

October 20, 2022

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20426

Re: California Independent System Operator Corporation

Docket No. ER15-861-

Western Energy Imbalance Market - Third Quarter 2022

Available Balancing Capacity Report

Dear Secretary Bose:

The California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) hereby submits its quarterly informational report for the third quarter of 2022 (July 1 to September 30, 2022) on the Available Balancing Capacity (ABC) enhancement for the Western Energy Imbalance Market (WEIM). The quarterly informational report is to provide the Commission with information on the performance of the ABC enhancement and to provide the same information the CAISO provides in its monthly informational reports submitted during a WEIM Entity's first six-month transition period.

Consistent with the Commission's directive in the December 17, 2015 order, the CAISO will continue to file such quarterly reports for at least the first year after implementation of the ABC enhancement, or until the Commission finds the quarterly informational reports are no longer needed.

Please contact the undersigned with any questions.

Respectfully submitted

By: /s/ John Anders

Roger E. Collanton General Counsel John Anders Assistant General Counsel California Independent System Operator Corporation 250 Outcropping Way Folsom, CA 95630

Tel: (916) 608-7182 Fax: (916) 608-7222 janders@caiso.com



Western Energy Imbalance Market July 1 – September 30, 2022 Available Balancing Capacity Report

October 20, 2022

Table of Contents

| I. II. | Back Avail | kgroundlable Balancing Capacitylable Balancing Capacity | 4 |
|-----------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----|
| | A. | | |
| | В. | ABC Awarded by the Market | 7 |
| | C. | ABC and Power Balance Constraint Infeasibilities | 8 |
| III. | WEI | M Performance | 11 |
| | A. | ELAP Prices | 11 |
| | B. | Balancing Test Failures | 12 |
| | C. | Flexible Ramp Sufficiency Test Failures | 13 |

I. Background

On December 17, 2015, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) approved the California Independent System Operator Corporation's (CAISO) proposed tariff revisions to comply with the Commission's July 20, 2015 order in FERC Docket No. ER15-861-006.¹ The CAISO's proposed tariff provisions enhanced the Western Energy Imbalance Market (WEIM) functionality so that the market systems automatically recognize and account for capacity a WEIM entity has available to maintain reliable operations in its own balancing authority area (BAA), but has not been bid into the WEIM.² This enhancement is referred to as the Available Balancing Capacity (ABC) enhancement. The CAISO implemented the ABC enhancement on March 23, 2016.

Consistent with the CAISO's commitments made in this proceeding, the Commission directed the CAISO to prepare and file with the Commission quarterly informational reports for at least the first year after implementation of the ABC enhancement, and until the Commission finds the quarterly informational reports are no longer needed.³ The quarterly informational reports are to provide information on the performance of the ABC enhancement and to include the same information the CAISO provides in its monthly informational reports submitted during a WEIM entity's first sixmonth transition period.⁴

¹ Cal. Indep. Sys. Operator Corp., 152 FERC ¶ 61,060 (2015) (July 20 Order); and Cal. Indep. Sys. Operator Corp., 153 FERC ¶ 61, 305 (2015) (December 17 Order).

December 17 Order at P 1.

³ December 17 Order at P 99

⁴ December 17 Order at P 39.

II. Available Balancing Capacity

A. ABC Submitted to the Market

Each WEIM entity can identify and choose the amount of Available Balancing Capacity (ABC) they will make available to the CAISO and the resources supporting this capacity through its resource plan. The WEIM entity submits this capacity to the CAISO on an hourly basis, and it is available for both the Fifteen-Minute Market (FMM) and the five-minute Real-Time Dispatch (RTD). The data in this section shows the ABC bid into, and awarded by, the market in each of the WEIM BAAs for each month within the quarter.

The table below summarizes the percentage of hours in which each WEIM entity submitted upward and downward ABC bids to the WEIM for each month within the quarter. Many entities submitted ABC for nearly all intervals in each month with some exceptions. Idaho Power Company (IPCO) and Seattle City Light (SCL) did not submit any ABC to the WEIM during the quarter.

