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Preamble

• The EIM Entities emphasize that they are a diverse group and are sometimes differently situated based upon geography, resource portfolios, and jurisdictional status, among other potential differentiating factors.

• Some EIM Entities may not have yet formulated their own specific viewpoints on specific market design issues. Therefore, while this presentation represents consensus viewpoints of the group as a whole, they may not necessarily represent any individual EIM Entity.

• Some EIM Entities may choose to offer their own individual contributions where appropriate, either in comments or throughout the stakeholder process.
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1. Objectives and Principles
EDAM is a Significant Opportunity

• A successful EDAM can provide **significant regional benefits**
  • Enable hourly DA transactions that better reflect the needs of a rapidly evolving grid
  • Support continued integration of renewables
  • More efficiently commit resources on a day-ahead basis
  • Strengthen and support system reliability
  • Allow entities to reduce costs and share diversity benefits in an equitable manner

• Resource Sufficiency is **critically necessary to achieve success**
  • EDAM volume will be **much larger** than EIM
  • EDAM will determine critical unit commitment across the West
  • Centralized market results in increased co-ordination and reliance on other BAAs
  • All participants must maintain sufficient resources to enable a reliable market solution

*All entities must be able to pass an **accurate and meaningful** Day-Ahead RS test that is **fairly applied** to all BAAs*
Core Objectives of EDAM Resource Sufficiency

• Promotes **reliability**
  • Ensures sufficient capacity, energy and flexibility under a variety of real-time conditions
  • Ensures EDAM transfers can be relied upon to serve load
  • Provides confidence in market results

• Sustains robust market depth and **promotes participation**
  • Improves market flexibility and efficiency
  • Increases diversity benefits and supports an equitable allocation of **diversity benefits**

• Ensures **fairness**
  • Protects against leaning
  • Avoids holding entities to a higher or lower standard than necessary for reliability
  • Consistent application of RS to all BAAs in the footprint

• **Complements** individual Resource Adequacy/Resource Planning processes
  • Clear feedback on actions needed to meet future EDAM RS
EDAM RS Enables Diversity Benefits

• Each BAA plans on a stand-alone basis
• Individual unit commitment decisions may be sub-optimal

• EDAM facilitates “pooling” of resources
• Resources needed to meet reliability is reduced through diversity savings
• Diversity Credit can be fairly allocated to reduce each BAA’s RS requirement
Defining an Appropriate RS Standard

**Lower Standard**
- Potentially **lower cost** for some entities
- **Less reliable** market outcome
- **Increased risk** of leaning
- **Decreasing** diversity benefits
- **More likely** to require a RT test

**Higher Standard**
- Potentially **higher cost** for some entities
- **More reliable** market outcome
- **Decreased risk** of leaning
- **Increased** diversity benefits
- **Less need** to perform a RT test

- First objective must be to determine an acceptable level of **reliability** of the EDAM footprint as a whole
  - Working backwards: what level (fairly applied to each BAA) would need to be contributed to achieve the desired footprint-wide reliability standard?

- Goal is to formulate RS test to require each BAA to provide its fair share of total RS needs without unduly incurring increased costs to EDAM participants

- EDAM RS requirements expected to be **lower** than stand-alone/status quo (due to diversity benefits of EDAM)
Key Principles

• RS **does not modify local control** over RA or replace BAA obligations
  • Complements long-term planning

• Test must be **accurate** and applied **consistently** to all participants
  • Qualifying supply that is **real** and **capable** of performing
  • No double-counting

• **Simple** and **workable**
  • Timely information and clear requirements
  • Compatibility with bilateral trading timelines

• **Preventative enforcement**
  • Prevents entities that fail RS from leaning on EDAM

• **Full transparency** and on-going review
2. Proposed Test Structure
Illustrative Day-Ahead Timeline

- **EDAM RS test** performed at approximately **9 am** (before bid deadline)
  - Could provide time to address/cure any RS-related issues prior to 10 am

- **Test timelines** require careful consideration with respect to:
  - Existing day-ahead trading and scheduling timelines
  - EDAM transmission requirements
  - Ability to verify external supply included in RS through day-ahead e-Tags
  - Ability for EDAM participants to have tools and **advance information** to meet RS
Proposed Test Structure
24-Hour Non-Binding Operating Plan

Each BAA’s operating plan submitted to ensure feasibility and sufficiency from 4 perspectives:

