The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose  
Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20426  

Re: California Independent System Operator Corporation  
Compliance Filing to Reconcile Commission-Approved  
Tariff Records  

Docket No. ER19-2757-005

Dear Secretary Bose:

The California Independent System Operator Corporation (“CAISO”) submits this compliance filing to reconcile tariff records in the Commission’s eTariff system that the Commission has already accepted in earlier proceedings, but which have been inadvertently superseded because of an administrative error in the eTariff metadata assigned to those records. The CAISO does not propose any changes to Commission-approved tariff language in this filing.

The CAISO requests that the Commission accept the reconciled tariff records contained in this filing effective as of the latest effective date previously approved by the Commission for each tariff record. The Commission has accepted similar compliance filings to reconcile overlapping Commission-approved tariff records in the past, and should do the same here.

In the order accepting the initial tariff filing submitted to the commission in Docket No. ER19-2757-000 to comply with the requirements FERC Order No. 831, the Commission noted that a further compliance filing was necessary to update the CAISO’s eTariff records to reflect the revisions in the 2020 “CCDEBE” proposal that had been conditionally accepted in Docket No. ER20-2360-000. That compliance filing was

---


submitted October 21, 2020, in Docket No. ER 19-2757-001, with a transmittal letter noting that the tariff record update mandated by the September 2020 Order was reflected in the tariff sheets in Attachment C to the October 21 compliance filing, and accepted by a letter order issued in that docket on December 11, 2020 (“December 2020 Order”). It was clearly the intent of the Commission that the tariff records accepted in that compliance filing in sub-docket -001 would supersede the corresponding tariff records previously accepted in sub-docket -000. However, due to an administrative error, the Effective Priority Order values for the tariff records submitted in sub-docket -001 were inadvertently set at lower levels than the values for the corresponding tariff records submitted in sub-docket -000. As a result, according to the information displayed on the Commission’s eTariff website, the records containing the revisions in the original filing (-000) submitted on September 5, 2019 have superseded those incorporating the changes that were accepted in the compliance filing (-001) submitted on October 21, 2020.

This filing is intended to restore the language accepted in the December 2020 filing by resubmitting the tariff records containing that language so that the tariff records effective March 21, 2021 will correctly reflect the Commission’s intent and incorporate both the revisions accepted in this docket and those accepted from the 2020 CCDEBE proposal.

Attachment A to this filing consists of the clean tariff sheets originally submitted in Attachment C to the October 21 compliance filing in Docket No. ER19-2757-001 that should currently be reinstated with the March 21, 2021 effective date as the Commission approved in its Order on December 11, 2020. There are no redlines to submit in this filing because there are no changes to Commission-accepted language to be made, only adjustments to eTariff metadata to ensure the FERC eTariff system properly reflects the cumulative effect of the Commission’s orders.

The CAISO requests that the Commission accept the reconciled tariff records contained in this filing effective as of the latest effective date previously approved by the Commission, which is March 21, 2021.
II. Communications

The CAISO requests that all correspondence, pleadings, and other communications regarding this filing be served upon:

Roger E. Collanton  
   General Counsel
Sidney L. Mannheim  
   Assistant General Counsel
William H. Weaver  
   Senior Counsel
California Independent System  
   Operator Corporation
250 Outcropping Way  
   Folsom, CA  95630
Tel: (916) 351-4400  
   Fax: (916) 608-7222
E-mail: bweaver@caiso.com

III. Service

The CAISO has served copies of this filing on the service list for Docket No. ER19-2757.

IV. Conclusion

For the reasons explained above, the CAISO requests that the Commission accept the reconciled tariff records contained in this compliance filing.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ William H. Weaver  
Roger E. Collanton  
   General Counsel
Sidney L. Mannheim  
   Assistant General Counsel
William H. Weaver  
   Senior Counsel

Counsel for the California Independent System Operator Corporation
Attachment A – Clean Tariff

Compliance Filing to Reconcile Commission-Approved Tariff Records

California Independent System Operator Corporation

May 7, 2021
30.11.1 Reasonableness Thresholds

The CAISO will calculate the Reasonableness Thresholds for the purpose of evaluating increases to Reference Levels pursuant to this Section 30.11.1.

30.11.1.1 General Applicability

The CAISO will calculate the Reasonableness Thresholds for all resources except for Non-Resource-Specific System Resources. The CAISO will not calculate Reasonableness Thresholds for evaluating Reference Level Change Requests for Bids from resources, other than Hydro Default Energy Bids or for Virtual Bids. For resources for which the CAISO does not calculate Default Energy Bids, the CAISO will set the Reasonableness Threshold at the Soft Energy Bid Cap. The Reasonableness Threshold for Default Energy Bid or Default Minimum Load Bid adjustments shall not exceed the Hard Energy Bid Cap or Minimum Load Cost Hard Cap, respectively.

