

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

OFFICE OF ENERGY MARKET REGULATION

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
Docket Nos. ER10-966-000
ER10-966-001

May 27, 2010

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, California 95630

Attention: Anna A. McKenna, Esquire
Senior Counsel for the California Independent System
Operator Corporation

Reference: Ex Post Price Corrections

Dear Ms. McKenna:

On March 31, 2010, as amended on May 13, 2010, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) submitted for filing proposed tariff sheets¹ to implement a mechanism by which the CAISO would "make-whole" scheduling coordinators that, due to ex-post price corrections, are subject to prices for internal demand or exports higher than the prices in the scheduling coordinators' submitted bid curves. Waiver of the Commission's notice requirements pursuant to section 35.11 of the Commission's rules and regulations (18 C.F.R. § 35.11) is granted, and the proposed tariff sheets, as amended, are accepted for filing effective June 1, 2010, as requested.

This filing, as amended, was noticed on March 31, 2010, and May 14, 2010, with comments, protests or motions to intervene due on or before April 21, 2010, and May 24, 2010, respectively. A number of entities filed timely motions to intervene. Southern California Edison Company (SoCal Edison) filed a timely motion to intervene, as well as comments requesting that the CAISO be required to add language in its tariff that explicitly excludes the self-scheduled portion of demand and export bids from being eligible for make-whole payments associated with ex-post price corrections, and

¹ FERC Electric Tariff, Fourth Replacement Volume No. 1, Third Revised Sheet No. 217, et al.

additional language reflecting how revenue shortfalls would be handled. On May 4, 2010, the CAISO filed an Answer to SoCal Edison's comments stating that the CAISO agreed to add the language requested by SoCal Edison. On May 14, 2010, the CAISO filed revised tariff sheets to reflect both the tariff language requested by SoCal Edison and revisions to other tariff sheets to further clarify the tariff with respect to its proposed mechanism to "make-whole" scheduling coordinators with respect to ex post price corrections. No other comments were received. As such, the filing, as amended, is uncontested. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

This acceptance for filing shall not be construed as constituting approval of the referenced filing or of any rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation or practice affecting such rate or service provided for in the filed documents; nor shall such acceptance be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation associated therewith; and such acceptance is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or any which may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against the California Independent System Operator Corporation.

This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated to the Director, Division of Electric Power Regulation - West under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director
Division of Electric Power
Regulation -West

cc: All Parties

Document Content(s)

ER10-966 CAISO.DOC.....1-2