Comments of Pacific Gas & Electric Company Capacity Procurement Mechanism Risk-of-Retirement Revised Draft Final Tariff Language

Submitted by	Company	Date Submitted
Greg Rybka (415) 973-3861 Tyrone Hillman (415) 973-3057	Pacific Gas & Electric Company	December 8, 2017

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) offers the following comments on the California Independent System Operator's (CAISO) Capacity Procurement Mechanism Risk-of-Retirement ("CPM ROR") Revised Draft Final Tariff Language (posted on November 15, 2017).

As stated in PG&E's prior comments, it is appreciated that the CAISO has made efforts to improve the risk-of-retirement process, but the limited the scope of modifications to the tariff will not achieve an efficient market design. The piecemeal approach does not meet the goals of providing market certainty to generators and offering a process for orderly retirement. A few areas of clarification within the revised draft final tariff are highlighted below.

1) There are some areas in the revised draft final tariff that lack clarity as to what CAISO's obligation is.

CAISO should state the time period it plans to post the information (e.g. x days after the close of each window) [43A.2.6 (b)].

2) As the revised draft final tariff is currently written, the start and end of the two windows is not clear.

Both the first and second windows are discussed only in relationship to their deadlines and not when the windows would open. It should be clarified whether it is a set length (e.g., 30 days prior to the deadline) or if a fixed window (e.g., April 15th through June 30th) or some other window. If the deadline will vary each year, then CAISO should make it clear by when they will make notice of the deadline and on what basis the start and close of the window is determined [43A.2.6 (b)].

3) The requirement for a retirement plan to be submitted within 60 days of a plant being denied a CPM designation does not adequately describe what is to be contained in the retirement plan.

It should be clarified as to what goes into the required retirement plan. Must the plan comport with documentation and conversations about the retirement of the resource that occurred prior to the plan's submission? The BPM Generator Management Section 11 describes the need for notification of retirement, but does not provide details on what needs to be provided. If this is found somewhere in the tariff or BPM it should be explicitly referenced. If it cannot be found elsewhere, it should be described in section 43A.2.6 (e) [43A.2.6 (e)].

California CAISO

4) Resources should be required to be available in the way described in Section 40.9.

A suggested change to the language in Section 43A.5.1 is "CPM Capacity shall be subject to [the availability standards under Section 40.9 and] all of the availability, dispatch, testing, reporting, verification" [43A.5.1].

5) The precision of terms should be increased.

A mixed use of capitalization creates ambiguity of the intended meaning of some phrases. The following three terms are inconsistently capitalized "Risk of Retirement", "CPM designation", and "annual" throughout the text.

"Balance of year" wording in sections 42A.4, 43A.8, 43A.11, and 43A.12 should be clarified.



43A.2.6 Capacity at Risk of Retirement Needed for Reliability

The CAISO will have the authority to designate CPM Capacity to keep a resource in operation, as discussed below, that is both at risk of retirement during the current Resource Adequacy Compliance Year or the upcoming Resource Adequacy Compliance Year and is needed to maintain reliability-as discussed below.

(a) Any resource owner can apply for a risk of retirement CPM designation under this

Section consistent with the requirements below, but Capacity cannot be both CPM

Capacity and Resource Adequacy Capacity at the same time.

The CAISO will provide two windows annually for resource owners to request a risk of retirement CPM designation. To be considered for a CPM risk of retirement designation in a given window, a resource owner must submit a request by the deadline specified in the BPM for Reliability Requirements for that window. The deadline for the first window will be no earlier than April 15 and no later than June 30 of each calendar year, and the deadline for the second window will be after the deadline for LSEs to submit their annual Resource Adequacy Plans to the CAISO. The CAISO will post the names of the resources requesting risk of retirement CPM designations after the close of each window.