Table 1: Frequency of ABC Submitted to the WEIM

| | July | 2022 | Augus | st 2022 | Septem | ber 2022 |
|-------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| BAA | Upward Capacity | Downward Capacity | Upward Capacity | Downward Capacity | Upward Capacity | Downward Capacity |
| AVA | 100.00% | 100.00% | 99.87% | 99.87% | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| AZPS | 98.39% | 99.73% | 97.31% | 98.93% | 99.44% | 99.86% |
| BANC | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| ВСНА | 100.00% | 100.00% | 99.73% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| BPA | 99.87% | 99.87% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| IPCO | | | | | | |
| LADWP | 29.57% | 6.32% | 9.54% | 7.53% | 3.06% | 3.06% |
| NEVP | 82.53% | 74.87% | 91.80% | 84.68% | 99.44% | 88.19% |
| NWMT | 99.87% | 99.60% | 98.25% | 99.46% | 99.44% | 99.86% |
| PACE | 66.26% | 1.61% | 74.46% | 0.94% | 45.83% | 3.75% |
| PACW | 0.54% | 2.55% | 0.40% | 2.55% | 0.56% | 1.67% |
| PGE | 98.79% | | 99.06% | | 98.89% | |
| PNM | 2.96% | 77.42% | 0.40% | 97.31% | | 67.08% |
| PSEI | 0.40% | | | | | 9.03% |
| SCL | | | | | | |
| SRP | 100.00% | 98.52% | 99.73% | 98.79% | 99.72% | 97.78% |
| TEP | 99.60% | 99.33% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 99.17% |
| TIDC | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| TPWR | 90.59% | 97.85% | 93.68% | 97.45% | 99.31% | 99.72% |

The table below shows the average ABC capacity, in MW, which each WEIM entity submitted to the WEIM for each month within the quarter. BCHA consistently submitted the highest average ABC capacity to the WEIM in both the upward and downward directions.

Table 2: Average ABC Capacity Submitted to the WEIM

| | July | / 2022 | Augu | ıst 2022 | Septem | ber 2022 |
|-------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| BAA | Upward Capacity (MW) | Downward Capacity (MW) | Upward Capacity (MW) | Downward Capacity (MW) | Upward Capacity (MW) | Downward Capacity (MW) |
| AVA | 20 | 20 | 20.01 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| AZPS | 19.79 | 19.98 | 19.99 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| BANC | 14.6 | 22.37 | 13.97 | 22.54 | 12.08 | 18.25 |
| ВСНА | 739.21 | 300 | 587.08 | 300 | 594.7 | 300.14 |
| BPA | 149.44 | 160.2 | 154 | 167.73 | 155.73 | 163.23 |
| IPCO | | | | | | |
| LADWP | 72.85 | 50.88 | 78.12 | 68.78 | 85.55 | 65.58 |
| NEVP | 26.54 | 35.58 | 30.65 | 42.82 | 31.47 | 38.14 |
| NWMT | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| PACE | 14.94 | 40 | 18.64 | 51.43 | 24.08 | 75.41 |
| PACW | 50 | 77.89 | 50 | 94.74 | 40 | 63.33 |
| PGE | 16.99 | | 17 | | 16.99 | |
| PNM | 49.78 | 30.07 | 30 | 32.56 | | 32.71 |
| PSEI | 58 | | | | | 35.92 |
| SCL | | | | | | |
| SRP | 28.72 | 22.54 | 32.57 | 24.42 | 29.27 | 24.02 |
| TEP | 14.72 | 22.4 | 14.36 | 24.02 | 16.28 | 20.24 |
| TIDC | 10.07 | 5 | 10.5 | 4.99 | 11.35 | 5 |
| TPWR | 2.86 | 2.7 | 2.73 | 2.31 | 2.96 | 2.49 |

The table below show the maximum ABC capacity, in MW, which each WEIM entity submitted to the WEIM for each month within the quarter. The highest ABC bid was submitted by BCHA in the upward direction for 1000 MW, which was consistent across all three months of the quarter.

