July 29, 2021

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose
Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC  20426

Re:  California Independent System Operator Corporation
Docket No. ER15-2565-___
June 2021 Informational Report
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power EIM Entity

Dear Secretary Bose:

The California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) hereby submits its report on the transition period of the Los Angeles Department of Water & Power EIM Entity during its first six months of participation in the Energy Imbalance Market (EIM) for June 2021. The Commission also directed the Department of Market Monitoring (DMM) to submit an independent assessment of the CAISO’s report, which the CAISO’s DMM will seek to file within approximately 15 business days.

Please contact the undersigned with any questions.

Respectfully submitted

By: /s/ John Anders
Roger E. Collanton
General Counsel
John Anders
Assistant General Counsel
California Independent System Operator Corporation
250 Outcropping Way
Folsom, CA 95630
Tel: (916) 608-7182
Fax: (916) 608-7222
janders@caiso.com
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I. Introduction and Background

On October 29, 2015, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) approved the California Independent System Operator Corporation’s (CAISO) proposed tariff amendments to allow a transition period for new Energy Imbalance Market (EIM) entities during the first six months of EIM participation, effective November 1, 2015.1 Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP), the prospective EIM Entity, entered the EIM on April 1, 2021; and the transition period will apply to the LADWP balancing authority area (BAA) until October 1, 2021.

During the six-month transition period, the price of energy in the new EIM entity’s BAA is not subject to the pricing parameters that normally apply when the market optimization relaxes a8 transmission constraint or the power balance constraint. Instead, during the six-month transition period, the CAISO will clear the market based on the marginal economic energy bid (referred to herein as “transition period pricing”). In addition, during the six-month transition period, the CAISO sets the flexible ramping constraint relaxation parameter for the new EIM entity’s BAA between $0 and $0.01, but only when the power balance or transmission constraints are relaxed in the relevant EIM BAA. This is necessary to allow the market software to determine the marginal energy bid price.

Consistent with the Commission’s October 29 Order, the CAISO and the Department of Market Monitoring (DMM) will file informational reports at 30-day intervals during the six-month transition period for any new EIM entity. The CAISO provides this report for LADWP to comply with the Commission’s requirements in the October 29 Order. The CAISO anticipates filing these reports on a monthly basis. However, because the complete set of data is not available immediately at the end of the applicable month,2 and depending on the market performance each month, along with the need to coordinate with the EIM entity, the CAISO expects to continue to file the monthly reports approximately 30 days after the end of each month in order to provide the prior full month’s data.

---

2 The earliest the CAISO can start gathering the data is 10 business days after the last day for the reporting month since this is when the price correction window expires.
II. Highlights

Overall, LADWP’s third month of EIM operation was uneventful. The third month’s market performance highlights are as follows:

- As part of the resource sufficiency test performed for each EIM entity prior to the real-time markets, LADWP passed 97.35 percent of its balancing tests and 99.86 percent of its bid-range capacity tests.

- LADWP passed 99.86 percent of its upward flexible ramping sufficiency tests.

- Prices were stable and within reasonable ranges, with the monthly average LADWP BAA price at $41.82/MWh in the fifteen-minute market (FMM) and $39.62/MWh in the real-time dispatch (RTD).

- Power balance constraint infeasibilities for the under-supply conditions were minimal for the LADWP BAA with no under-supply infeasibility in the FMM, and 0.012 percent of the total intervals in the RTD.

- The upward flexible ramping capacity product in FMM for the LADWP BAA averaged at $0.191/MWh in June, while the monthly average price for the downward flexible ramping product was $0/MWh.
III. Market Performance during the Transitional Period

a. Prices

Figure 1 shows the daily average Fifteen-Minute Market (FMM) and Real-Time Dispatch (RTD) prices in the LADWP EIM Load Aggregation Point (ELAP) for April 1, 2021 through June 30, 2021. The June’s monthly average price in FMM was $41.82/MWh and $39.62/MWh in the RTD.

Under the CAISO’s price correction authority in Section 35 of the CAISO tariff, the CAISO may correct prices posted on its Open Access Same-Time Information System (OASIS) if it finds: (1) that the prices were the product of an invalid market solution; (2) the market solution produced an invalid price due to data input failures, hardware or software failures; or (3) a result that is inconsistent with the CAISO tariff. The prices presented in Figure 1 include all prices produced by the CAISO consistent with its tariff requirements. That is, the trends represent: (1) prices as produced in the market that the CAISO deemed valid; (2) prices that the CAISO could, and did, correct pursuant to Section 35 of the CAISO tariff; and (3) any prices the CAISO adjusted pursuant to the transition period pricing reflected in Section 29.27 of the CAISO tariff.
b. **Frequency of Power Balance Constraint Infeasibilities**

Figures 2 and 3 show the frequency of intervals in which the power balance constraint was relaxed for under-supply conditions in the LADWP BAA for the FMM and RTD, respectively. The under-supply infeasibilities are classified into three categories: Valid, Corrected and Would-Be-Corrected. Some of the under-supply infeasibilities affected by either data input failures or software failures were corrected under the price correction authority in Section 35 of the CAISO tariff and are classified as Corrected. There are other under-supply infeasibilities that were impacted by data input failures or software failures, and which would be subject to price correction, but were not corrected because the price after correction would be the same price as that obtained by the transition period pricing. These instances are classified as Would-Be-Corrected. All remaining under-supply infeasibilities, which were driven by system conditions, are classified as Valid.

