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Washington, DC 20426

Re: California Independent System Operator Corporation  
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Dear Secretary Bose:

The California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) hereby submits its report on the transition period of Seattle City Light EIM Entity during its first six months of participation in the Energy Imbalance Market (EIM) for April 2020. The Commission also directed the Department of Market Monitoring (DMM) to submit an independent assessment of the CAISO’s report, which the CAISO’s DMM will seek to file within approximately 15 business days.

Please contact the undersigned with any questions.

Respectfully submitted

By: /s/ Anna A. McKenna
Roger E. Collanton  
General Counsel  
Anna A. McKenna  
Assistant General Counsel  
John Anders  
Assistant General Counsel  
California Independent System Operator Corporation  
250 Outcropping Way  
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Energy Imbalance Market
April 1 – April 30, 2020

Transition Period Report
Seattle City Light (SCL) EIM Entity

June 3, 2020
I. Introduction and Background

On October 29, 2015, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) approved the California Independent System Operator Corporation’s (CAISO) proposed tariff amendments to allow a transition period for new Energy Imbalance Market (EIM) entities during the first six months of EIM participation, effective November 1, 2015. Seattle City Light (SCL), the prospective EIM Entity entered the EIM on April 1, 2020, and the transition period will apply to the SCL balancing authority area (BAA) until October 1, 2020.

During the six-month transition period, the price of energy in the new EIM entity’s BAA is not subject to the pricing parameters that normally apply when the market optimization relaxes a transmission constraint or the power balance constraint. Instead, during the six-month transition period, the CAISO will clear the market based on the marginal economic energy bid (referred to herein as “transition period pricing”). In addition, during the six-month transition period, the CAISO sets the flexible ramping constraint relaxation parameter for the new EIM entity’s BAA between $0 and $0.01, but only when the power balance or transmission constraints are relaxed in the relevant EIM BAA. This is necessary to allow the market software to determine the marginal energy bid price.

Consistent with the Commission’s October 29 Order, the CAISO and the Department of Market Monitoring (DMM) will file informational reports at 30-day intervals during the six-month transition period for any new EIM entity. The CAISO provides this report for SCL to comply with the Commission’s requirements in the October 29 Order. The CAISO anticipates filing these reports on a monthly basis. However, because the complete set of data is not available immediately at the end of the applicable month, and depending on the market performance each month, along with the need to coordinate with the EIM entity, the CAISO expects to continue to file the monthly reports approximately 25 days after the end of each month in order to provide the prior full month’s data.

---

2 The earliest the CAISO can start gathering the data is 10 business days after the last day for the reporting month since this is when the price correction window expires.
II. Highlights

Overall, SCL’s transition into the EIM was smooth and without significant issues. The first month’s market performance highlights are as follows:

- SCL passed over 99.72 percent of its balance tests and 100 percent of its bid-range capacity tests.
- SCL passed 100 percent of its upward flexible ramping sufficiency.
- Prices were stable and within reasonable ranges, with the monthly average SCL BAA price at $19.38/MWh in the fifteen-minute market (FMM) and $17.63/MWh in the real-time dispatch (RTD).
- There were no power balance constraint infeasibilities for under-supply conditions in both the fifteen-minute and the five-minute market.
- The price for upward flexible ramping capacity in FMM for the SCL BAA averaged at $0.002/MWh, while prices for the downward flexible ramping product were $0.007/MWh.
III. Market Performance during the Transitional Period

a. Prices

Figure 1 shows the daily average Fifteen-Minute Market (FMM) and Real-Time Dispatch (RTD) prices in the SCL EIM Load Aggregation Point (ELAP) for April 1, 2020 through April 30, 2020. April’s monthly average price in FMM was $19.38/MWh and $17.63/MWh in the RTD.

Under the CAISO’s price correction authority in Section 35 of the CAISO tariff, the CAISO may correct prices posted on its Open Access Same-Time Information System (OASIS) if it finds: (1) that the prices were the product of an invalid market solution; (2) the market solution produced an invalid price due to data input failures, hardware or software failures; or (3) a result that is inconsistent with the CAISO tariff. The prices presented in Figure 1 include all prices produced by the CAISO consistent with its tariff requirements. That is, the trends represent: (1) prices as produced in the market that the CAISO deemed valid; (2) prices that the CAISO could, and did, correct pursuant to Section 35 of the CAISO tariff; and (3) any prices the CAISO adjusted pursuant to the transition period pricing reflected in Section 29.27 of the CAISO tariff.
b. Frequency of Power Balance Constraint Infeasibilities

Figures 2 and 3 show the frequency of intervals in which the power balance constraint was relaxed for under-supply conditions in the SCL BAA for the FMM and RTD, respectively. The under-supply infeasibilities are classified into three categories: Valid, Corrected and Would-Be-Corrected. The Corrected category shows the instances of those under-supply infeasibilities impacted by either data input failures or software failures, the CAISO performed price correction pursuant to Section 35 of the CAISO tariff. The Would-Be-Corrected category shows the instances where there are other under-supply infeasibilities impacted by data input failures or software failures which would be subject to price correction, but were not corrected because the price after correction would be the same price as that obtained by the transition period pricing. The Valid category shows the remaining under-supply infeasibilities, which were deemed to be driven by system conditions. In April 2020, the SCL BAA had no under-supply power balance infeasibilities for both FMM and RTD.