Table 3: Maximum ABC Capacity Submitted to the WEIM

| | July 2022 | | August 2022 | | September 2022 | |
|------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| BAA | Upward Capacity (MW) | Downward Capacity (MW) | Upward Capacity (MW) | Downward Capacity (MW) | Upward Capacity (MW) | Downward Capacity (MW) |
| AVA | 20 | 20 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| AZPS | 20 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| BANC | 76 | 90 | 60 | 75 | 60 | 75 |
| ВСНА | 1000 | 500 | 1000 | 500 | 1000 | 500 |

| | | 1 | T . | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| BPA | 278 | 294 | 290 | 326 | 308 | 326 |
| IPCO | | | | | | |
| LADWP | 125 | 75 | 125 | 180 | 200 | 100 |
| NEVP | 120 | 150 | 70 | 180 | 70 | 200 |
| NWMT | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| PACE | 45 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 50 | 125 |
| PACW | 50 | 100 | 50 | 100 | 40 | 100 |
| PGE | 30 | | 17 | | 17 | |
| PNM | 110 | 65 | 30 | 65 | | 67 |
| PSEI | 58 | | | | | 45 |
| SCL | | | | | | |
| SRP | 100 | 50 | 100 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| TEP | 57 | 105 | 53 | 69 | 75 | 60 |
| TIDC | 15 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 5 |
| TPWR | 40 | 20 | 35 | 14 | 10 | 5 |

The table below shows the number of different resources supporting the ABC that the WEIM entities bid into the WEIM in both the upward and downward directions, for each month within the quarter. A maximum of 23 resources supported upward ABC capacity bids submitted by TEP. Some entities used as few as one resource to support their ABC bids.

Table 4: Number of Resources Supporting ABC

| | July | 2022 | Augus | st 2022 | Septem | per 2022 |
|-------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| BAA | Upward Capacity | Downward Capacity | Upward Capacity | Downward Capacity | Upward Capacity | Downward Capacity |
| AVA | 7 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 5 |
| AZPS | 9 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| BANC | 13 | 17 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 |
| ВСНА | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| BPA | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| IPCO | | | | | | |
| LADWP | 2 | 7 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 8 |
| NEVP | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 13 |
| NWMT | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| PACE | 9 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 2 |
| PACW | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| PGE | 6 | | 1 | | 2 | |
| PNM | 8 | 8 | 2 | 7 | | 8 |
| PSEI | 1 | | | | | 2 |
| SCL | | | | | | |
| SRP | 18 | 18 | 21 | 15 | 21 | 17 |
| TEP | 23 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 22 | 17 |
| TIDC | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| TPWR | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 |

B. ABC Awarded by the Market

The table below shows the frequency of each WEIM entities' dispatched ABC for the FMM market, when the WEIM entities made ABC available, for each month within the quarter. Overall, the market dispatched ABC quite infrequently throughout the quarter. The highest frequency of ABC dispatch in FMM occurred in July 2022 for SRP's bid-in upward ABC capacity. Often, the market dispatched ABC around or less than 1 percent of the time during the month.

Table 5: Frequency of ABC Dispatched by WEIM in the FMM

| | July | 2022 | Augus | st 2022 | Septem | ber 2022 |
|-------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| BAA | Upward Capacity | Downward Capacity | Upward Capacity | Downward Capacity | Upward Capacity | Downward Capacity |
| AVA | 0.07% | 0.03% | 0.10% | | | 0.04% |
| AZPS | | 0.10% | 0.10% | | 0.14% | |
| BANC | | | 0.03% | 0.03% | 1.25% | |
| ВСНА | | | 0.07% | 0.07% | | |
| BPA | 0.17% | 0.03% | 0.61% | 0.03% | 0.21% | 0.04% |
| IPCO | | | | | | |
| LADWP | | | | | | |
| NEVP | 0.17% | 1.24% | 0.27% | 1.41% | 0.17% | 1.84% |
| NWMT | | | 0.03% | 0.03% | | |
| PACE | | | 0.34% | | 0.07% | |
| PACW | | | | | | |
| PGE | 0.03% | | 0.07% | | 0.04% | |
| PNM | | 1.24% | | 3.66% | | 2.22% |
| PSEI | | | | | | |
| SCL | | | | | | |
| SRP | 5.98% | 3.86% | 4.60% | 4.13% | 4.20% | 4.72% |
| TEP | | | | | | |
| TIDC | | | | | 0.14% | |
| TPWR | | 0.34% | 0.13% | 0.13% | 0.07% | |

The table below shows the frequency of each WEIM entities' dispatched ABC for the RTD market, when the WEIM entities made ABC available, for each month within the quarter. Overall, the market dispatched ABC infrequently throughout the quarter. The highest frequency of ABC dispatch in RTD occurred in August 2022 on SRP's bid-in downward ABC capacity. Often, the market dispatched ABC less than or around 1 percent of the time during the month.

