In the 2013-2014 Transmission Plan, the ISO has identified an economically-driven need for a 500 kV transmission line between SCE majority owned Eldorado 500 kV substation and NV Energy owned Harry Allen 500 kV substation, as depicted below:



The estimated cost of the proposed 500 kV line is \$144 million in 2015 dollars. This estimated cost excludes facilities necessary at the Eldorado and Harry Allen substations that will be installed by the owners of those substations. The economic justification for the project is dependent on its estimated cost, and as a result cost estimates and cost management information provided by project sponsors will be carefully considered with respect to the estimated cost assumed in the ISO's economic analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Harry Allen-Eldorado 500kV line was approved by the ISO Board of Governors at the December 18, 2014 Board meeting.

### F5.1 Functional Specifications

<u>Transmission Line Functional Specifications</u> Overhead Line Construction Line Terminus 1: Eldorado Substation 500 kV Bus

Line Terminus 2: Harry Allen Substation 500 kV Bus

Nominal Phase to Phase Voltage: 500 kV

Minimum Line Continuous Ampacity - Summer: <u>3,800 Amps</u>

Minimum Line Continuous Ampacity – Winter: <u>3,800 Amps</u>

Minimum Line 4 Hour Emergency Ampacity – Summer: <u>5,200 Amps</u>

Minimum Line 4 Hour Emergency Ampacity – Winter: 5.200 Amps

Minimum Line 30 Minute Emergency Ampacity – Summer: 5,600 Amps

Minimum Line 30 Minute Emergency Ampacity – Winter: 5,600 Amps

Approximate Line Impedance: (0.0005 to 0.0006) + j(0.011 to 0.015) pu (100 MVA base).

Approximate Line Length: 60 miles

Approximate Series Compensation Level: <u>70%</u>. Project Sponsor must include provisions to automatically bypass the series capacitor due to faults in the series capacitor. Project sponsor shall also include a means to isolate the series capacitor, such as a bypass switch to facilitate maintenance. The ampacity of this bypass switch should be no more limiting than the series capacitors.

Location of Series Compensation: <u>Anywhere in the line, including within the termination stations.</u> <u>The cost of the series compensation is within the scope of this project and will be the responsibility</u> <u>of the approved project sponsor.</u> Minimum Series Capacitor Continuous Ampacity - Summer: 2,700 Amps

Minimum Series Capacitor Continuous Ampacity – Winter: 2,700 Amps

Minimum Series Capacitor 4 Hour Emergency Ampacity – Summer: 2,700 Amps

Minimum Series Capacitor 4 Hour Emergency Ampacity – Winter: 2,700 Amps

Minimum Series Capacitor 30 Minute Emergency Ampacity – Summer: <u>3,645 Amps</u>

Minimum Series Capacitor 30 Minute Emergency Ampacity – Winter: <u>3,645 Amps</u>

Latest In Service Date: May 1, 2020

Support Structures: Single circuit structures

Transposition: <u>The cost of transposing the line at two towers to create three approximately</u> equidistant segments should be provided as a separate line item.

Shield Wire Required: Optical ground wire (minimum 6 pairs of fibers)

Failure Containment Loading Mitigation (anti-cascade structures, etc.): Per applicable codes

Shield Wire Ground Fault Withstand Ampacity: Coordinate with interconnecting entities

Aeolian Vibration Control (Conductor and Shield Wire): <u>Vibration dampers must be installed on</u> all conductors and overhead shield wires, with the exception of slack spans.

Transmission Line Minimum BIL: <u>1,800 kV with solidly grounded systems</u>

Minimum ROW Width: Per applicable codes

Route Requirement: <u>The transmission solution was developed on the basis that route would meet</u> the applicable NERC/WECC standard so that Part 1.1.1 of Section E. Regional Differences of Standard FAC 010-2.1 related to the common mode contingency does not apply to the Harry Allen-Eldorado 500 kV line and any other 500 kV line. Alternatives that include multiple circuit structures will be considered only if the project sponsor provides mitigation for meeting system performance requirements as required by NERC/WECC reliability standards with no reduction in overall reliability. Further, the ISO will assess if this configuration reduces the net financial benefits of this economically-driven project. The ISO prefers a transmission solution that proposes sufficient spatial diversity that eliminates a common mode contingency.

Governing Design and Construction Standards: (GO 95, NESC Code, applicable municipal codes)

### Additional Information

The economic justification for this project is dependent on its cost, and as a result the CAISO will carefully consider binding cost caps and other cost containment measures, as well as cost management information.

The approved project sponsor will be responsible for the interconnection costs to terminate the new transmission line inside Harry Allen substation. These costs will be eligible for cost recovery in accordance with ISO tariff Section 26.1.

#### **Key Selection Factors**

The ISO has identified an economically driven need for a 500 kV transmission line between Eldorado 500 kV substation, primarily owned by SCE, and Harry Allen 500 kV substation, which is owned by NV Energy. The key qualification criteria and selection factors as denoted in the ISO tariff are:

- 24.5.4 (a) the current and expected capabilities of the Project Sponsor and its team to finance, license, and construct the facility and operate and maintain it for the life of the solution. (This key selection factor is important because this 500 kV transmission line will be a major component in the ISO's bulk transmission system).
- 24.5.4 (d) the proposed schedule for development and completion of the transmission solution and demonstrated ability to meet that schedule of the Project Sponsor and its team; (This key selection factor is important because the economic benefits to ratepayers may decrease if the project goes into service later than May 2020).
- 24.5.4 (j) demonstrated cost containment capability of the Project Sponsor and its team, specifically, binding cost control measures the Project Sponsor agrees to accept, including any binding agreement by the Project Sponsor and its team to accept a cost cap that would preclude costs for the transmission solution above the cap from being recovered through the CAISO's Transmission Access Charge, and, if none of the competing Project Sponsors proposes a binding cost cap, the authority of the selected siting authority to impose binding cost caps or cost containment measures on the Project Sponsor, and its history of imposing such measures. (This key selection factor is important because the justification for this project is based on economic benefits to ratepayers).