

The CAISO agrees with PG&E that the Project's proposed changes to the indemnity language in Article 18 of the LGIA are not superior to the *pro forma* language and should be rejected. The Project's changes attempt to mitigate risk for the Project itself at the expense of the CAISO and PG&E. The *pro forma* provisions were developed carefully by myriad national stakeholders in Order No. 2003 to ensure that all parties would be equally protected. They should not be modified without either the consent of all parties or a justified reason, which the Project has not provided.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ William H. Weaver

Roger E. Collanton
General Counsel
Sidney L. Mannheim
Assistant General Counsel
William H. Weaver*
Senior Counsel
California Independent System
Operator Corporation
250 Outcropping Way
Folsom, CA 95630
Tel: (916) 351-4400
Fax: (916) 608-7222
bweaver@caiso.com

Counsel for the California Independent
System Operator Corporation

Dated: June 16, 2017

*Designated for service in this proceeding under Rule 2010.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing document upon all of the parties listed on the official service list for the above-referenced proceeding, in accordance with the requirements of Rule 2010 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.2010).

Dated at Folsom, CA this 16th day of June, 2017.

/s/ Anna Pascuzzo

Anna Pascuzzo