July 2022 August 2022 September 2022 BAA Downward Upward Downward Upward Downward Upward Capacity Capacity Capacity Capacity Capacity Capacity AVA 0.07% 0.01% 0.13% 0.01% 0.10% 0.10% AZPS 0.18% 0.08% 0.28% 0.28% **BANC** 1.82% 0.54% 1.04% 0.18% 2.04% 0.13% --**BCHA** 0.01% 0.09% 0.31% --0.09% BPA 0.24% 0.08% 0.15% 0.06% 0.52% 0.12% **IPCO** ----------------**LADWP** 0.02% **NEVP** 1.56% 1.15% 1.61% 1.38% 0.29% 0.65% **NWMT** 0.01% --0.08% ----0.01% PACE ----0.17% --0.26% 0.05% **PACW** --------PGE 0.02% --0.12% --0.14% --PNM ----2.26% --1.01% 1.88% ------PSEI ----0.08% SCL SRP 4.53% 6.37% 5.51% 5.63% 8.31% 6.22% TEP ----------TIDC 0.29% **TPWR** --0.29% 0.07% 0.18% 0.05%

Table 6: Frequency of ABC Dispatched by WEIM in the RTD

C. ABC and Power Balance Constraint Infeasibilities

The purpose of the ABC enhancement is to make capacity available that otherwise would not be visible to the WEIM. The primary objective in making such capacity available is that the WEIM can recognize and access that capacity when the conditions warrant its use, namely when the WEIM is running out of capacity made available through economic bids. The ABC is capacity stacked above economic bids, but below the power balance constraint relaxation penalty price. When the market is tight in supply and it has exhausted all effective economic bids, the market clearing process will access the ABC. If there is sufficient ABC, the WEIM will relax the power balance constraint to clear the market. As such, the market clearing process uses the ABC to resolve the power balance infeasibility. If instead the ABC identified is not sufficient to cure the infeasibility, the ABC may be exhausted and there may still be the need to relax the power balance constraint in order to clear the WEIM.

The table below shows the frequency of intervals in which the WEIM entities did not make any ABC available to the WEIM, when there was a power balance infeasibility for each month within the quarter, in the FMM. Specifically, the data in the table below provides the percentage amount of over-supply infeasibilities where downward ABC was needed, and under-supply infeasibilities where upward ABC was needed. No data

indicates that there were no infeasibilities during the period. A metric of 0 percent indicates that in all intervals when there was an infeasibility observed, the WEIM entity did submit ABC to the WEIM. A metric of 100 percent indicates that in all intervals when there was an infeasibility observed, the WEIM entity did not submit any ABC to the WEIM. These instances occurred relatively infrequently throughout the quarter, indicating that the WEIM entities typically had submitted ABC bids during instances when infeasibilities were observed.

Table 7: Frequency of Power Balance Infeasibilities When no ABC was Available in FMM

| | July | 2022 | Aug | ust 2022 | Septe | mber 2022 |
|-------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| BAA | Over- supply | Under- supply | Over- supply | Under- supply | Over- supply | Under- supply |
| AVA | | 0.00% | | 0.00% | | |
| AZPS | | | | | | |
| BANC | | | | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| ВСНА | | | | 0.00% | | |
| BPA | | | | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| IPCO | | | | 100.00% | | 100.00% |
| LADWP | | | | | | |
| NEVP | 85.71% | 0.00% | | | 100.00% | 0.00% |
| NWMT | | | | 0.00% | | |
| PACE | | | | | | 100.00% |
| PACW | | | | 100.00% | | 100.00% |
| PGE | | | | 0.00% | | |
| PNM | | | | 100.00% | | |
| PSEI | 100.00% | 100.00% | | 100.00% | | 100.00% |
| SCL | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | |
| SRP | 0.00% | | 0.00% | 0.00% | 18.18% | 0.00% |
| TEP | | | | | | |
| TIDC | | | | | | |
| TPWR | | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | |

The table below shows the frequency of intervals in which the WEIM entities did not make any ABC available to the WEIM, when there was a power balance infeasibility for each month within the quarter, in the RTD. Instances of observed infeasibilities with no submitted ABC occurred more frequently in RTD than FMM.