1. **Energy** (and fuel) to meet load with a high degree of confidence for all hours of the operating day from portfolio resource(s)

2. **Capacity** to meet upward and downward load and reserves with a high degree of confidence

3. **Flexibility** to ramp within a single hour and across multiple hours

4. **Transmission** to deliver energy from external resources and to reliably meet load in any major constrained zones within a given BAA
Single Hour Example

1. Do resources have sufficient **capacity** to meet load and reserve obligations?
   - DA Net Load Forecast
   - Upward and downward uncertainty
   - Operating Reserves (e.g., spin and non-spin)

2. Can resources provide **non-binding energy schedules** to balance against forecast net load?

3. Do resources have **within-hour flexibility** to ramp up/down from the energy schedule?
   - Generally reflects **minimum** “offer range” that must be available to EDAM
24-Hour Example: Feasible Energy Schedules

How could the BAA’s resources be deployed to meet load across the 24-hour period?

Firm Import

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource A</th>
<th>Resource B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hourly EDAM offer range must cover blue uncertainty range at a minimum

Measures ability to meet multi-hour net load ramps

Hourly net load forecast
Proposed Test Structure
24-Hour Non-Binding Operating Plan

• **Simple** and **effective**
  • Ensures portfolio is feasible for the day, including how resources would meet multi-hour changes in net load

• **Conceptually similar** to existing planning approach for many BAAs

• Interface could allow entities to submit plan and verify RS status at **any time** prior to test deadline (for a given net load forecast)
EIM Real-Time Test

• To the extent possible, EDAM participants should **not** be held to an additional RS test in the EIM once they have met a Day-Ahead requirement
  • If uncertainty is properly planned for in day-ahead, an entity should not be required to supply additional resources as such uncertainty materializes in real-time

• **Simplified RT test** for EDAM participants may be required to ensure entity hasn’t taken actions in real-time to undermine DA test results
  • E.g., new real-time bilateral transactions / obligations

• Will require further discussion to ensure that EDAM and EIM RS tests are reconciled to ensure appropriate outcomes
  • Depends on confidence level of EDAM RS and which elements of uncertainty are planned for on a day-ahead basis
  • Should also recognize that not all EIM participants may be in EDAM
3. RS Requirements
# Components of Hourly RS Capacity Requirement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Hourly Net Load Forecast** | DA Forecast of Load and VERs for each BAA for each hour of the day | • Allow EDAM BAAs to provide their own net load forecasts  
• Determine appropriate time to lock in DA forecast |
| **Contingency Reserves & Regulation** | Existing NERC obligations | • Consistent with contingency reserve sharing groups and BA real-time obligations |
| **Upward / Downward Uncertainty** | Capacity needed to respond to variable real-time conditions  
• DA Load Forecast error  
• DA VER Forecast error  
• Interchange curtailments  
• Resource non-performance | • Uncertainty calculations must be improved to reflect actual system conditions and align with CAISO’s proposed DA Imbalance Reserve product  
• Standard must ensure sufficient capacity under a wide range of real-time conditions  
• Hourly bid-range products  
• Requirement will be reduced by a diversity benefit  
• Must consider treatment of accounting for VERS resources scheduled between EDAM entities |
| **Replacement Reserve Product (New Opportunity)** | Additional supply offered to EDAM that would support real-time forced outages that extend beyond 60-minute contingency period | • Opportunity to increase diversity benefits through pooling of “replacement reserve” within EDAM footprint  
• Enables additional efficiency of unit commitment |
RS Flexibility Requirements

• Hour-to-hour flexibility measured by providing feasible hourly energy schedules across the day

• Remaining flexibility requirements based on uncertainty and intra-hour ramping requirements
  • Connected to Day-Ahead Imbalance Reserve being developed in CAISO’s Day-Ahead Market Enhancements (DAM-E) Initiative

• Should recognize that a portion of DA uncertainty may materialize well in advance of the operating hour
  • What amount of DA uncertainty could be met by deploying slower resources in advance of hour?
  • What amount of DA uncertainty materializes within an hour? Within a 15-period?
Calculating RS Requirements

• RS calculations should be calibrated to reflect anticipated system conditions
  • *e.g.*, uncertainty based on the current forecast of wind, solar, and load conditions
  • Current EIM method (time of day approach) must be improved before EDAM

