



California ISO

Extended Day Ahead Market  
Working Group 2 Weekly Report

Week 4 Report  
1/24/22 – 1/28/22

## Progress Tracker

Topic	Schedule
<b>Transmission Availability</b>	
Definition of “buckets”	Jan 6 - 27
Types of transmission made available	Jan 11-27
Transmission overlap or RSE	Jan 11-27
Third party reserved transmission	Jan 11-27
How unused transmission can be made available	Jan 11-27
Utilization of transmission internal EDAM entity network	Jan 11-27
<b>Timing and Duration</b>	
Timing and duration transmission is made available	Feb 1-3
<b>Transmission Unavailability</b>	
Consequences if available in EDAM but not in RT	Feb 8-10
Reliability or cost allocation concerns	Feb 8-10
<b>Compensation</b>	
Compensation of transmission made available	Feb 15-22
<b>Congestion Rent Allocation</b>	
Congestion rent allocated between BAAs	Feb 24-Mar 3
Distribution of congestion rent from BAA to LSE/customers	Feb 24-Mar 3
<b>External Resource Participation</b>	
Facilitation of Intertie bidding/external resource participation	Mar 15-22

## Weekly Discussion

January 25

**Scope Items Discussed:** Transmission availability

**Presenters:** George Angelidis – CAISO

**Discussion:**

The objective of this meeting was to review the various sources of transmission capacity, the creation of buckets and the impact to OATT contracts. George Angelidis from the CAISO finished his presentation on Transmission Products and Contracts in EDAM.

George Angelidis picked up his presentation where he left off in the previous WG session, at Slide 10. The presentation is posted on the EDAM working group #2 webpage. There was a discussion around the following topics:

- Current method for registering transmission contracts (in CAISO markets) is through the Master File system, which can be extended to EDAM
- Need to develop process to ensure that the SC using the contract has the right to do so and the balanced self-schedules is consistent with the registered criteria (capacity, path, entitlements, etc.)
- 3 options for transmission contracts; (1) self-scheduling it, (2) bidding it, or (3) neither.
- Discussion regarding how residual Bucket-1 transmission capacity flows into Bucket 2 and/or Bucket 3

George's presentation generated a lot of questions and discussion from stakeholders. Different transmission registration methods were brought up, E-tags and registering in Master File. Some stakeholders had questions regarding the flexibility of Master File. George offered how the current Master File design could support each of the scenarios, and noted the limitation on the 5-7 days constraint that Master File has. Will look into this and come back to the working group with possibilities for transactions that are within the 7 day period.

George answered various questions from stakeholders including internal BAA transfer capacity, non-firm capacity potential to be "leaned on" in the market, and existing OATT contracts. George was able to provide clarity on each of these issues, and will provide examples in the next meeting.

Stakeholders reviewed the red-lined scoping document with the facilitator. Going over the definition of Bucket 1 and Bucket 3, there was consensus achieved. Bucket 2 definition will be reviewed in future meetings. There was also a general consensus achieved around the timeline for market runs, with a 9:00 AM deadline for transmission reservation requests with a 10:00 AM market run.

**Conclusion:**

Consensus was achieved on several topics including Bucket 1 and Bucket 3 definitions, as well a general starting point for the timeline.

The Bucket 2 definition will be discussed at a future meeting. The CAISO is going to prepare examples to explore how existing contracts can be supported.

January 27

**Scope Items Discussed:** Transmission availability

**Presenters:** None

**Discussion:**

The objective of this meeting was to review the various sources of transmission capacity and how existing contract right could be supported.

Deb Le Vine, the facilitator, walked the stakeholders through an example using internal transmission rights and transfer transmission rights using the CAISO current paradigm of Contract Reference Numbers (CRN). Reviewing the definition of buckets, it was clarified that Buckets 1, 2, and 3 would be used for transfers from BAA to BAA. While internal transmission would be a part of the Full Network Model (FNM) and not included in the buckets. If contract rights are not scheduled in EDAM they would still be available to bid into EIM.

Stakeholders requested more examples, including some specific scenarios. Stakeholders asked various questions including who should be managing the contracts, the use of e-tags, as well as whether or not competitive solicitation was needed? All these questions will be used to create more examples for the next meeting.

**Conclusion:**

There were several requests for more examples. The CAISO staff took note of the questions asked during the working group meeting and will create several examples. During the meeting next week, the CAISO staff and stakeholders will talk through the examples together and then turn to the discussion of compensation and transmission revenue distribution.