Table 8: Frequency of Power Balance Infeasibilities When no ABC was Available in RTD

| | Jul | y 2022 | Aug | ust 2022 | Septe | mber 2022 |
|-------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| BAA | Over- supply | Under- supply | Over- supply | Under- supply | Over- supply | Under- supply |
| AVA | 0.00% | 0.00% | | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| AZPS | | 0.00% | | 0.00% | | 0.00% |
| BANC | | | | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| BCHA | | | | 0.00% | | |
| BPA | | 0.00% | | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| IPCO | | | | 100.00% | | 100.00% |
| LADWP | | 100.00% | | 100.00% | | 100.00% |
| NEVP | 85.19% | 12.50% | 55.56% | 29.82% | 63.16% | 0.00% |
| NWMT | | | | 0.00% | | 0.00% |
| PACE | | 17.65% | 100.00% | 0.00% | | 100.00% |
| PACW | 100.00% | 100.00% | | 100.00% | | |
| PGE | | 0.00% | | | | 0.00% |
| PNM | | 100.00% | | 100.00% | 87.50% | |
| PSEI | 100.00% | 63.64% | | 100.00% | | 100.00% |
| SCL | 100.00% | | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | |
| SRP | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 6.40% | 0.00% |
| TEP | | 5.88% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| TIDC | | | | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| TPWR | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | 0.00% |

III. WEIM Performance

This section provides the information the CAISO previously provided in its monthly informational reports submitted during a WEIM entity's first six-month transition period.

A. ELAP Prices

The figures in this section show the WEIM load aggregation point (ELAP) prices⁵ for the FMM and RTD in each WEIM BAA. In prior reports, the CAISO provided these factual prices in comparison to counterfactual prices in order to show the effect of using the pricing waiver of the price discovery mechanism.⁶

The CAISO may correct prices posted on its Open Access Same-time Information System (OASIS) pursuant to the CAISO's price correction authority in section 35 of the CAISO tariff, if it finds: (1) that the prices were the product of an invalid market solution; or (2) the market solution produced an invalid price due to data input failures, hardware or software failures; or (3) a result that is inconsistent with the CAISO Tariff.

The prices presented in the figures below include all prices produced by the CAISO consistent with the CAISO tariff requirements. That is, the trends below represent: (1) prices as produced in the market for which the CAISO deemed valid; (2) prices that the CAISO could and did correct pursuant to section 35; and (3) any prices the CAISO adjusted pursuant to transition period pricing reflected in section 29.27 of the CAISO tariff.

The table below shows the average ELAP prices for all WEIM entities for each month within the quarter. Prices were generally higher on average throughout the summer months and rose to higher than average levels in September 2022 corresponding to the heat event that occurred early in the month.

July 2022 August 2022 September 2022 **BAA FMM** RTD FMM RTD FMM RTD (\$/MWh) (\$/MWh) (\$/MWh) (\$/MWh) (\$/MWh) (\$/MWh) AVA 36.13 31.12 67.71 62.77 72.47 64.7 AZPS 72.05 67.38 96.89 89.29 117.77 96.32 **BANC** 71.67 70.13 105.55 97.4 130.82 107.34

Table 9: Average FMM and RTD ELAP Prices

⁵ The ELAP provides aggregate prices that are representative of pricing in the overall BAA.