• RS requirements will likely incorporate multiple uncertain outcomes such as load, VER output, and forced unit outages
  • Resulting requirements could be over-stated if simply added together (*e.g.*, load and VER changes may be offsetting)
  • Determining those relationships will be complex and take time to work through

• CAISO and stakeholders should establish a **comprehensive process** on an annual basis to assess whether the methodology is achieving desired goals and make changes as needed
4. Qualifying Supply
RS Qualifying Supply: Internal Resources

• Internal resources must be capable of performing when dispatched
  • Must be realistic and reflect actual operating capability
  • May consider fuel, ambient de-rates, outages, and other restrictions
  • Not simply nameplate or Master File values
  • Ongoing metrics should include historical performance measures

• Deliverability assessment:
  • Internal resources should be deliverable to major load zones within a BAA
  • May require a zonal RS test for some BAAs
RS Qualifying Supply: External Resources

• External resources must be real, identified and non-recallable

• Supported by highly reliable transmission

• DA E-Tags are critical to confirm supply meets RS criteria and identify:
  • Resource (or system resource) used to meet RS
  • Source and Sink BAA
  • Transmission path and priority

• Ensures no double-counting between BAAs
RS Qualifying Supply: External Resources

• External supply types may include a variety of transactions:
  • Bilateral transactions between EDAM participants
  • Imports from outside EDAM footprint
  • Import supply offers into CAISO/EDAM intertie bidding framework

• Different “types” may require different approaches:

  **Type 1: Firm Energy Transactions**
  • Most easily verifiable (it is clear if energy is not tagged)
  • Finalize e-Tag information by 3 PM **at the latest**

  **Type 2: Import offers into CAISO/EDAM intertie bidding framework**
  • More difficult to verify an ‘offer’ that may never be dispatched
  • **Requires e-Tag by time of RS Test** to verify Source BAA, Resource(s), Transmission Path and associated reservations
5. Meeting RS
Bilateral Products Enabling EDAM RS

Opportunity to develop a new **hourly bilateral “bid range”** product

- Could be used to transfer flexible capacity from one BAA to another to help meet EDAM RS requirements
- Both upward (import) and downward (export) directions
- Seller would be obligated to offer resource flexibility into EDAM
- Transmission requirements similar to other external supply (firm transmission and DA e-Tags)
- Similar product(s) could be enabled for real-time
6. Failure Consequences
• Core objectives of EDAM RS
  • A **reliable** market solution
  • Robust market depth / maximum participation
  • A fair allocation of diversity benefits
  • No leaning on the capacity and flexibility of others

• Financial penalties and/or the current EIM freezing approach will **not achieve these objectives**
  • **Preventative** enforcement is critical

• An effective EDAM RS will also provide a **feedback mechanism** to complement forward planning
7. Transparency
Transparency

• EDAM RS requires a commitment to ongoing review and continued improvement as experience is gained

• Both RS requirements and results should be transparent to all participants, with extensive after-the-fact analysis to ensure the tests are applied accurately and equitably to all BAAs

• Determined standardized metrics
  • Are the RS requirements being calculated correctly?
  • Are entities being held to an appropriate standard?
  • Are resources performing when needed?
8. Other Considerations
Sub-Allocation Within BAAs

• EIM entities may need to develop tariff requirements, rate schedules and business practices associated with the allocation of day-ahead resource sufficiency requirements

• RS design framework must be flexible to allow entities to determine how to accomplish this
Fuel Adequacy

• In order to maintain reliability, resources need to have adequate fuel supplies with deliverability and flexibility.
  • These fuel supplies include natural gas, liquid fuels, hydrogen, water, battery state of charge, etc.

• Having sufficient fuel supplies to support the BAA’s submitted RS operating plan is necessary to ensure an accurate RS demonstration

• Explore measures that could be needed during periods when fuel constraints may exist in certain areas
Fuel Adequacy – Market Optimization

• Fuel supplies and associated transportation can vary by season or day-to-day

• Some entities may face challenges with current market optimization
  • Few tools to limit use of resources based upon fuel supply over the course of the operating day
  • Existing Use Limited provisions may not provide the needed capability for some entities

• EDAM market design should explore mechanisms to allow participants to provide limits based upon fuel availability to prevent overruns
  • Scheduling coordinators could set daily limits at a portfolio, as well as individual unit level and provide during RS or DA bid submission