

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

California Independent System Operator Corporation)	Docket No. ER01-889-012
)	
California Independent System Operator Corporation)	Docket No. ER01-3013-004
)	
San Diego Gas & Electric Company,)	Docket No. EL00-95-059
)	
Complainant,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services Into Markets Operated by the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange,)	
)	
)	
Respondents)	

**STATUS REPORT OF THE
CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION**

To: The Honorable Curtis L. Wagner, Jr.
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Pursuant to the Chief Judge's Order Continuing Suspension of Proceedings and Ordering Further Status Reports issued in the above-captioned dockets on February 7, 2013, the California Independent System Operator Corporation ("ISO") respectfully provides the enclosed status report. As the ISO explains below, there have been no occurrences that alter the conclusion

reached by the ISO and active parties¹ to this proceeding in previous status reports, and the Chief Judge in his orders, that the procedural schedule in these proceedings should continue to be suspended pending the outcome of the California refund proceeding.

I. BACKGROUND

On November 7, 2001, the Commission issued an order in this docket that required the ISO to invoice the CDWR for all transactions entered into on behalf of the net short positions of PG&E and SCE (collectively, the "IOUs") during the period January 17, 2001 through July 31, 2001, within 15 days of the date of that order. The ISO submitted its compliance filing on November 21, 2001. On March 27, 2002, the Commission issued an order requiring that the ISO "re-invoice those gross amounts owed by DWR for all ISO transactions DWR entered into on behalf of the non-creditworthy UDCs . . . and provide a transparent means by which this Commission and other parties can determine whether the invoiced amounts were properly calculated." In response, the ISO submitted its compliance filing along with the gross invoices of PG&E and SCE, the net invoices of CDWR, and a worksheet and summary of these invoices.

On November 25, 2002, the Commission issued an order in which it determined that the ISO's compliance filing was deficient in explaining whether or

¹ Based on attendance at the February 12, 2008 pre-hearing conference, the "active parties" to this case are defined, for purposes of this motion, as the ISO, the California Department of Water Resources ("CDWR"), Pacific Gas and Electric Co. ("PG&E"), the Sacramento Municipal Utility District ("SMUD"), Modesto Irrigation District ("MID"), Cities of Santa Clara and Redding, California, and Powerex Corporation. All of these parties are also parties to the California refund proceeding.

not it had properly calculated the amounts invoiced to CDWR on behalf of the net short position of the IOUs. The Commission based this decision on a finding that the ISO had failed to provide “adequate supporting documentation that would allow for transparency” in determining whether the ISO had properly calculated the amounts invoiced to CDWR. Therefore, finding that there were material issues of fact as to whether the CAISO had properly calculated amounts invoiced to CDWR, the Commission set for hearing the following issues:

an accounting and explanation to determine how the CAISO calculated that DWR owed \$3.6 billion (as the creditworthy party for the IOUs) to the CAISO markets for the period January 17, 2001 through July 31, 2001; an accounting and explanation to determine how the CAISO calculated that DWR was owed \$2.7 billion during this time period; how much interest, if any, is included in these amounts due; a determination on whether DWR has fully paid all of the CAISO invoiced amounts; and any other issues that might affect the calculation of the amount that the CAISO should have invoiced DWR.

After several months of discussing a possible negotiated resolution to these proceedings, the ISO, on February 18, 2003, filed an unopposed motion to temporarily suspend the procedural schedule to allow the parties to focus on reaching a complete settlement and preparing an offer of settlement to file with the Commission. The Chief Administrative Law Judge granted the ISO’s request and, on February 25, 2003, suspended the procedural schedule until “otherwise ordered.”

During the intervening years, the ISO, in response to orders from the Chief Judge, filed several status reports indicating that although all parties felt that settlement was the preferred means of resolving the issues set for hearing by the

Commission in this proceeding, negotiating such a settlement would be greatly facilitated by awaiting the conclusion of the compliance process in the California refund proceeding before attempting to conclude and file a settlement in this proceeding. Therefore, the ISO, on behalf of the active parties, requested that this proceeding remain suspended until such time as the Commission issued an order approving the ISO's compliance filing in the California refund proceeding. The most recent of these status reports was filed on November 24, 2008.

On December 12, 2008, the Chief Judge issued an order finding that it is in the public interest to continue the suspension of the procedural schedule in this case pending a final Commission determination in the California refund proceeding that establishes the final balances of the entities that participated in the markets operated by the ISO during the Refund Period. The Chief Judge directed the ISO to file further status reports every 90 days. The ISO has filed sixteen such reports, with the last being filed on January 16, 2013. After the filing of each of these reports, the Chief Judge has issued orders continuing the suspension of this proceeding and ordering the ISO to file further status reports. In its first sixteen status reports, the ISO explained that no events had occurred subsequent to the December 12 order that would make a case for resuming the procedural schedule in this proceeding.

II. STATUS REPORT

There have been no occurrences since the filing of the ISO's last status report in these dockets on January 16, 2013 that alter the reasons for the Chief Judge's conclusion in his previous orders that the procedural schedule in this proceeding should be suspended pending a Commission order establishing the final balances of the entities that participated in the markets operated by the ISO during the Refund Period. No such Commission order has been issued and the California refund proceeding is still ongoing. Although the parties and the Commission continue to work to resolve outstanding issues, there are still calculations left to be performed before final balances can be determined. A more detailed discussion of the current status of the refund proceeding can be found in the status reports that the ISO files with the Commission in the ER03-746 and EL00-95 dockets, the most recent of which was filed on October 24, 2011.

For this reason, the ISO respectfully requests that the Chief Judge continue to suspend the procedural schedule in this proceeding until the date on which the Commission issues an order in Docket Nos. EL00-95-045, *et al.* that establishes the final balances of those entities that participated in markets operated by the ISO during the Refund Period. At that time, the ISO is optimistic that the parties to this proceeding will be able to expeditiously reach a settlement that resolves all of the issues set for hearing.

III. CONCLUSION

The ISO respectfully requests that the Chief Judge accept the enclosed status report and continue to suspend the procedural schedule in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael Kunselman

Nancy J. Saracino
General Counsel
Roger E. Collanton
Deputy General Counsel
Daniel J. Shonkwiler
Senior Counsel
The California Independent System
Operator Corporation
250 Outcropping Way
Folsom, CA 95630
Telephone: (916) 608-7015

Michael Kunselman
Alston & Bird LLP
The Atlantic Building
950 F Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
Tel: (202) 239-3300

Dated: May 7, 2013

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing document upon the email listserv established for the EL00-95 proceeding.

Dated at Washington D.C. this 7th day of May, 2013.

/s/ Michael Kunselman

Michael Kunselman