



California ISO

Extended Day Ahead Market
Working Group 2 Weekly Report

Week 1 Report
1/3/22 – 1/7/22

Progress Tracker

Topic	Schedule
Transmission Availability	
Definition of “buckets”	To be discussed 1/10/2022
Types of transmission made available	
Transmission overlap or RSE	
Third party reserved transmission	
How unused transmission can be made available	
Utilization of transmission internal EDAM entity network	
Timing and Duration	
Timing and duration transmission is made available	
Transmission Unavailability	
Consequences if available in EDAM but not in RT	
Reliability or cost allocation concerns	
Compensation	
Compensation of transmission made available	
Congestion Rent Allocation	
Congestion rent allocated between BAAs	
Distribution of congestion rent from BAA to LSE/customers	
External Resource Participation	
Facilitation of Intertie bidding/external resource participation	

Weekly Discussion

January 4

Scope Items Discussed: Review principles and scope items

Presenters: None

Discussion:

The objective of this meeting is to cover a quick background of the initial design principles related to this working group. As well as review, modify, or add any discussion items to the initial proposed scope list.

The first principle discussed at length was how to maximize the amount of transmission made available to EDAM, while respecting the existing OATT framework and commitments. There was an agreement that once entities join the EDAM transmission would need to be made available. However there is still not an agreement on the definition of voluntary and what transmission is required to be made available, if any. This topic was added to the scope document to be discussed in more detail when it comes time to address the buckets.

Another principle discussed as a fundamental design involved transmission buckets 1, 2, and 3. There were several high level questions inquiring about why the bucket method was chosen. The buckets are intended to be a way to define the different types of transmission, where it is coming from, who holds the rights to it, and if any additional compensation is required. Questions arose about if the buckets will be mandatory or optional participation. These topics will need to be discussed at more depth in future meetings.

Conclusion:

After discussion of the various scope topics, there were additional items added. Transmission reserved by third party entities, and transmission requirements for third party resources and load in EDAM footprint, were both added to the "Transmission Availability" discussion topics.

There was an agreement to add a clarifying statement that CAISO is included and will be treated as an individual EDAM BAA in regards to congestion rent allocation on slide 14.

The order of the scope items to be discussed was agreed upon, but is still open to be changed as needed. The scope items will be discussed starting from top to bottom in the initial scope listed posted on the EDAM Working Group 2 webpage. The first scope topic to be discussed will be the buckets.

There were several requests to discuss the fundamentals behind an EDAM design. Some of the answers to the fundamentals questions will be added to the initial scope document. More level setting will also take place in future meetings.

January 6

Scope Items Discussed: Review fundamentals and buckets

Presenters: George Angelidis – CAISO

Discussion:

The objective of this meeting is to review the initial EDAM fundamentals, and start discussions on the definition of transmission buckets.

After the last meeting there were several requests to review some fundamental starting assumptions about joining the EDAM. Since then five fundamental statements were added to the top of the scope document. Each fundamental statement was reviewed at length. George Angelidis presented the CAISO thoughts behind each of the fundamentals, providing some background and clarity.

Through the discussion two new topics were added to the scope list. The first topic added was how the EDAM plans to support non participating resources including Designated Network Resources (DNR). The next topic was how to treat transmission internal to each BAA. Both of these topics will be discussed at more length in future meetings.

Conclusion:

There was value in reviewing the fundamentals and level setting, before diving into the detailed discussion of scope topics. Several new topics arose throughout the discussion of the fundamentals. Both of the topics were added to the scope list and will be discussed at more length in future meetings.

The discussion of the first scope item, transmission buckets, will continue in the next meeting (Jan 11). There is a planned presentation from Vistra. As a reminder, all participants are encouraged to give presentations on their view points to related scope items.