⁶ In Docket ER15-402, the CAISO reported on prices based on the price discovery mechanism in effect during the term of the Commission's waiver granted in that docket and the prices as they would be if the waiver was not in effect, *i.e.*, what prices would have been had they been on the penalty prices in the CAISO tariff. Because pricing under the waiver pricing is based on the last economic bid price signal, these prices are a proxy of what the prices would have been absent the seven category of learning curve type issues experience in that market. The difference between the counterfactual pricing and the price in effect during the term of the reports in that docket illustrated the market impact of the waiver pricing.

| ВСНА | 36.9 | 32.32 | 61.43 | 57.21 | 69.23 | 67.16 |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|
| BPA | 46.36 | 34.29 | 80.15 | 68.34 | 91.32 | 77.36 |
| IPCO | 68.82 | 60.46 | 81.56 | 75.35 | 91.74 | 76.15 |
| LADWP | 76.68 | 70.5 | 108.15 | 98.21 | 135.08 | 105.58 |
| NEVP | 69.13 | 66.91 | 93.25 | 90.34 | 117.28 | 90.04 |
| NWMT | 41.6 | 36.59 | 69.45 | 64.31 | 73.2 | 65.73 |
| PACE | 64.82 | 59.21 | 81.03 | 73.75 | 98.58 | 75.89 |
| PACW | 42.32 | 37.05 | 76.21 | 68.01 | 89.12 | 69.05 |
| PGE | 43.06 | 36.74 | 76.73 | 68.61 | 92.21 | 71.85 |
| PNM | 66.91 | 64.41 | 83.84 | 78.27 | 102.47 | 80.16 |
| PSEI | 40.51 | 34.42 | 73.82 | 66.39 | 80.86 | 70.74 |
| SCL | 39.84 | 32.98 | 73.95 | 66.66 | 80.39 | 69.99 |
| SRP | 67.08 | 68.46 | 88.23 | 83.61 | 93.2 | 74.76 |
| TEP | 71.88 | 67.13 | 95.82 | 88.97 | 110.71 | 90.2 |
| TIDC | 72.28 | 70.84 | 100.14 | 94.15 | 136.32 | 112.73 |
| TPWR | 39.27 | 32.79 | 74.12 | 66.69 | 80.03 | 70.47 |

B. Balancing Test Failures

The CAISO performs the balancing test pursuant to Section 29.34(k) of the CAISO tariff. Powerex (BCHA) is not subject to the balancing test.

The table below shows the frequency that each WEIM entity passed the balancing test, as well as what percentage of balancing test failures were due to underscheduling and over-scheduling, for each month within the quarter. Overall, the entities passed the balancing test at high frequencies throughout the quarter.

Table 10: Frequency of Passing Balancing Test

| ВАА | July 2022 | August 2022 | September 2022 |
|-------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|
| AVA | 99.19% | 98.79% | 99.31% |
| AZPS | 99.60% | 98.79% | 99.44% |
| BANC | 99.60% | 99.73% | 99.03% |
| ВСНА | | | |
| BPA | 98.66% | 99.06% | 97.64% |
| IPCO | 99.87% | 99.46% | 98.89% |
| LADWP | 98.39% | 99.33% | 99.17% |
| NEVP | 95.97% | 97.71% | 96.81% |
| NWMT | 98.66% | 98.52% | 97.08% |
| PACE | 98.79% | 98.65% | 98.33% |
| PACW | 98.92% | 99.06% | 98.89% |
| PGE | 98.79% | 98.92% | 99.03% |
| PNM | 98.25% | 98.38% | 96.67% |
| PSEI | 97.58% | 97.04% | 97.92% |

| SCL | 99.60% | 99.46% | 99.86% |
|------|--------|--------|--------|
| SRP | 98.25% | 96.77% | 96.94% |
| TEP | 98.12% | 99.33% | 98.33% |
| TIDC | 99.60% | 99.73% | 99.86% |
| TPWR | 99.33% | 99.46% | 99.72% |

The table below shows the frequency of balancing test failures due to overscheduling and under-scheduling respectively, for each month of the quarter. Overall, balancing test failures were more due to over-scheduling than under-scheduling.

Table 11: Frequency of Balancing Test Failures due to Over-Scheduling and Under-Scheduling