- designation requests: first, a request by a resource for a CPM risk of retirement designation requests: first, a request by a resource for a CPM risk of retirement designation during for the balance of the current Resource Adequacy

 Compliance Year (referred to as a Type 1 Risk of Retirement CPM Designation); and second, a request by a resource for a risk of retirement CPM designation during the upcoming Resource Adequacy Compliance Year (referred to as a Type 2 Risk of Retirement CPM Designation). A resource owner can seek both a Type 1 and a Type 2 designation in the same request, if applicable.
- In the second window, the CAISO will consider requests for a CPM risk of retirement designation for the upcoming Resource Adequacy Compliance Year, i.e., the Resource Adequacy Compliance Year for which the recently submitted

Commented [A1]: Inserted "Both" for clarity.

Commented [A2]: "Risk of Retirement", "CPM designation", and "annual" are inconsistently capitalized.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", Hanging: 0.5"

Commented [A3]: Both the first and second windows are discussed only in relationship to their deadlines and not when the windows would open. It should be clarified whether it is 30 days prior to the deadline or if the actually window will be from April 15th through June 30th for the first window or some other window. If the deadline will vary each year, then CAISO should make it clear by when they will make notice of the deadline and on what basis the start and close of the window is determined.

Commented [A4]: CAISO should state the time period it plans to post the information (e.g. x days after the close of each window)

Commented [A5]: "balance of the current RA year"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.06", Hanging: 0.44"



annual Resource Adequacy Plans apply (referred to as a Type 3 Risk of Retirement CPM Designation).

- report that explains the basis and need for the risk of retirement CPM designation and its intent to make such designation. The CAISO will issue a market notice indicating the posting of the study report. The study report will only identify the specific resource to which the CAISO intends to grant a risk of retirement CPM designation, provided all other applicable requirements are met, and will not specify the offer price of such resource.

 The CAISO will post the study report on the CAISO's Website and allow an opportunity of no less than seven (7) days for stakeholders to review and submit comments on proposed Type 1 and Type 3 designations in a study report and no less than 14 days for stakeholders to review and submit comments on a proposed Type 2 designation in a study report.
- than thirty (30) days from the applicable comment date to procure Capacity from the resource. For Type 2 Risk of Retirement CPM Designations the CAISO will allow an LSE until the latter of 30 days after the CAISO issues any notice of a deficiency in an annual Resource Adequacy Plan under Section 40.7 or 15 days prior to the beginning of the upcoming Resource Adequacy Compliance Year to procure Capacity from the resource. For Type 3 Risk of Retirement CPM Designations, the CAISO will allow an LSE until the latter of 14 days after the CAISO issues any Type 3 study report or 30 days after the CAISO issues any notice of a deficiency in an annual Resource Adequacy Plan to procure Capacity from the resource. If an LSE does not, within the specified period, procure sufficient RA Capacity to keep the resource in operation during the term of the risk of retirement CPM designation, the CAISO may issue the risk of retirement CPM designation reflected in the study report provided that all other applicable requirements set forth herein have been satisfied and all other available procurement measures have

Commented [A6]: Unresolved prior comment: No timing of the study report is included. This comment was raised by Six Cities and SDG&E in prior comments.

Formatted: Not Highlight



failed to procure the resources needed for reliable operation. The CAISO will not issue CPM designations in order to circumvent existing procurement mechanisms that could adequately resolve reliability needs.

- (e) Within 60 days after the CAISO notifies a resource owner that it is not needed for reliability within the risk of retirement CPM timeframe and the CAISO is rejecting its request for a risk of retirement CPM designation, the resource owner must submit a plan to the CAISO for retiring the unit.
- f) The CAISO may issue a Type 1 Risk of Retirement CPM Designation if all of the following requirements are met:
 - (1) The resource is not contracted as RA Capacity through the end of the current

 Resource Adequacy Compliance Year and CAISO technical assessments project

 that the resource will be needed for reliability purposes, either for its locational or

 operational characteristics, by the end of the calendar year following the current

