Stakeholder Comments Template

CAISO RA Workshop: Current Processes and Interoperability with the CPUC’s Slice of Day Reform

This template has been created for submission of stakeholder comments on the CAISO RA Workshop: Current Processes and Interoperability with the CPUC’s Slice of Day Reform stakeholder call that was held on June 06, 2023. The meeting presentation and meeting recording for this initiative have been posted to the Miscellaneous stakeholder meetings webpage.

Upon completion of this template, please submit it to initiativecomments@caiso.com. Submissions are requested by close of business on June 20, 2023.
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Please provide your organization’s comments on the following issues and questions.

1. What feedback does your organization have on the CAISO's approach to treat the CPUC’s Slice of Day reform 2024 (test year) as informational only?
2. What feedback does your organization have on the CAISO's approach to address compliance for the CPUC’s Slice of Day reform in 2025 (implementation year) as a part of the broader Resource Adequacy (RA) Enhancements initiative?
3. Are there any key risks your organization has identified if the CAISO does not make any changes to the CAISO’s RA program and processes for resource adequacy year 2024 or 2025, with respect to the CPUC’s Slice of Day reform?
4. Is there a data analysis approach your organization has developed or analysis your organization recommends the CAISO should develop to analyze the CPUC’s Slice of Day reform effort?
5. What topics does your organization recommend the CAISO address in upcoming RA Enhancements working group meetings? Do you have a recommended prioritization?

WPTF appreciates the CAISO and other participants for providing an overview of the CPUC’s Slice of Day reform and CAISO RA processes. This discussion was useful in helping identify the outstanding questions that will need to be addressed throughout this effort. However, WPTF asks that the CAISO take a step back and first provide a RA 101 overview of the CPUC and CAISO RA programs and identify how they interact today to help provide a comparison for how they could potentially interact under SOD. While we appreciate the overview the CAISO did provide, we think starting off at even a higher level
of explanation with some examples will be useful. For example, WPTF believes it would be useful to better understand any changes that will be required on the RA supply plans from LSEs and suppliers. Additionally, WPTF respectfully requests that one of the examples provided walks through a storage resource’s showing and requirements between the CPUC’s SOD and CAISO’s RA supply plan.

Lastly, as evident by the conversations during the last call with Middle River Power and Vistra, there are clearly some unanswered questions that we believe warrant clarification. This effort will have a significant amount of stakeholder engagement and it is imperative that all participants have a solid understanding of not only the existing RA frameworks but also the "why" behind the frameworks to facilitate a more productive and engaging effort among all stakeholders.

6. For non-CPUC jurisdictional LRAs, are there any changes occurring to your RA program in the next few years that the CAISO should be aware of?

7. Please provide any additional comments you may have on the workshop discussion.