

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
BEFORE THE  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

<b>Cities of Anaheim, Azusa</b>	)	
<b>Banning, Colton, and</b>	)	
<b>Riverside, California</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>Docket No. EL00-111-002</b>
	)	
<b>California Independent System</b>	)	
<b>Operator Corporation</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Salt River Project Agricultural</b>	)	
<b>Improvement and</b>	)	
<b>Power District</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>Docket No. EL01-84-000</b>
	)	
<b>California Independent System</b>	)	
<b>Operator Corporation</b>	)	

**[Not Consolidated]**

**MOTION TO POSTPONE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE**

The California Independent System Operator Corporation (“ISO”), on behalf of itself and other parties in the above-captioned settlement proceedings (collectively, “Movants”),<sup>1</sup> respectfully request that the settlement conference in these proceedings scheduled for Wednesday, August 22, 2001, be postponed. Due to the substantial demands imposed by the Commission upon the ISO’s

---

<sup>1</sup> As discussed below, Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District (“SRP”) is not one of the Movants but has advised the ISO that SRP will not object to the postponement discussed herein if the responses to data requests are provided as discussed later

settlement personnel in connection with the refund proceedings in Docket Nos. EL00-95, *et al.*, the ISO has not been able to provide responses to the data requests given to the ISO in the instant proceedings that call for the provision of financial data. The Movants agree that the settlement conference should be postponed until after the ISO has provided those responses. The ISO has committed to provide those responses by Monday, September 24, 2001. Additionally, the ISO expects that it will be able, by Friday, August 24, 2001, to provide responses to data requests given to the ISO in the instant proceeding that require analytical answers.

The ISO is currently discussing with the other parties the appropriate date to which to reschedule the settlement conference, and will coordinate with Commission staff and the Administrative Law Judge to ensure that any date selected is acceptable to them. The ISO proposes Tuesday, October 2, 2001 (or a date a day or so later if October 2 is unacceptable), as the date for the next settlement conference. The ISO emphasizes that, due to the need for the ISO's representatives to conduct preparatory work for the refund proceedings described above, the ISO's representatives would be unable to take part in a settlement conference scheduled for a date prior to October 2; moreover, because the hearing in the refund proceedings is scheduled to begin in early October, the ISO's representatives would be unable to take part in a settlement conference scheduled for more than a day or so after October 2.

---

in this motion, and if a date certain is established for another settlement conference after the data responses are provided.

The ISO notes that SRP has stated that its agreement to defer the August 22 settlement conference date is conditioned on the ISO's commitment to provide responses to the data requests that require analytical answers by August 24, and to provide responses to the remainder of the data requests involving financial data by September 24. SRP also states that its agreement is conditioned on the ISO's provision of a date certain on which the ISO will be available for another settlement conference after all data responses are completed.

Respectfully submitted,

---

Edward Berlin  
Bradley R. Miliauskas  
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP  
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20007-5116  
Tel. No.: (202) 424-7500

Counsel for the California  
Independent System Operator  
Corporation

Dated: August 22, 2001