

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20426

OFFICE OF ENERGY MARKET REGULATION

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
Docket No. ER11-3671-000
June 30, 2011

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
250 Outcropping Way
Folsom, CA 95630

Attention: John Anders, Esquire
Senior Counsel for the California Independent
System Operator Corporation

Reference: Baseline filing of the Metered Subsystem Agreement between CAISO and
the City of Anaheim, California.

Dear Mr. Anders:

On May 31, 2011, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) submitted for filing a Metered Subsystem Agreement between CAISO and the City of Anaheim, California in compliance with Order No. 714 that has previously been accepted for filing by the Commission in hard copy.¹ CAISO explains that the metadata of the filing reflects an effective date of July 28, 2010, the earliest date the eTariff system will accept, since the relevant Tariff Identifier for the CAISO was established on that date. However, CAISO states that December 1, 2005 is the actual effective date for the Metered Subsystem Agreement; it was established by the Commission in a letter order issued in Docket No. ER06-39-000. Since CAISO is simply re-filing the Metered Subsystem Agreement, the effective date is December 1, 2005. However, electronic tariff records submitted in a baseline filing supersede the currently effective tariff or rate schedule. Accordingly, the electronically filed Metered Subsystem Agreement is deemed accepted effective as of July 28, 2010.²

¹ *Electronic Tariff Filings*, Order No. 714, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,276 (2008).

² *Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation, et al.*, 60 FERC ¶ 61,106, *reh'g denied*, 61 FERC ¶ 61,089 (1992) (*Central Hudson*), and *Prior Notice and Filing*

The filings were noticed on June 3, 2011 with comments, protests, or motions to intervene due on or before June 21, 2011. No protests or comments were filed. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

This action does not constitute approval of any service, rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation, contract, or practice affecting such rate or service provided for in the filed documents; nor shall such action be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation affecting or relating to such service or rate; and such action is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against California Independent System Operator Corporation.

This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated to the Director, Division of Electric Power Regulation - West, under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director
Division of Electric Power
Regulation – West