 Resource Adequacy Compliance Year;
 - (2) The CAISO did not identify any deficiency, individual or collective, in an LSE's

 annual Resource Adequacy Plan for the current Resource Adequacy Compliance

 Year that resulted in a CPM designation for the resource in the current Resource

 Adequacy Compliance Year;
 - (3) The CAISO does not project any new alternative solutions to be in operation by the start of the next Resource Adequacy Compliance Year that will meet the identified reliability need; and
 - (4) The resource owner submitted the following information to the CAISO and DMM in its request for a risk of retirement CPM designation: an offer price consistent with Section 43A.2.6(i) and an affidavit of an executive officer of the company who has the legal authority to bind such entity, with the supporting financial information and documentation discussed in the BPM for Reliability Requirements, attesting that it will be uneconomic for the resource to remain in

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Commented [A7]: It should be clarified as to what goes into the plan. Must the plan comport with documentation and conversations about the retirement of the resource prior to the plan's submission? The BPM Generator Management Section 11 describes the need for notification of retirement, but does not provide details on what needs to be provided. If this is found somewhere in the tariff or BPM it should be explicitly referenced. If it cannot be found elsewhere, it should be described.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", Hanging: 0.5"

Formatted: Not Highlight



service in the current Resource Adequacy Compliance Year, and that the decision to retire is definite unless CPM or some other type of CAISO procurement of the resource occurs, the resource is sold to a non-affiliated entity, or the resource enters into an RA contract for the remainder balance of the current Resource Adequacy Compliance Year. Failure to provide this information will result in the CAISO rejecting the request and not issuing the study report contemplated in Section 43A.2.6(c).

Commented [A8]: "balance of the RA year"

If a resource owner fails to make these showings in a request for a Type 1 Risk of

Retirement CPM designation, the CAISO will not study the need for the resource in the window.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1"

The CAISO may issue a Type 2 or Type 3 Risk of Retirement CPM Designation if all of the requirements specified below are met. In addition, Type 2 Risk of Retirement CPM Designations require satisfaction of the requirements in Section 43A.2.6 (h).

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", Hanging: 0.5"

The resource is not contracted as RA Capacity or listed as RA Capacity in any

LSE's annual Resource Adequacy Plan for the upcoming Resource Adequacy

Compliance Year, and CAISO technical assessments project that the resource

will be needed for reliability purposes, either for its locational or operational

characteristics, by the end of the calendar year following the upcoming Resource

Adequacy Compliance Year;

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

(2) The resource owner submitted the following information to the CAISO and DMM
in its request for a risk of retirement CPM designation: an offer price consistent
with Section 43A.2.6(i) and an affidavit of an executive officer of the company
who has the legal authority to bind such entity, with the supporting financial
information and documentation discussed in the BPM for Reliability
Requirements, attesting that it will be uneconomic for the resource to remain in
service in the upcoming Resource Adequacy Compliance Year, and that the

decision to retire is definite unless an annual CPM or some other type of annual

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", Hanging: 0.5"



CAISO procurement occurs, the resource is sold to a non-affiliated entity, or the resource enters into an annual RA contract for the next Resource Adequacy

Compliance Year; provided that if the CAISO denies a request for a Type 2

designation on the grounds that the resource was not the sole resource that applied for a Type 2 Risk of Retirement CPM designation that could meet the CAISO-identified reliability need, the resource may request a Type 3 designation in the next request window. Failure to provide this information will result in the CAISO rejecting the request and not issuing the study report contemplated in Section 43A.2.6(c). If a resource owner fails to make these showings in its request for a Type 2 or 3 Risk of Retirement CPM designation, the CAISO will not study the need for the resource in the window.

- (3) The CAISO does not project any new alternative solution to be in operation by
 the start of the Resource Adequacy Compliance Year following the upcoming
 Resource Adequacy Compliance Year that will meet the identified reliability need;
 and
- (4) The CAISO did not identify any deficiency, individual or collective, in an LSE's annual Resource Adequacy Plan for the upcoming Resource Adequacy
 Compliance Year that resulted in an annual CPM designation for the resource.
- In addition to the requirements of Section 43A.2.6 the requirements below must be satisfied for the CAISO to issue a Type 2 Risk of Retirement CPM designation to a resource:
 - (1) By the deadline specified in the business practice manual and after the deadline for LSEs to submit annual Resource Adequacy Plans to the CAISO, the resource owner submitted an affidavit of an executive officer of the company who has the legal authority to bind such entity attesting that the resource owner made a reasonable effort to participate in all applicable resource adequacy competitive solicitations, requests for offers, or similar procurement processes mechanisms

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", Hanging: 0.5"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", Hanging: 0.5"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", Hanging: 0.5"



by load serving entities for the upcoming Resource Adequacy Compliance Year; and

Formatted: Not Highlight

(2) The resource is uniquely situated in that it is the only resource that can meet the identified reliability need.

