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Overview

• Phase 1 enhancements implemented in June
  – Incorrect accounting for batteries would have caused CAISO to fail 4 additional 15-minute intervals on Sept 5 and 6 (7 → 11 failures)

• Performance under challenging conditions during September heat wave
  – Overall test failures by BAAs in WEIM relatively low considering extremely high load conditions on Sept 5 and 6.
  – Most BAAs failing test were importing relatively small amounts or even next exporters though WEIM
  – CAISO imported about 1,600 MW during seven 5-minute intervals when it failed the test on Sept 5 and 6
  – Import limits resulting from failing test did not have significant impact in terms of limiting additional imports into CAISO

• DMM continues to recommend consideration of further enhancements
  – Consider other (mandatory) financial consequences of failing test.
  – Further analysis and consideration of uncertainly adder
Phase 1 enhancements implementation in June

- Intertie uncertainty removed from the capacity test on June 1.
  - Net load uncertainty removed from the capacity test on February 15, 2022.
- Exclude long start units that are off-line and short start units that fail to start from capacity test.
- Account for the state-of-charge of batteries from the market run immediately prior to the test hour.*
- Reduce CAISO import/exports awards counted in test based on transmission profile e-Tags submitted at T-40.*
- Flexibility test requirement now accounts for any power balance constraint shortage during the interval immediately prior to the test hour.
- Demand response actions taken which aren’t accounted for in real-time market can be submitted as an adjustment to load forecast used in test.
- CAISO excluded from distribution of potential revenues from failures of the balancing test.

* DMM analysis indicates these changes were not implemented correctly.
Large number of test failures on September 5 and 6 driven by combination of high WEIM and CAISO loads
On September 5-6, 12 different BAAs failed resource sufficiency test.

Red boxes indicate intervals when CAISO should have failed capacity test if available battery capacity was correctly calculated.
WEIM transfers following resource sufficiency evaluation failures (September 6, 2022)
CAISO accounted for most net WEIM imports in net peak hours during heat wave
WEIM transfers during intervals when BAAs failed the resource sufficiency evaluation (September 5-6, 2022)

Net WEIM transfers in 5-minute market during intervals with test failures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BAA</th>
<th>Resource sufficiency evaluation failures</th>
<th>WEIM transfers &lt; import limit</th>
<th>WEIM transfers = import limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Percent of RTD failure intervals</td>
<td>Average RTD dynamic import limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANC</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPAT</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAISO</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>3,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPCO</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LADWP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWMT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACW</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSEI</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCL</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRP</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIDC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Capacity test requirements example (CAISO, Sept 6)

\[
\text{Capacity test imbalance requirement} = (\text{load forecast + exports}) - (\text{generation + imports}) \text{ excluding WEIM transfers}
\]
Upward load bias for CAISO increased from ~3,000 MW to ~5,000 MW during heat wave (CAISO, Sept 6)
Net CAISO imports from WEIM significantly higher in 15-minute market due to large upward load bias
Capacity test results example (CAISO, September 6)

CAISO failed the RSE during two intervals.
Availability of battery capacity counted in the bid-range capacity test (September 6, 2022)

- Unavailable because of maximum unit capacity
- Unavailable because of ancillary service obligation
- Unavailable because of minimum state of charge
- Unavailable because of state of charge needed to support energy base schedule
- Available upward capacity
CAISO would have failed test during three additional intervals if available battery capacity correctly calculated (September 6, 2022)
DMM recommendations

• Consider other options for modifying consequence of failing resource sufficiency test
  – Additional financial charge for WEIM transfers

• Low priority exports from CAISO balancing area
  – Consider further refinements of proposed approach
  – Exclude low priority exports as supply for other areas during extremely tight conditions (e.g. when CAISO is in EEA?)

• Incorporating uncertainty into test requirements
  – Closely monitor quartile regression approach for calculating uncertainty used in flexible ramping product and test in December
  – Consider different approaches before adding uncertainly into capacity test