Application No.:	14-11-016
Exhibit No.:	
Witness:	

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U338E) for Approval of the Results of Its 2013 Local Capacity Requirements Request for Offers for the Moorpark Sub-Area.

Application 14-11-016

TESTIMONY OF NEIL MILLAR ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION

1		BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE
2		STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3		
5	(U33 Loca	lication of Southern California Edison Company 38E) for Approval of the Results of Its 2013 al Capacity Requirements Request for Offers for Moorpark Sub-Area. Application 14-11-016
6 7 8 9		TESTIMONY OF NEIL MILLAR ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION
11	Q.	What is your name and by whom are you employed?
12	A.	My name is Neil Millar. I am employed by the California Independent System
13		Operator Corporation (CAISO), 250 Outcropping Way, Folsom, California as the
14		Executive Director, Infrastructure Development.
15		
16	Q.	Please describe your educational and professional background.
17	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering degree at the University
18		of Saskatchewan, Canada, and am a registered professional engineer in the province
19		of Alberta.
20		
21		I have been employed for over 30 years in the electricity industry, primarily with a
22		major Canadian investor-owned utility, TransAlta Utilities, and with the Alberta
23		Electric System Operator and its predecessor organizations. Within those
24		organizations, I have held management and executive roles responsible for
25		preparing, overseeing, and providing testimony for numerous transmission planning
26		and regulatory tariff applications. I have appeared before the Alberta Energy and
27		Utilities Board, the Alberta Utilities Commission, and the British Columbia Utilities
28		Commission. Since November, 2010, I have been employed at the ISO, leading the
29		Transmission Planning and Grid Asset departments.
30		

Page 2 of 5

1	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
2	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to provide an overview of how Southern California
3		Edison Company's (SCE) 2013 request for offers (RFO) meets the local capacity
4		requirement needs for the Moorpark sub-area as identified in Commission Decision
5		(D.) 13-02-015 (Track 1 Decision). My testimony addresses the following issues set
6		forth in the Assigned Commissioner's Ruling and Scoping Memo issued March 13,
7		2015:
8		1. Whether the results of SCE's 2013 LCR RFO for the Moorpark sub-area
9		enhance the safe and reliable operation of SCE's electrical service; and
10		2. Whether the results of SCE's 2013 LCR RFO for the Moorpark sub-area are
11		a reasonable means to meet the 215 to 290 megawatts (MW) of identified
12		LCR need determined by D.13-02-015.
13		
14	Q.	What are your recommendations in this proceeding?
15	A.	I recommend that the Commission:
16		1. Approve the results of SCE's 2013 RFO for the Moorpark sub-area;
17		2. Find that the results of SCE's 2013 LCR RFO for the Moorpark sub-area
18		enhance the reliable operation of SCE's electrical service; and
19		3. Find that the results of SCE's 2013 RFO for the Moorpark sub-area
20		represent a reasonable means to meet a portion of the identified local
21		capacity requirement need determined in D.13-02-015.
22		These recommendations are discussed in detail below.
23		
24	Q.	Please describe how SCE's RFO-selected resources align with the Track 1 long-
25		term procurement plan decision of the Commission.
26	A.	The Commission's Track 1 Decision recognized an "immediate need" for capacity
27		in the Moorpark sub-area and authorized SCE to procure a minimum of 215 MW to