The price paid to a resource receiving a CPM risk of retirement designation will be a resource-specific, cost-based price, based on net plant investment at the time the CPM designation commences, calculated based on the formula set forth in Section 43A.4.1.1.1, and as determined by FERC as prudent, just, and reasonable. The resource owner must follow the process set forth in Section 43A.4.1.1.1 and obtain from FERC a resource-specific, cost-based price. A resource owner may not propose to FERC - and will not be compensated for any risk of retirement CPM designation based upon - a price higher than the offer price it submitted to the CAISO with its request for a risk of retirement CPM designation. Prior to the determination by FERC of the resource-specific price for CPM capacity designated under this Section, and paid pursuant to Sections 43A.4.1.1.1 and 43A.7.1 the CAISO will proceed as follows: For the period between the CAISO's designation and FERC's determination of the resource-specific, cost-based price, the CAISO will utilize the offer price the resource submitted with its request for a risk of retirement CPM designation for purposes of calculating monthly CPM payment for financial Settlement. This amount shall be subject to refund based on the outcome of the FERC proceeding for months in which the CAISO paid the offer price to the resource. Once approved by FERC, the CAISO will apply the resource-specific price determined by FERC.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", Hanging: 0.5"

(j) If multiple resources request a risk of retirement CPM designation and can meet the reliability need identified by the CAISO, but the CAISO does not need all of the resources, the CAISO will determine which resource receives a risk of retirement CPM designation by using the offer price the resource owner submitted with its request for a

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", Hanging: 0.5", Add space between paragraphs of the same style, Widow/Orphan control, Tab stops: 0.5", Left + Not at 1"



risk of retirement CPM designation and applying the criteria in Sections 43A.4.2.2 and 43A.4.2.3.

The CAISO shall have the authority to designate CPM Capacity to keep a resource in operation that is at risk of retirement during the current RA Compliance Year and that will be needed for reliability by the end of the calendar year following the current RA Compliance Year. The CAISO may issue this risk of retirement CPM designation in the event that all of the following requirements apply:

- (1) the resource was not contracted as RA Capacity nor listed as RA Capacity in any LSE's annual Resource Adequacy Plan during the current RA Compliance Year;
- (2) the CAISO did not identify any deficiency, individual or collective, in an LSE's annual Resource Adequacy Plan for the current RA Compliance Year that resulted in a CPM designation for the resource in the current RA Compliance Year;
- (3) CAISO technical assessments project that the resource will be needed for reliability purposes, either for its locational or operational characteristics, by the end of the calendar year following the current RA Compliance Year;
- (4) no new generation is projected by the CAISO to be in operation by the start of the subsequent RA Compliance Year that will meet the identified reliability need;
- (5) the resource owner submits to the CAISO and DMM, at least 180 days prior to terminating the resource's PGA or removing the resource from PGA Schedule 1, a request for a CPM designation under this Section 43A.2.6 including an offer price consistent with Section 43A.4.1.1 and the affidavit of an executive officer of the company who has the legal authority to bind such entity, with the supporting financial information and documentation discussed in the BPM for Reliability Requirements, that attests that it will be uneconomic for the resource to remain in service in the current RA Compliance Year and that the decision to retire is definite unless CPM procurement occurs; and
- (6) the Scheduling Coordinator for the resource has offered all Eligible Capacity from the resource into all CSPs for the current RA year.

