

2021 Interconnection Process Enhancements – Southern California Edison Proposed Issues

Stakeholder Workshop

October 19, 2021

**PHASE 1: NEAR-TERM
ENHANCEMENTS FOR MAY 2022
BOARD MEETING**

Proposed issues to include in Phase 1:

Issue: Error and Omissions language in Section 6.8 (in addition to CAISO change contemplated in Section 3.1 of the Preliminary Issue Paper)

CAISO already plans to re-examine errors and omissions in Study reports (as stated in Section 3.1 of the Preliminary Issue Paper) to determine “who gets the cost responsibility when an error or omission is discovered *after* the initial and second IFS postings have been made”

SCE is requesting stakeholders also re-examine the following:

- 1) How errors and omissions that are discovered *before* the initial and second IFS postings have been made can potentially change a customer’s CCR, MCR, and/or MCE and IFS posting requirements.
- 2) Make more explicit the paths in the decision tree
 - Is an error/omission that has been discovered considered “substantial”?
 - What is the timing of the error/omission? Before or after IFS posted?
 - Does the error/omission require a change to CCR, MCR, and/or MCE and IFS posting?
 - Does the error/omission require a revised study be issued?
 - Does the error/omission reset the clock for the next IFS posting?
 - Which party is ultimately cost responsible for the error/omission?

Goal: Remove the ambiguity in today’s Section 6.8

**PHASE 2: LONG-TERM
ENHANCEMENTS FOR NOVEMBER
2022 BOARD MEETING**

Proposed issues to include in Phase 2:

- Adding due dates for curing deficiencies in Appendix Bs, to avoid delays in starting Phase II studies
- Seek to have the IR Validation process and “deemed complete” prior to holding Scoping Meetings (regular cluster, not supercluster procedures)
- Making it explicit that when ICs agree to share a Generation Tie-Line, PTO Interconnection Facilities, and any related IRNUs at the substation (e.g., line position to terminate the shared gen-tie) across clusters, the shared IRNUs are not subject to GIDAP Section 14.2.2. And such shared IRNUs will be treated as CANUs for later-queued generation and not PNU. This exclusion does not apply in the case where the shared IRNU is a Stand-Alone Network Upgrade (e.g., Loop-In Substation).

Questions?