30.11.1.2 Calculations

30.11.1.2.1 Natural Gas-Fired Resources

For natural gas-fired resources, the CAISO will calculate the Reasonableness Threshold to equal the Proxy Cost-based Default Start-Up Bid, the Proxy Cost-based Default Minimum Load Bid, or the Variable Cost-based Default Energy Bid calculated for the specific resource, where the natural gas commodity price component determined pursuant to Section 39.7.1.1.1.3 is multiplied by: one hundred twenty-five percent (125%) for days without a published daily gas price index consistent with the rules in Section 39.7.1.1.1.3, unless the CAISO has updated the natural gas commodity price used to calculate the Reasonableness Threshold pursuant to Section 30.11.1.3, in which case the CAISO will use one hundred ten percent (110%); or (ii) one hundred ten percent (110%) for all other days. Provided, however, that the CAISO will set the Reasonableness Threshold for a specific resource to its Reference Level when it accepts a manual Reference Level Change Request as provided in Section 30.11.5.

30.11.1.2.2 Non-Natural Gas-Fired Resources

For non-natural gas-fired resources, the CAISO will calculate the Reasonableness Threshold to equal the Proxy Cost-based Default Start-Up Bid, the Proxy Cost-based Default Minimum Load Bid, or the Variable Cost-based Default Energy Bid, with the fuel or fuel-equivalent cost component of that calculation registered in the Master File being multiplied by one hundred ten percent (110%).
30.11.2 Reference Level Change Requests

30.11.2.1 Applicability
A Scheduling Coordinator may submit a Reference Level Change Request for Default Start-Up Bids, Default Minimum Load Bids, and Default Energy Bids, as applicable. Scheduling Coordinators may not submit Reference Level Change Requests for Bids by Non-Resource-Specific System Resources. Resources under the Registered Cost methodology are not eligible for Reference Level Change Requests for Default Minimum Load Bids or Default Start-Up Bids. Scheduling Coordinators may not submit Reference Level Change Requests to recover costs associated with gas company imbalance penalties.

30.11.2.2 Requirements
All Reference Level Change Requests must be based on the Scheduling Coordinator’s reasonable expectation that its daily actual fuel costs or fuel-equivalent costs for a given Trading Day will exceed the costs used by the CAISO to calculate the resource’s Reference Levels, and must reflect reasonable and prudent procurement practices. All Reference Level Change Requests must be calculated using actual or expected fuel costs or fuel-equivalent costs supported by Documentation of Contemporaneously Available Information.

30.11.2.3 Energy Bids Above the Soft Energy Bid Cap
A Scheduling Coordinator whose Default Energy Bid does not exceed the Soft Energy Bid Cap and that intends to submit an Energy Bid that exceeds the Soft Energy Bid Cap must submit a Reference Level Change Request. The CAISO will further verify Energy Bids in excess of the Soft Energy Bid Cap pursuant to the applicable rules in Section 30.7.
30.12  After-CAISO Market Process Cost Recovery

30.12.1 Applicability

Scheduling Coordinators may request an additional uplift payment to cover a resource’s actual fuel costs or fuel-equivalent costs associated with Start-Up Bid Costs, Minimum Load Bid Costs, Transition Bid Costs, and Energy Bid Costs used in the Bid Cost Recovery mechanism, and that are for:

(a) amounts in a Reference Level Change Request that were not approved pursuant to Section 30.11; or

(b) amounts in a Reference Level Change Request for a Default Energy Bid or Default Minimum Load Bid that exceeds the Hard Energy Bid Cap or the Minimum Load Cost Hard Cap, respectively.

Scheduling Coordinators may not request additional uplift payments under this section to cover costs associated with gas company imbalance penalties.

