

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
Docket Nos. ER06-615-041
ER06-615-045
ER06-615-049

July 2, 2009

California Independent System Operator Corporation
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630

Attention: Anna A. McKenna, Esquire
Senior Counsel for California Independent
System Operator Corporation

Reference: Compliance Filing

Dear Ms. McKenna:

On March 30, 2009, as amended on April 27, 2009, you submitted for filing a compliance filing to a Commission Order issued March 26, 2009 in Docket No. ER06-615-041.¹ Specifically, in the March 26th Order, the Commission found the response included in the California Independent System Operator Corporation's (CAISO) Answer, filed February 26, 2009, in which CAISO agreed with comments filed by Southern California Edison Company (SoCal Edison) regarding the definition of "CAISO IFM Curtailed Quantity" adequately addressed SoCal Edison's concerns. As such, the Commission directed the CAISO to submit tariff sheets consistent with the revised definition of "CAISO IFM Curtailed Quantity" as included in its Answer. Accordingly, CAISO filed revised tariff sheets that modify the definition of "CAISO IFM Curtailed

¹ See California Indep. Sys. Operator Corp., 126 FERC ¶ 61,277 (2009) (March 26th Order).

Quantity” in Appendix A of its MRTU Tariff.² The revised tariff sheets³ to the MRTU tariff are accepted for filing effective March 31, 2009, as requested.

The CAISO’s March 30, 2009 compliance filing in Docket No. ER06-615-045 was noticed on April 16 and April 20, 2009, with comments, protests, or motions to intervene due on or before April 24, 2009. SoCal Edison filed comments to the CAISO’s instant compliance filing on April 7, 2009 in Docket No. ER06-615-041. SoCal Edison’s comments noted that the CAISO’s compliance filing tariff sheet included a definition of “CAISO IFM Curtailed Quantity” that stated “...or, (b) in the event the LAP price equals the maximum price for Energy Bids specified in Section 39.6.1.1, the maximum of zero of the submitted Day-Ahead Self-Schedule for Demand plus...” when the correct text should read “...or (b) in the event the LAP price equals the maximum price for Energy Bids specified in Section 39.6.1.1, the maximum of zero or the submitted Day-Ahead Self-Schedule for Demand plus...” No other comments were received. The CAISO filed an amended tariff sheet on April 24, 2009, in Docket No. ER06-615-049, which corrected this tariff definition consistent with SoCal Edison’s comments. CAISO’s amended compliance filing was noticed on April 30, 2009, with comments, protests, or motions to intervene due on or before May 18, 2009. No protests or comments were received. Since the CAISO April 24, 2009 amended compliance filing addressed SoCal Edison’s comments and no other comments were received, the filing is uncontested. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

This acceptance for filing shall not be construed as constituting approval of the referenced filing or of any rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation, or practice affecting such rates or services provided for in the filed documents; nor shall such acceptance be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation associated therewith; and such acceptance is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or any which may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against California Independent System Operator Corporation.

² The CAISO states that the definition clarifies the exemption from the underscheduling penalty for any day-ahead scheduled load that is administratively curtailed by the CAISO under circumstances in which load would have otherwise cleared the Day-Ahead Market.

³ Designated as 2nd Substitute Second Revised Sheet No. 847 and Original Sheet No. 847A to CAISO’s FERC Electric Tariff, Fourth Replacement Volume No. II.

This action is taken pursuant to the authority delegated to the Director, Division of Tariff and Market Development – West, under 18 C.F.R. 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director
Division of Tariffs and Market
Development - West

cc: All Parties