Figure 2: Frequency of FMM under-supply power balance infeasibilities in the SCL BAA.
Tables 1 and 2 list the FMM and RTD intervals with infeasibilities observed in April, including the amount of load conformance, respectively. There were no under-supply power balance infeasibilities in the month.

Table 1: List of valid FMM under-supply infeasibilities in the SCL balancing authority area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trade Date</th>
<th>Trade Hour</th>
<th>Trade Interval</th>
<th>MW Infeasibility</th>
<th>Load Conformance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 2: List of valid RTD under-supply infeasibilities in the SCL balancing authority area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trade Date</th>
<th>Trade Hour</th>
<th>Trade Interval</th>
<th>MW Infeasibility</th>
<th>Load Conformance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### c. Balancing and Sufficiency Test Failures

The EIM provides an opportunity to various BAAs to serve its load while realizing the benefits of increased resource diversity. Since the EIM does not include resource adequacy requirements or obligations for resources to submit bids, the CAISO performs a series of resource sufficiency tests comprised of: (i) a balancing test; (ii) a capacity test; and (iii) a flexible ramping sufficiency test.
These tests occur prior to the real-time market.

Performance of a balancing test before each trading hour ensures that each participating BAA submits a balanced base schedule of generation and a net schedule interchange to meet its demand. In addition, the participating BAA is required to submit bids with enough ramping capability to meet its net load forecast uncertainty and net load movement requirements. Figure 4 shows the trend of balancing test outcomes for the period of April 1, 2020, through April 30, 2020, and Figure 5 shows the pattern of bid-range capacity test outcomes for the period of April 1, 2020, through April 30, 2020. If data input or software failures affect a balancing test or the bid-range capacity test the affected test results are shown as correctable events.

In April, the SCL BAA passed the balancing test in 99.72 percent of the intervals, which is within the acceptable range of balancing test failures. The SCL BAA passed the bid-range capacity test in all intervals. On April 13, SCL failed the bid-range capacity test due to missing load forecast in the Real-time Balancing Test (RTBS) application; the lack of load forecast resulted in an unusually high requirement for the downward bid capacity. Since a data input failure affected the capacity test, it is shown as a correctable event in Figure 5.

Figure 4: Frequency of Balancing test failures in the SCL BAA.

---

3 The CAISO performs resource sufficiency tests pursuant to Section 29.34(k) of the CAISO tariff.
The CAISO also performs the flexible ramping sufficiency test as specified in Section 29.34(m) of the CAISO tariff. Figure 6 shows the trend of the test failures for flexible ramping for the period of April 1 through April 30. The SCL BAA passed the flexible ramp up test in 100 percent of the intervals in April and passed the flexible ramp down test in 99.65 percent of the intervals. When a BAA fails the bid-range capacity test in either up or down direction, it automatically fails the flexible ramp sufficiency test for that interval in the same direction. On April 13, 2020, SCL BAA failed the bid-range down capacity test and therefore, also failed the flexible ramp down test. Since the bid-range, capacity test was affected by missing load forecast, the test result is classified as a correctable event in figure 5. The flexible ramp down test failure for the same timeframe is also shown as a correctable event in figure 6.
d. **Flexible Ramping Product**

Figure 7 shows the daily average of the upward and downward flexible ramping constraint requirement and procurement in the FMM. The positive portion of a bar represents flexible ramp up and the negative portion of a bar represents flexible ramp down. Figure 8 shows the daily average of the upward and downward flexible ramping constraint prices in the FMM. With the implementation of the flexible ramping product on November 1, 2016, the flexible ramping requirements calculations are based on historical data for uncertainty with any applicable net import/export capability or credit. This effectively reduces the amount of flexible ramping the SCL BAA has to procure and, generally, the EIM system-wide area (which includes all the BAAs in the EIM, including the CAISO BAA) will drive the requirements. The market clearing process may result in procuring the SCL BAA capacity towards meeting the overall EIM-system-wide area requirement. This is the main reason why the individual SCL procurement may generally not match exactly the individual SCL flexible ramping requirement.
In addition, the price trend provided in Figure 7 is the nested price determined by the summation of the shadow price of the individual SCL BAA plus the shadow price of the EIM system-wide area. In April, the average upward flexible ramping capacity price was $0.0028/MWh and the average downward flexible ramping capacity price was $0.007/MWh. For most of the time, the flexible ramping up procurement was above the area requirements.
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