If the CAISO determines that all of the requirements have been met, prior to issuing the CPM designation,



the CAISO shall prepare a report that explains the basis and need for the CPM designation. The CAISO shall post the report on the CAISO's Website and allow an opportunity of no less than seven (7) days for stakeholders to review and submit comments on the report and no less than thirty (30) days for an LSE to procure Capacity from the resource. If an LSE does not, within that period, procure sufficient RA Capacity to keep the resource in operation during the current RA Compliance Year, the CAISO may issue the risk of retirement CPM designation; provided that the CAISO determines that the designation is necessary and that all other available procurement measures have failed to procure the resources needed for reliable operation. The CAISO will not issue CPM designations in order to circumvent existing procurement mechanisms that could adequately resolve reliability needs.

* * * *

43A.3.7 Term - Capacity at Risk of Retirement Needed for Reliability

A Type 1 Risk of Retirement CPM designation will have a term for the balance of the Resource Adequacy Compliance Year commencing prospectively on the latter of the date the CAISO issues the designation to the resource or the first day after the last month of the year for which the resource is a Resource Adequacy Resource. Type 2 and Type 3 Risk of Retirement CPM designations will have a term of 12 months unless the resource is a Resource Adequacy Resource for part of the year, in which case the term will be for the balance of the year in which it occurs commencing on the first day after the last month for which the resource is a Resource Adequacy Resource. A CPM designation for Capacity at risk of retirement under Section 43A.2.6 shall have a minimum commitment term of one (1) month and a maximum commitment term of one (1) year, based on the number of months for which the capacity is to be procured within the current RA Compliance Year. The term of the designation may not extend into a subsequent Resource Adequacy Compliance Year. The CAISO shall rescind the CPM designation for any month during which the resource is under contract with an LSE to provide RA Capacity.

Commented [A9]: Revisions above add consistency on wording

Commented [A10]: Revisions above add consistency on wording

* * * *



43A.4.2.2 Minimizing the Overall Cost of Meeting the Reliability Need

Once the CAISO has identified the pool of resources that can meet the designation criteria, the CAISO shall then designate Eligible Capacity from that pool of resources in order to minimize the overall cost of meeting the designation criteria. Aside from considering the respective offer prices from the Eligible Capacity, as part of this cost minimization the CAISO also may consider: the quantity of a resource's available Eligible Capacity, based on a resource's PMin, relative to the remaining amount of capacity needed; and the quantity of a resource's available Eligible Capacity, based on outages and substitute daily RA Capacity.

For a potential Exceptional Dispatch CPM, the CAISO also shall consider the overall costs to the CAISO of issuing the Exceptional Dispatch to RA Capacity rather than to Eligible Capacity. If the CAISO determines it would minimize overall costs to issue the Exceptional Dispatch to RA Capacity, then the CAISO shall issue the Exceptional Dispatch to RA Capacity and not designate Eligible Capacity as CPM Capacity to meet the designation criteria.

If capacity would receive a CPM designation based on the cost minimization criteria but the resource from which the capacity would be provided faces use limitations such that the capacity, in the CAISO's reasonable discretion, poses the risk of being unavailable to fully meet the reliability need creating the CPM event, then the CAISO may, at its reasonable discretion and giving due regard for meeting cost minimization considerations, not grant that capacity a CPM designation and instead grant the designation to the next-best capacity at meeting the CAISO cost minimization process defined in this Section 43A.4.2.2. In exercising this discretion, the CAISO shall not unduly discriminate against resources with use limitations.

Additionally, if capacity would receive a CPM designation based on the cost minimization criteria but the resource from which the capacity would be provided is already going to be RA Capacity at some point during the CPM designation period and, in the CAISO's reasonable discretion, poses the risk of the capacity being unavailable fully to meet the reliability need creating the need for a CPM designation, then the CAISO may, at its reasonable discretion and giving due regard for meeting cost minimization considerations, not grant that capacity a CPM designation and instead grant the designation to the next-



best capacity at meeting the CAISO cost minimization process defined in this Section 43A.4.2.2.