* * * * *

34.10  Dispatch of Energy from Ancillary Services

The CAISO may issue Dispatch Instructions to Participating Generators, Participating Loads, Proxy Demand Resources, (via communication with the Scheduling Coordinators of Demand Response Providers) System Units and System Resources contracted to provide Ancillary Services (either procured through the CAISO Markets, Self-Provided by Scheduling Coordinators, or through Exceptional Dispatch or dispatched in accordance with a Legacy RMR Contract) for the Supply of Energy. During normal operating conditions, the CAISO may Dispatch those Participating Generators, Participating Loads, Proxy Demand Resources, System Units and System Resources that have contracted to provide Spinning and Non-Spinning Reserve, except for those reserves designated as Contingency Only, in conjunction with the normal Dispatch of Energy. Contingency Only reserves are Operating Reserve capacity that have been designated, either by the Scheduling Coordinator or the CAISO, as available to supply Energy in the Real-Time only in the event of the occurrence of an unplanned Outage, a Contingency or an imminent or actual System Emergency. During normal operating conditions, the CAISO may also elect to designate
any reserve not previously identified as Contingency Only by Scheduling Coordinator as Contingency Only reserves. In the event of an unplanned Outage, a Contingency or a threatened or actual System Emergency, the CAISO may dispatch Contingency Only reserves. If Contingency Only reserves are dispatched through the RTCD, which as described in Section 34.5.2 only Dispatches in the event of a Contingency, such Dispatch and pricing will be based on the original Energy Bids. If Contingency Only reserves are dispatched in response to a System Emergency that has occurred because the CAISO has run out of Economic Bids when no Contingency event has occurred, the RTED will Dispatch such Contingency Only reserves using the Hard Energy Bid Cap as the Energy Bids for such reserves and will set prices accordingly. If a Participating Generator, Participating Load, System Unit or System Resource that is supplying Operating Reserve is dispatched to provide Energy, the CAISO shall replace the Operating Reserve as necessary to maintain NERC and WECC reliability standards, including any requirements of the NRC. If the CAISO uses Operating Reserve to meet Real-Time Energy requirements, and if the CAISO needs Operating Reserves to satisfy NERC and WECC reliability standards, including any requirements of the NRC, the CAISO shall restore the Operating Reserves to the extent necessary to meet NERC and WECC reliability standards, including any requirements of the NRC through either the procurement of additional Operating Reserve in the RTM or the Dispatch of other Energy Bids in SCED to allow the resources that were providing Energy from the Operating Reserve to return to their Dispatch Operating Target. The Energy Bid Curve is not used by the AGCsystem when Dispatching Energy from Regulation. For Regulation Up capacity, the upper portion of the resource capacity from its Regulation Limit is allocated to Regulation regardless of its Energy Bid Curve. For a resource providing Regulation Up or Operating Reserves the remaining Energy Bid Curve shall be allocated to any RTM AS Awards in the following order from higher to lower capacity where applicable: (a) Spinning Reserve; and (b) Non-Spinning Reserve. For resources providing Regulation Up, the applicable upper Regulation Limit shall be used as the basis of allocation if it is lower than the upper portion of the Energy Bid Curve. The remaining portion of the Energy Bid Curve, if there is any, shall constitute a Bid for RTM Energy. For Regulation Down capacity, the lower portion of the resource capacity from its applicable Regulation Limit is allocated to Regulation regardless of its Energy Bid Curve.
39.7.1 Calculation of Default Energy Bids

Default Energy Bids shall be calculated by the CAISO, for the on-peak hours and off-peak hours for both the DAM and RTMs, pursuant to one of the methodologies described in this Section. The Scheduling Coordinator for each Generating Unit owner or Participating Load must rank order the following options of calculating the Default Energy Bid starting with its preferred method. The Scheduling Coordinator must provide the data necessary for determining the Variable Costs unless the Negotiated Rate Option precedes the Variable Cost Option in the rank order, in which case the Scheduling Coordinator must have a negotiated rate established with the Independent Entity charged with calculating the Default Energy Bid. If no rank order is specified for a Generating Unit or Participating Load, then the default rank order of (1) Variable Cost Option, (2) Negotiated Rate Option, (3) LMP Option will be applied. For the first ninety (90) days after changes to resource status and MSG Configurations as specified in Section 27.8.3, including the first ninety (90) days after the effective date of Section 27.8.3, the Default Energy Bid option for the resource is limited to the Negotiated Rate Option or the Variable Cost Option. Default Energy Bids used for purposes other than for calculating Reasonableness Thresholds will be subject to the Soft Energy Bid Cap, unless the CAISO has approved a Reference Level Change Request pursuant to Section 30.11 in support of an Energy Bid above the Soft Energy Bid Cap.

39.7.1.1 Variable Cost Option

For natural gas-fueled units, the Variable Cost Option will calculate the Default Energy Bid by adding incremental cost (comprised of incremental fuel cost plus a volumetric Grid Management Charge adder plus a greenhouse gas cost adder if applicable) with variable operation and maintenance cost, by multiplying the sum by the Default Energy Bid Multiplier, adding a Bid Adder if applicable for a Frequently Mitigated Unit, and adding Variable Energy Opportunity Costs, if any. For non-natural gas-fueled units, the Variable Cost Option will calculate the Default Energy Bid by summing incremental fuel or fuel-equivalent cost plus a volumetric Grid Management Charge plus a greenhouse gas cost adder if applicable, multiplying the sum by the Default Energy Bid Multiplier, adding a Bid Adder if applicable for a Frequently Mitigated Unit, and adding Variable Energy Opportunity Costs, if any. For any Default Energy
Bids calculated under the Variable Cost Option that exceed $1,000 per MWh because of an approved Reference Level Change Request, any ten percent (10%) adder or Frequently Mitigated Unit adder shall not exceed $100 per MWh.
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing document upon all of the parties listed on the official service list for the above-referenced proceeding, pursuant to the requirements of Rule 2010 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.2010).

Dated at Washington, DC this 7th day of May, 2021.

/s/ Daniel Klein
Daniel Klein
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP