



2020 Budget and Grid Management Charge Initial Stakeholder Meeting

Meeting Logistics

Stakeholder Call

Date: Wednesday, November 20, 2019

Time: 10:00 - 12:00 p.m.

The following topics were discussed:

- Preliminary 2020 GMC Revenue Requirement
 - Operations and Maintenance Budget
 - Debt Service
 - Cash Funded Capital
 - Other Costs and Revenues
 - Operating Cost Reserve Adjustment
 - Grid Management Charges and Rates
- Key Calendar Dates and Next Steps
- Stakeholder Feedback and Discussion

Supporting documents can be found here,

<http://www.caiso.com/informed/Pages/StakeholderProcesses/Budget-GridManagementCharge.aspx>.

Questions and comments should be directed to: initiativecomments@caiso.com.

Phone Attendees

Name	Organization
Tiana Marmitt	City of Anaheim
Cindi Cohen	City of Riverside
Jose Vargas	City of Riverside
Dan Williams	Customized Energy Solutions
Lauren Perkins	DWGP for MID and SVP
Sean Neal	DWGP for MID and SVP
Saeed Farrokhpay	FERC
Christine Kirsten	PacifiCorp
Doug Young	PacifiCorp
Kathee Downey	PacifiCorp
Johnny Useldinger	PGE
Larisa Ljubarskaya	Puget Sound Energy
Nate Moore	Puget Sound Energy
Stephanie Imamovic	Puget Sound Energy
Matt Richardson	San Diego Gas and Electric
Meg McNaul	Thompson Coburn LLP
Maude Grantham-Richards	Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc.

Present CAISO Attendees

Name
April Gordon
Jan Cogdill
Dennis Estrada
Doreen Fender
Kim Leung
Isabella Nicosia
Janet Morris
Jodi Ziemathis
Jordan Pinjuv
Ryan Seghesio
Thomas Setliff
Don Tretheway

Meeting Comments/Questions

The following dialog represents the questions asked during the call and the verbal response given. Verbal responses may have been modified to clarify the answer to the given question.

Stakeholder: Lauren Perkins
Silicon Valley Power and Modesto Irrigation District

ISO Respondent: April Gordon

Lauren: Why is CAISO proposing an increase of \$3 million for cash funding?

April: With the additional operating cost reserve adjustment credit and the additional revenues, we felt it was an appropriate time to invest more in our capital reserves. By doing so, we're able to maintain stability in our revenue requirement in future years.

Stakeholder: Lauren Perkins
Silicon Valley Power and Modesto Irrigation District

ISO Respondent: Janet Morris

Lauren: With regard to the proposed 2020 projects that appear on pages 43 to 46 of the budget book. My first question is in regards to the Day Ahead Market (DAM) Enhancements project listed on page 43 of the budget book. The project is listed in the medium category and I'm wondering how CAISO arrived at this conclusion?

Janet: We are still, from an implementation perspective, waiting for the final scope of the project. We categorized the project as a medium funding category based on a comparison of the known scope against prior projects. We feel that we will likely be able to leverage a lot of our existing systems in order to complete the project. As we learn more about the scope and do a full impact assessment, we'll be able adjust the category size if needed.

Questions and comments should be directed to: initiativecomments@caiso.com.

2020 Budget and Grid Management Charge Initial Stakeholder Meeting

Stakeholder: Lauren Perkins
Silicon Valley Power and Modesto Irrigation District
ISO Respondent: Janet Morris and Don Tretheway

Lauren: I'm wondering if the implementation of the new TAC rate design is in the 2020 budget. Is this what appears as the Transmission Access Charge (TAC) project listed on page 44? Does that project cover the new TAC rate design that was part of the Infrastructure Enhancement Initiative?

Janet: There was, at one point in time, a TAC restructuring policy initiative that I think has been deferred a bit. From an implementation perspective, we again don't have final scope of that project defined yet. Once we have a final scope, we could report more about what's included. At this point, we're just projecting and forecasting these projects.

Lauren: One follow up question with that. I'm wondering what the transmission access charge billing project on page 44 covers.

Janet: Again, this was an item that was in our policy roadmap and has since been deferred and so we're continuing to carry it on our project list, but the scope hasn't yet come to fruition through that stakeholder process.

Don: The key is we want to make sure that design is consistent with the transmission approach for the EDAM. Once we made that determinations, then we will go forward with the project.

Lauren: Just to clarify, I want to make sure that the Transition Access Charge Billing project is the same as the TAC Structuring Enhancement project that's on hold?

Janet: Yes, we don't have two separate efforts that are addressing that topic, so as far as we can understand at this point those are the same.

Questions and comments should be directed to: initiativecomments@caiso.com.