* * * *

43A.4.2.3 Additional Permissible Considerations

In either the Annual CSP, or Monthly CSP, or for a Type 1, Type 2, or Type 3 Risk of Retirement CPM designation, if two or more offers would meet the cost minimization criteria identified in Section 43A.4.2.2 equally, then the CAISO shall grant the designation in its discretion based on criteria A and B, below. In the Intra-monthly CSP, if two or more offers are within 10% of each other in terms of total cost to designate the capacity, then the CAISO shall grant the designation in its discretion based on criteria A and B, below

Criterion A – Relative effectiveness of the resources in meeting local and/or zonal constraints or other ISO system needs.

Criterion B – Relative operating characteristics of the resources, including dispatchability, ramp rate, and load-following capability.

* * * *

43A.5.1 Availability Obligations.

CPM Capacity shall be subject to the availability standards under Section 40.9 and all of the availability, dispatch, testing, reporting, verification and any other applicable requirements imposed under Section 40.6 or Section 40.10.6 as applicable to Resource Adequacy Resources identified in Resource Adequacy Plans and Flexible RA Capacity resources identified in Resource Flexible RA Capacity Plans. In accordance with those requirements, Type 1, Type 2, or Type 3 Risk of Retirement CPM Capacity designated under Section 43A.2.6 shall meet the Day-Ahead Availability requirements specified in Section 40.6.1, the Real-Time Availability requirements of Section 40.6.2, and the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Availability requirements specified under Section 40.10.6.1 for the highest Flexible Capacity

Commented [A11]: Additional text to refer to standards.



Category for which the Capacity qualifies under Section 40.10.3. CPM Capacity designated under the CPM shall meet the Day-Ahead availability requirements specified in Section 40.6.1 and the Real-Time availability requirements of Section 40.6.2, and Flexible Capacity CPM shall meet the Day-Ahead and Real-Time availability requirements specified in Section 40.10.6.1. Also in accordance with those requirements, Generating Units designated under the CPM that meet the definition of Short Start Units shall have the obligation to meet the additional availability requirements of Section 40.6.3, and Generating Units designated under the CPM that meet the definition of Long Start Units will have the rights and obligations specified in Section 40.6.7.1.

If the CAISO has not received an Economic Bid or a Self-Schedule for CPM Capacity, the CAISO shall utilize a Generated Bid in accordance with the procedures specified in Section 40.6.8. In addition to Energy Bids, resources designated under the CPM shall submit Ancillary Service Bids for their CPM Capacity to the extent that the resource is certified to provide the Ancillary Service.

43A.5.2 Obligation to Provide Capacity and Termination

The decision to accept a designation as CPM Capacity shall be voluntary for the Scheduling Coordinator for any resource; provided, however, that if the CAISO grants a resource's request for a Type 1, Type 2, or Type 3 Risk of Retirement CPM designation under Section 43A.2.6, the resource must accept the designation unless it becomes a Resource Adequacy Resource for the same term as the CPM designation or a longer period. If the Scheduling Coordinator for a resource accepts a CPM designation, it shall be obligated to perform for the full quantity and full period of the designation with respect to the amount of CPM Capacity for which it has accepted a CPM designation. If the Scheduling Coordinator for a resource accepts a Flexible Capacity CPM designation, the resource shall be obligated to perform for the full quantity and full period of the designation, subject to the must-offer obligation in Section 40.10.6 that applies to the Flexible Capacity Category of the resource that was designated. If a Participating Generator's or Participating Load's Eligible Capacity is designated under the CPM after the Participating Generator or Participating Load has filed notice to terminate its Participating Generator Agreement, Net Scheduled PGA, Pseudo-Tie Participating Generator Agreement, or Participating Load Agreement or withdraw the Eligible Capacity from its Participating Generator Agreement, Net Scheduled PGA, Pseudo-



Tie Participating Generator Agreement, or Participating Load Agreement, and the Scheduling Coordinator for the resource agrees to provide service under the CPM, then the Scheduling Coordinator shall enter into a new Participating Generator Agreement, Net Scheduled PGA, Pseudo-Tie Participating Generator Agreement, or Participating Load Agreement, as applicable, with the CAISO.

