

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

OFFICE OF ENERGY MARKET REGULATION

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
Docket No. ER13-71-000
November 6, 2012

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
250 Outcropping Way
Folsom, California 95630

Attention: John C. Anders, Esquire
Senior Counsel

Reference: Amended and Restated Transmission Control Agreement

Dear Mr. Anders:

On October 10, 2012, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) submitted for filing changes to the Transmission Control Agreement (TCA) among the CAISO, the current participating transmission owners, and two new participating transmission owners: Valley Electric Association, Inc. (Valley Electric) and the City of Colton, California (Colton). Specifically, the CAISO states that the changes to the TCA reflect: (1) the addition of Valley Electric and Colton as new participating transmission owners; (2) a revision to section 16.2 clarifying CAISO's contractual authority in circumstances where the Commission conditionally accepts a proposed transmission revenue requirement and subsequently modifies it; and (3) an update to Southern California's appendix B to remove an encumbrance because an existing contract has terminated. The proposed amendments to the TCA as embodied in an Amended and Restated Transmission Control Agreement, except for the provisions included in Valley Electric's Appendix A, are accepted for filing, effective January 1, 2013, as requested; Valley Electric's Appendix A is accepted for filing, effective January 3, 2013, as requested.¹

¹ Please be advised that to the extent the Valley Electric's transition date is other than January 3, 2013, the CAISO is required to make a compliance filing specifying the actual transition date.

The filing was noticed on October 11, 2012, with comments, protests, or interventions due on or before October 31, 2012. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, Modesto Irrigation District, the City of Santa Clara, California and the M-S-R Public Power Agency, the Western Area Power Administration, the California Department of Water Resources State Water Project, and the Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton, Pasadena, and Riverside, California filed timely motions to intervene that did not include any comments or included comments either in support of or not opposed to the instant filing. Additionally, similar motions to intervene out of time were filed by Trans Bay Cable LLC, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Valley Electric. Thus, the instant filing is uncontested. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.214). Pursuant to section 375.308 (b)(1)(i) of the Commission's rules and regulations, the untimely filed motions to intervene are granted.

This acceptance for filing shall not be construed as constituting approval of the referenced filing or of any rate, charge, classification or any rule, regulation or practice affecting such rate or service contained in your tariff; nor shall such acceptance be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation associated therewith; and such acceptance is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or any which may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against California Independent System Operator Corporation.

This action is taken pursuant to the authority delegated to the Director, Division of Electric Power Regulation - West under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director
Division of Electric Power
Regulation – West