| | July 2022 | | August 2022 | | September 2022 | |
|-------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| BAA | Over- | Under- | Over- | Under- | Over- | Under- |
| | scheduling | Scheduling | scheduling | Scheduling | scheduling | Scheduling |
| AVA | 66.67% | 33.33% | 55.56% | 44.44% | 40.00% | 60.00% |
| AZPS | 33.33% | 66.67% | 11.11% | 88.89% | 75.00% | 25.00% |
| BANC | 33.33% | 66.67% | 50.00% | 50.00% | 14.29% | 85.71% |
| BCHA | | | | | | |
| BPA | 40.00% | 60.00% | 57.14% | 42.86% | 35.29% | 64.71% |
| IPCO | | 100.00% | 75.00% | 25.00% | 75.00% | 25.00% |
| LADWP | 91.67% | 8.33% | 80.00% | 20.00% | 50.00% | 50.00% |
| NEVP | 53.33% | 46.67% | 52.94% | 47.06% | 69.57% | 30.43% |
| NWMT | 50.00% | 50.00% | 45.45% | 54.55% | 71.43% | 28.57% |
| PACE | 55.56% | 44.44% | 60.00% | 40.00% | 33.33% | 66.67% |
| PACW | 37.50% | 62.50% | 28.57% | 71.43% | 37.50% | 62.50% |
| PGE | 11.11% | 88.89% | 62.50% | 37.50% | 71.43% | 28.57% |
| PNM | 69.23% | 30.77% | 66.67% | 33.33% | 62.50% | 37.50% |
| PSEI | 27.78% | 72.22% | 45.45% | 54.55% | 33.33% | 66.67% |
| SCL | 66.67% | 33.33% | 25.00% | 75.00% | | 100.00% |
| SRP | 30.77% | 69.23% | 25.00% | 75.00% | 68.18% | 31.82% |
| TEP | 64.29% | 35.71% | 40.00% | 60.00% | 83.33% | 16.67% |
| TIDC | 66.67% | 33.33% | 50.00% | 50.00% | | 100.00% |
| TPWR | 60.00% | 40.00% | 50.00% | 50.00% | 50.00% | 50.00% |

C. Flexible Ramp Sufficiency Test Failures

The table below shows the frequency that each WEIM entity passed the flexible ramping sufficiency test in the upward and downward directions, for each month within the quarter. Generally, the entities passed the flexible ramp sufficiency test very frequently throughout the months in the quarter.

Table 12: Frequency of Passing Flexible Ramping Sufficiency Test

| | July | July 2022 | | August 2022 | | September 2022 | |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----------------|--|
| BAA | Upward | Downward | Upward | Downward | Upward | Downward | |
| | Direction | Direction | Direction | Direction | Direction | Direction | |
| AVA | 99.53% | 100.00% | 99.87% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 99.90% | |
| AZPS | 99.97% | 100.00% | 99.93% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 99.86% | |
| BANC | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 99.69% | 100.00% | |
| ВСНА | 100.00% | 100.00% | 99.66% | 99.87% | 99.90% | 99.93% | |
| BPA | 97.14% | 100.00% | 98.99% | 99.97% | 98.85% | 99.65% | |
| IPCO | 99.83% | 100.00% | 99.80% | 99.97% | 99.55% | 100.00% | |
| LADWP | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 99.93% | 100.00% | |
| NEVP | 100.00% | 99.36% | 99.90% | 99.76% | 99.86% | 99.55% | |
| NWMT | 99.70% | 99.80% | 98.99% | 100.00% | 99.83% | 100.00% | |
| PACE | 99.83% | 100.00% | 99.87% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | |
| PACW | 100.00% | 99.46% | 99.93% | 100.00% | 99.90% | 100.00% | |
| PGE | 99.60% | 100.00% | 99.87% | 100.00% | 99.93% | 100.00% | |
| PNM | 99.63% | 99.97% | 100.00% | 99.97% | 99.97% | 99.79% | |
| PSEI | 99.56% | 99.93% | 99.76% | 100.00% | 99.72% | 100.00% | |
| SCL | 99.80% | 99.93% | 99.97% | 99.23% | 99.76% | 99.65% | |
| SRP | 99.36% | 99.80% | 98.86% | 99.76% | 99.41% | 99.03% | |
| TEP | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 99.62% | 100.00% | |
| TIDC | 100.00% | 99.90% | 100.00% | 99.93% | 99.90% | 100.00% | |
| TPWR | 99.97% | 99.53% | 99.93% | 99.80% | 99.86% | 100.00% | |

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing document upon the parties listed on the official service list in the above-referenced proceeding, in accordance with the requirements of Rule 2010 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.2010).

Dated at Folsom, California, this 20th day of October 2022.

Is / Ariana Rebancos

Ariana Rebancos