* * * *

43A.8.7 Allocation of CPM Costs for Resources at Risk of Retirement

If the CAISO makes any CPM designations under Section 43A.2.6 for resources at risk of retirement needed for reliability, the CAISO shall allocate the costs of such designations to all Scheduling Coordinators for LSEs that serve Load in the TAC Area(s) in which the need for the CPM designation arose based on the percentage of actual Load of each LSE represented by the Scheduling Coordinator in the TAC Area(s) to total Load in the TAC Area(s) as recorded in the CAISO Settlement system for the actual days during any Settlement month period over which the designation has occurred.

* * * *

43A.9 Crediting of CPM Capacity

The CAISO shall credit CPM designations to the resource adequacy obligations of Scheduling Coordinators for Load Serving Entities as follows:

(a) To the extent the cost of CPM designation under Section 43A.2.1.1 is allocated to a Scheduling Coordinator on behalf of a LSE under Section 43A.8.1, the CAISO shall provide the Scheduling Coordinator on behalf of the LSE, for the term of the designation, credit towards (1) the LSE's Local Capacity Area Resource obligation under Section 40.3.2 in an amount equal to the LSE's pro rata share of the CPM Capacity designated under Section 43A.2.1.1 and (2) the LSE's Demand and Reserve Margin requirements determined under Section 40 in an amount equal to the LSE's pro rata share of the CPM

Commented [A12]: Unresolved prior comment: How and when are the LSE's informed of the credit?



Capacity designated under Section 43A.2.1.1.

- (b) To the extent the cost of CAISO designation under Section 43A.2.2 is allocated to a Scheduling Coordinator on behalf of a LSE under Section 43A.8.3, the CAISO shall provide the Scheduling Coordinator on behalf of the LSE, for the term of the designation, credit towards the LSE's Demand and Reserve Margin requirements determined under Section 40 in an amount equal to the LSE's pro rata share of the CPM Capacity designated under Section 43A.2.2.
- (c) To the extent the cost of CPM designation under Section 43A.2.3 is allocated to a Scheduling Coordinator on behalf of a LSE under Section 43A.8.4, and the designation is for greater than one month under Section 43A.3.4, the CAISO shall provide the Scheduling Coordinator on behalf of the LSE, for the term of the designation, credit towards the LSE's Demand and Reserve Margin requirements determined under Section 40 in an amount equal to the LSE's pro rata share of the CPM Capacity designated under Section 43A.2.3.
- (d) To the extent the cost of <u>a_CPM</u> designation under Section 43A.2.6 is allocated to a Scheduling Coordinator on behalf of a LSE under Section 43A.8.7, and the designation is for greater than one month under Section 43A.3.7, the CAISO shall provide the Scheduling Coordinator on behalf of the LSE, for the term of the designation, credit towards the LSE's Demand and Reserve Margin requirements, <u>Local Capacity Resource Requirements if the resource is located in a Local Capacity Area, and Flexible RA Capacity requirements, all as determined under Section 40₂ in an amount equal to the LSE's pro rata share of the CPM Capacity designated under Section 43A.2.6.</u>
- (e) The credit provided in this Section shall be used for determining the need for the additional designation of CPM Capacity under Section 43A.2 and for allocation of CPM costs under Section 43A.8.
- (f) For each Scheduling Coordinator that is provided credit pursuant to this Section, the CAISO shall provide information, including the quantity of capacity procured in MW,



necessary to allow the CPUC, other Local Regulatory Authority, or federal agency with jurisdiction over the LSE on whose behalf the credit was provided to determine whether the LSE should receive credit toward its resource adequacy requirements adopted by such agencies or authorities.

(g) To the extent the cost of Flexible Capacity CPM designation under Section 43A.2.7 is allocated to a Scheduling Coordinator for an LSE under Section 43A.8.8, and the designation is for greater than one month under Section 43A.3.8, the CAISO shall provide the Scheduling Coordinator on behalf of the LSE, for the term of the designation, credit towards the LSE's Flexible Capacity requirements determined under Section 40 in an amount equal to the LSE's pro rata share of the Flexible Capacity CPM designated under Section 43A.2.7.