

# Bid cost recovery and variable energy resource settlements

Draft final proposal discussion May 27, 2015

Delphine Hou Lead Market Design and Regulatory Policy Developer



## Changes from straw proposal

- Clarification of "derate energy" analogy
- Modifications to the persistent deviation
- Modifications to the day-ahead metered energy adjustment factor



## Derate energy analogy

- ISO is not proposing to settle ramping energy due to a forecast change as "derate energy"
- Analogy was only comparing residual imbalance energy from a derate
- For variable energy resources, the ramping energy crossing the hour boundary will remain residual imbalance energy



## Persistent deviation metric

#### Table 4

#### Application of the persistent deviation metric for variable energy resources

VER type			Current		Proposed		
Economically bidding – responding to forecast only (see RIE in scenario 2b) or simultaneous forecast and LMP change (see RIE in blue triangle in scenario 4a)			Apply Pl and RIE	DM to OE	RIE – remove PDM for portion responding to forecast only (see RIE in scenario 2b) or simultaneous forecast and LMP change (see in blue triangle in scenario 4a) OE – continue to apply		nario 2b) or /IP change (see RIE
Economically bidding – responding to LMP change only (see RIE in scenario 3a and RIE in green trapezoid in scenario 4a)			Apply Pl and RIE	DM to OE	Continue to apply PDM to OE and RIE responding to LMP change only (see RIE in scenario 3a and RIE in green trapezoid in scenario 4a)		
Self-schedule (see RIE in scenario 1)			Apply PI and RIE	DM to OE	RIE – remove PDM OE – continue to apply		
Figure 4 Scenario 2b: Economic bidder and forecast decrease (no LMP change) Scenario 4a: Eco			Figure 8 nomic bidder and LMP less than bid and forecast decrease		decrease	Figure 6 Scenario 3a: Economic bidder and LMP less than bid (no forecast change)	
Current	Proposed	Currer	nt	Proposed		Current	Proposed



California ISO





# Day-ahead metered energy adjustment factor

[3]	Else (noting from Step 1 that Expected Energy should be >= DA Minimum Load Energy here, first test to determine if Expected Energy = DA Minimum Load Energy to avoid a divide by zero condition in the next "Else" statement below)				
	If (min(Expected Energy, DA Scheduled Energy) – DA Minimum Load Energy <= Zero Tolerance <sup>ii</sup> )				
	The	en			
		DA MEAF = 1			
[4]	Els	e			
		DA MEAF =			
		$Min \Bigg[ 1, Max \Bigg( 0, \Bigl( \frac{Metered \ Energy - DA \ Minimum \ Load \ Energy - Regulation \ Energy}{min \ (Expected \ Energy, \ DA \ Scheduled \ Energy) - DA \ Minimum \ Load \ Energy} \Bigr) \Bigg) \Bigg]$			
	End	d if			
	End if				
	End if				



### Next steps

Date	Event
Thu 4/9/15	Straw proposal posted
Wed 4/15/15	Stakeholder call
Thu 4/30/15	Stakeholder comments due
Fri 5/8/15	Working group session
Wed 5/20/15	Draft final proposal posted
Wed 5/27/15	Stakeholder call
Wed 6/10/15	Stakeholder comments due on draft final proposal
Thu/Fri 7/16-7/17/15	Board of Governors meeting

Please submit comments to initiativecomments@caiso.com