Page 3 of 5

1		a maximum of 290 MW of capacity. Although the CAISO asserted that there was a
2		need for 430 MW of new capacity in the Moorpark sub-area, the Commission
3		authorized procurement of up to only 290 MW after noting that preferred resources
4		and possible transmission solutions could lead to a reduction in need for new
5		capacity. ² The Track 1 Decision recognized the "operational benefits from having
6		specific types of in-area generation with the characteristics of the current [once-
7		through-cooling] plants for the Moorpark area" and noted that local capacity
8		requirements "require resources be located in a specific transmission-constrained
9		area in order to ensure adequate available electrical capacity to meet peak demand,
10		and ensure the safety and reliability of the local electrical grid."3 Consistent with
11		the Commission's decision, SCE's Application requests approval to procure
12		resources totaling approximately 274 MW of capacity in the Moorpark sub-area.
13		
14		In Section 7.3.2 of the Track 1 Decision, the Commission set forth minimum
15		requirements for resources to be considered in SCE's RFO. The Commission stated
16		that the RFO should be limited to resources that (1) meet the identified reliability
17		constraint identified by the CAISO, (2) are demonstrably incremental to the
18		assumptions used in the CAISO studies, and (3) offer the performance
19		characteristics needed to be eligible to count as local RA capacity. Based on the
20		CAISO's review, the resources selected in SCE's RFO meet these criteria.
21		
22	Q.	Please describe the consultations between the CAISO and SCE regarding
23		requirements for resources considered in the 2013 SCE RFO.
24	A.	The CAISO worked with SCE to confirm that the location and characteristics of the
25		procured resources would meet the local capacity needs. During the pendency of
26		2014-2015 transmission planning process, SCE provided the CAISO with a
27		procurement scenario based on the actual RFO-selected resources for the Moorpark

¹ D.13-02-015, p. 125. ² *Id.*, p. 72. ³ *Id.*, p. 2.

Page 4 of 5

1		sub-area. The CAISO studied this scenario to determine that RFO-selected
2		resources meet the identified local capacity requirement needs.
3		
4		The CAISO also informed SCE that demand response and non-dispatchable
5		resources must have a fixed operational period of four hours for qualified capacity
6		valuation calculations set by the Commission. ⁴ Resources that do not meet the
7		Commission's minimum standards for qualifying capacity are not capable of
8		receiving system resource adequacy credit.
9		
10		These consultations were conducted according to the Commission's directive in the
11		Track 1 long-term procurement plan decision to "meet the identified reliability
12		constraint identified by the CAISO" and "use the most up-to-date effectiveness
13		ratings." ⁵
14		
15	Q.	Are the results of SCE's Moorpark sub-area RFO consistent with the Track 1
16		Decision?
17	A.	Yes, SCE's request to procure resources totaling approximately 274 MW of
18		capacity is within the range of the Commission's Track 1 decisions authorized
19		procurement of a minimum of 215 MW and a maximum of 290 MW of capacity.
20		
21		The CAISO has analyzed the results of SCE's RFO in the context of the 2014-2015
22		transmission plan which was presented to the CAISO Board of Governors and
23		approved on March 26. These results indicate that the proposed RFO procurement
24		can meet long-term local capacity requirement needs when combined with the
25		California Energy Commission's forecast of 87 MW of additional achievable energy
26		efficiency for the Moorpark subarea. The Commission must continue to monitor

⁴ *See* the Commission's 2015 Filing Guide for System, Local and Flexible Resource Adequacy (RA) Compliance Filings, issued September 9, 2015. http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/NR/rdonlyres/70C64A46-89DE-4D90-83AB-93FD840B4251/0/Final2015RAGuide.docx.

⁵ D.13-02-015 at 131-132.

Page 5 of 5

1		the development of these resources in order to ensure the long-term reliability of the
2		system.
3		
4		Mr. Sparks' concurrently served testimony provides additional detail regarding the
5		results of the CAISO's 2014-2015 transmission plan and the local capacity
6		requirement analysis conducted for the Moorpark subarea.
7		
8	Q.	Please summarize your recommendations.
9	A.	The CAISO's local capacity requirement analysis shows that the RFO resources will
10		enhance the reliable operation of SCE's electrical service. Based on location and
11		operational characteristics, the RFO-selected resources represent a reasonable
12		means to meet a portion of the local capacity requirement determined in D.13-02-
13		015. As a result, I recommend that the Commission approve the results of SCE's
14		2013 LCR RFO for the LA Basin.
15		
16	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
17	A.	Yes, it does.