

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

OFFICE OF ENERGY MARKET REGULATION

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
Docket No. ER12-2520-000
October 22, 2012

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
250 Outcropping Way
Folsom, CA 95630

Attention: John C. Anders, Esq.
Senior Counsel for the California Independent
System Operator Corporation

Reference: First Amended Intra-Hour Scheduling Agreement

Dear Mr. Anders:

On August 24, 2012, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) filed the First Amended Intra-Hour Scheduling Pilot Agreement (Amended Pilot Agreement) with Bonneville Power Administration (BPA).¹ The Amended Pilot Agreement proposes no change other than a one-year extension of the limited pilot program between CAISO and BPA.² Waiver of the Commission's notice requirements pursuant to section 35.11 of the Commission's regulations (18 C.F.R. § 35.11) is granted,³ and the Amended Agreement is

¹ Designated by CAISO as Amended Service Agreement No. 2157.

² *California Independent System Operator Corporation*, 137 FERC ¶ 61,007 (2011) (Commission letter order accepting the Intra-Hour Scheduling Pilot Agreement. The pilot program involves the use of dynamic e-Tags and electronic communications to facilitate intra-hourly changes, on a half-hour basis, to transmission schedules for wind generation facilities in BPA's balancing authority area that are scheduled into CAISO's balancing authority area).

³ *Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation, et al.*, 60 FERC ¶ 61,106, *reh'g denied*, 61 FERC ¶ 61,089 (1992), and *Prior Notice and Filing*

accepted for filing, effective October 1, 2012, as requested.⁴

This filing was noticed on August 27, 2012 with comments, protests, or motions to intervene due on or before September 14, 2012. No protests or adverse comments were filed. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

This acceptance for filing shall not be construed as constituting approval of the referenced filing or of any rate, charge, classification or any rule, regulation or practice affecting such rate or service contained in your tariff; nor shall such acceptance be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation associated therewith; and such acceptance is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or any which may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against the California Independent System Operator Corporation.

This action is taken pursuant to the authority delegated to the Director, Division of Electric Power Regulation – West, under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director
Division of Electric Power
Regulation – West

cc: All Parties

Requirements Under Part II of the Federal Power Act, 64 FERC ¶ 61,139, clarified, 65 FERC ¶ 61,081 (1993).

⁴ CAISO explains that October 1, 2012 is the date the Intra-Hour Scheduling Pilot Agreement would otherwise expire, and therefore granting the requested effective date would allow the pilot program to continue uninterrupted.