![Figure 2: Frequency of FMM under-supply infeasibilities in the LADWP BAA](image-url)
Tables 1 and 2 list the FMM and RTD intervals with infeasibility observed in June. There was no under-supply infeasibility in the FMM and one valid under-supply infeasibility in the RTD. The RTD under-supply infeasibility on June 7 was because of a delay in the submission of bids into the systems.

**Table 1: List of valid FMM under-supply infeasibilities in the LADWP BAA.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trade Date</th>
<th>Trade Hour</th>
<th>Trade Interval</th>
<th>MW Infeasibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: List of valid RTD under-supply infeasibilities in the LADWP BAA.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trade Date</th>
<th>Trade Hour</th>
<th>Trade Interval</th>
<th>MW Infeasibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7-June-21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**c. Balancing and Sufficiency Test Failures**

The EIM provides an opportunity to various BAAs to serve their load while realizing the benefits of increased resource diversity. Since the EIM does not include resource adequacy requirements or obligations for resources to submit bids, the CAISO performs a series of resource sufficiency tests comprised of: (i) a balancing test; (ii) a capacity test; and (iii) a flexible ramping sufficiency test. These tests occur prior to the real-time market.
Performance of a balancing test before each trading hour ensures that each participating BAA submits a balanced base schedule of generation and a net schedule interchange to meet its demand with its own generating resources. In addition, the participating BAA is required to submit bids with enough ramping capability to meet its net load forecast uncertainty and net load movement requirements. Figure 4 shows the trend of balancing test outcomes for the period of April 1, 2021, through June 30, 2021, and Figure 5 shows the pattern of bid-range capacity test outcomes for the period of April 1, 2021, through June 30, 2021. If a balancing test or the bid-range capacity test is impacted by data input failures or software failures, those test results are shown as correctable events.

In June, the LADWP BAA passed the balancing test in 97.35 percent of the intervals, which is within the acceptable range of balancing test failures. One June 3, LADWP failed balancing tests due to increase of generation base schedule. One June 5, LADWP failed one balancing test due to decrease of generation base schedule. On June 6, LADWP failed balancing tests because of change of Net Schedule Interchange (NSI) and generation base schedule. On June 7, LADWP failed one balancing test due to decrease of generation base schedule. On June 8, LADWP failed one balancing test due to increase of generation base schedule. On June 11 and 23, LADWP failed balancing tests impacted by change of generation base schedule and resource outage. On June 24, 27, and 30 LADWP failed balancing tests due to change of generation base schedule. The LADWP BAA passed the bid-range capacity test in 99.86 percent of the intervals. On June 7, LADWP failed bid-range tests because LADWP did not submit resource bids in time.

---

3 The CAISO performs resource sufficiency tests pursuant to Section 29.34(k) of the CAISO tariff.
CAISO also performs the flexible ramping sufficiency test as specified in Section 29.34(m) of the CAISO tariff. Figure 6 shows the trend of the test failures for flexible ramping for the period of April 1 through June 30. The LADWP BAA passed the flexible ramp down test in 99.93 percent of the intervals in June and passed the flexible ramp up test in 99.93 percent of the intervals. On
June 7, LADWP failed the upward flexible ramp tests because LADWP did not submit resource bids in time.

**Figure 6: Frequency of flexible ramping sufficiency test failures in the LADWP BAA.**

![Frequency of flexible ramping sufficiency test failures in the LADWP BAA.](image)

**d. Flexible Ramping Product**

Figure 7 shows the daily average of the upward and downward flexible ramping constraint requirement and procurement in the FMM, the positive portion of a bar represents flexible ramp up and the negative portion of a bar represents flexible ramp down. Figure 8 shows the daily average of the upward and downward flexible ramping constraint prices in the FMM. With the implementation of the flexible ramping product on November 1, 2016, calculation of the requirements consists of historical data for uncertainty with any applicable net import/export capability or credit. This effectively reduces the amount of flexible ramping the LADWP BAA has to procure and, generally, the EIM system-wide area (which includes all the BAAs in the EIM, including the CAISO BAA) will drive the requirements. The market clearing process may result in procuring the LADWP BAA capacity towards meeting the overall EIM-system-wide area requirement. This is the main reason why the individual LADWP procurement may not match exactly the individual LADWP flex ramp requirement.
In addition, the price trend provided in Figure 8 is the nested price determined by the summation of the shadow price of the individual LADWP BAA plus the shadow price of the EIM system-wide area. In June, the average upward flexible ramping capacity price was $0.191/MWh and the average downward flexible ramping capacity price was $0/MWh.
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Anna Pascuzzo