

## **Notice**

**To:** Richard Maullin, Chair, Board of Governors

Douglas Howe, Chair, EIM Governing Body

From: Roger Collanton, Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

**Date:** August 11, 2017

Re: Notice of Planned Decisional Classification for "Generator Contingency and Remedial

Action Scheme Modeling"

## Any objection by the Chairs to this decisional classification is due by August 18, 2017.

This is formal notice of ISO Management's initial decisional classification for the "generator contingency and remedial action scheme modeling" initiative. Any objection by the Chairs to the initial decisional classification is due by August 18, 2017. Otherwise, this initiative will be presented for decision consistent with the classification described below.<sup>1</sup>

One element of this initiative proposes to allow each EIM Entity the option to decide whether to enable functionality that would enforce generator or remedial action scheme contingencies within its EIM balancing authority area. This element falls within the EIM Governing Body's primary authority (E1), which means that this element of the initiative will be presented to the EIM Governing Body for approval and, if it is approved, will be included on the consent agenda at the next Board meeting.

Other elements of this initiative relate to the real-time market, and therefore fall within the EIM Governing Body's advisory role (E2). While these elements will be presented to the Board for approval, the EIM Governing Body will also receive a briefing on the elements of the proposal that relate to the real-time market and may provide advisory input.

Finally, with respect to the remaining components of the initiative that do not relate to the real-time market, the EIM Governing Body will have no decisional role. These elements will be presented only to the Board.

Please note that the classification for this initiative has changed since the Briefing on Policy Outlook presented at the July 13, 2017 EIM Governing Body meeting. At that time, the ISO stated

GC/Legal/D. Shonkwiler Page 1 of 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The process is described in the Guidance for Handling Initiatives within the Decisional Authority or Advisory Role of the EIM Governing Body at <a href="http://www.caiso.com/Documents/GuidanceforHandlingPolicyInitiatives-EIMGoverningBody.pdf">http://www.caiso.com/Documents/GuidanceforHandlingPolicyInitiatives-EIMGoverningBody.pdf</a>.

the EIM Governing Body would have a role only on the proposal to allow each EIM Entity the option to decide whether to enable functionality that would enforce generator or remedial action scheme contingencies within its EIM balancing authority area, and not any other aspect of the initiative. After further consideration, Management concluded that the other components of the initiative that relate to the real-time market fall within the EIM Governing Body's advisory role.

The updated draft final proposal for this initiative is located at:

https://www.caiso.com/Documents/DraftFinalProposal-

<u>GeneratorContingencyandRemedialActionSchemeModeling\_updatedjul252017.pdf</u>. Additional relevant papers for this initiative are located at:

https://www.caiso.com/informed/Pages/StakeholderProcesses/GeneratorContingency\_Remedial ActionSchemeModeling.aspx.

The draft final proposal was updated to reflect the decisional classification described above. Written stakeholder comments on the updated classification were due August 3, 2017. There were no objections to the decisional classification as described here.

GC/Legal/D. Shonkwiler Page 2 of 2