



California ISO

Extended Day Ahead Market
Working Group 2 Weekly Report

Week 2 Report
1/10/22 – 1/14/22

Progress Tracker

Topic	Schedule
Transmission Availability	
Definition of "buckets"	Jan 6 - 20
Types of transmission made available	Jan 11-20
Transmission overlap or RSE	Jan 11-20
Third party reserved transmission	Jan 11-20
How unused transmission can be made available	Jan 11-20
Utilization of transmission internal EDAM entity network	Jan 11-20
Timing and Duration	
Timing and duration transmission is made available	Jan 25-27
Transmission Unavailability	
Consequences if available in EDAM but not in RT	Feb 1-3
Reliability or cost allocation concerns	Feb 1-3
Compensation	
Compensation of transmission made available	Feb 8-15
Congestion Rent Allocation	
Congestion rent allocated between BAAs	Feb 17-24
Distribution of congestion rent from BAA to LSE/customers	Feb 17-24
External Resource Participation	
Facilitation of Intertie bidding/external resource participation	Mar 10-22

Weekly Discussion

January 11

Scope Items Discussed: Definition Buckets

Presenters: Kathy Anderson – Idaho Power

Discussion:

The objective of this meeting is to cover a high level overview of the different sources of transmission capacity and the creation of buckets. Kathy Anderson gave a presentation from the EIM entities perspective on the OATT environment vs. CAISO transmission, and Sources of EDAM Transmission Capacity.

Kathy's presentation is posted on the EDAM working group #2 webpage. There was a discussion around the following topics:

- OATT structure, with a reminder that once EDAM is in place the entities OATT's will remain in place, with a few changes to allow participation in EDAM.
- FERC order No. 888 requirements verse a pro forma tariff.
- OATT services: Network Integration Transmission Service ("NITS"), Point-to-Point ("PTP"), and Available Transfer Capability ("ATC").
- Comparison of OATT to CAISO on various energy products.
- Transmission service regulatory diversity
- Sources of EDAM transmission capacity

Stakeholders discussed the various sources of transmission to understand the meaning of each bucket and raised questions related to each bucket. Some of these questions ranged from transmission usage to compensation, these will be addressed when the working group gets into detailed discussion of each specific bucket. At a high level each bucket represents a different type of transmission that could be offered in EDAM. The EIM Entities proposed each one as summarized below :

- Bucket 1: Transmission is mandatory to meet load service and resource sufficiency obligations.
- Bucket 2: Transmission is proposed to be voluntarily made available by the transmission rights holder .
- Bucket 3: Transmission is proposed to be voluntarily made available by the transmission provider, typically unsold OASIS ATC, as of the start of EDAM. Compensation to be determined.

There still needs to be agreement on separating the various sources of transmission into buckets. Kathy will finish her presentation in the next working group meeting, and it will involve examples of how the buckets could work. There was one question left unanswered regarding MIC that will be addressed in the next meeting.

Conclusion:

The review of the buckets generated questions, comments and suggested additions. While some details were added to the existing scope items, the list of items was not altered during this meeting to add any new items.

January 13

Scope Items Discussed: Definition Buckets

Presenters: Kathy Anderson – Idaho Power

Discussion:

The objective of this meeting was to review the timeline of scope topics as well as finish the high level overview of the different sources of transmission capacity and the creation of buckets. Kathy Anderson finished her presentation from the EIM entities perspective regarding the sources of EDAM transmission capacity; joint owner issues, seams and third-party transmission customer issues.

At the beginning of the meeting the timeline, with tentative dates for each scope topic, was introduced. The timeline will be posted on the EDAM working group page so stakeholders can review and anticipate topics of interest. The timeline will be updated at the close of each distinct scope topic with more accurate dates.

There was a pending question at the end of the last meeting regarding MIC that was answered.

Kathy's updated presentation is posted on the EDAM working group webpage. There was a discussion around the following topics:

- Optimizing each transmission type, which buckets will or will not include a hurdle rate and where the hurdle rate charge would be incurred
- Day-ahead timelines within the OATT framework, should there be a "processing hold" period
- Joint owner operation and possible seams issues
- Issues related to 3rd party customers

Stakeholders asked various questions using specific examples that the group was able to walk through together with CAISO staff. There was clarification that the buckets will be used for transmission transfers. The buckets will be used to identify who owns the transmission and how it is being used. There is a scope item to discuss internal transmission separately at a later date.

The question was asked to the group if there was agreement on the 3 types of transmission. While there was some agreement, there were additional options added to the discussion. One stakeholder suggested a 4th bucket, while other stakeholders questions whether bucket 2 should have "sub-buckets." This discussion item will be discussed in more length following a presentation from Vistra next week.

Next the topic of timing was presented. The current Day-ahead timeline was presented and opened up to the group for feedback. There was time for a few comments before the end of the meeting. This scope item will be revisited in more detail in a future meeting.

Conclusion:

The review of the buckets generated more questions, comments and suggestions. While some details were added to the existing scope details, the list of items was not altered during this meeting to add any new items.