### **CALIFORNIA ISO**

# REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS

### **BEFORE THE**

# FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contents

T	CHMMAD	Y OF RECENT	DECISION
I.	SUMMAR	Y OF RECENT	DECISION

- II. SUMMARY OF RECENT FILINGS
- III. SUMMARY OF UPCOMING EVENTS
- IV. SUMMARY OF MATTERS SET FOR HEARING
- V. SUMMARY OF MATTERS ON REHEARING & APPEAL
- VI. COMPLAINTS

#### VII. STATUS OF ISO FEC. FILINGS

- A. Tariff & Protocol Filings
- B. Reliability and Must Run Agreements
- C. Transmission and Distribution Access Rates
- D. Utility Pass-Through of GMC and PX Charge
- E. UDC Agreements
- F. Interim Black Start Agreements
- G. Inter-Control Area Operating Agreements
- H. Responsible Participating Transmission Owner Agreements
- I. Joint Service Level Agreements
- J. Scheduling Coordinator Agreements
- K. Meter Service Agreements for ISO Metered Entities
- L. Meter Service Agreements for Scheduling Coordinators

- M. Participating Generator Agreements
- Participating Load Agreements Transmission Control Agreements N.
- O.
- P. Financing
- ISO/PX Reports Q.

#### VIII. OTHER FEC. MATTERS IN WHICH THE ISO IS PARTICIPATING

#### IX. RULEMAKING

#### I. SUMMARY OF RECENT DECISIONS

- Order issued March 6, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,237), the Commission grants in part rehearing on its ICAP order. FERC finds that the ICAP deficiency charge shall be \$0.17/kW-month from August 1, 2000 until April 1, 2001 and \$8.75/kW-month thereafter.
- Order issued March 8, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,239, the Commission announces that comments in response to notices of proposed rulemakings and motions to intervene may be filed electronically.
- Order issued March 9, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,243), the Commission dismisses PX request for rehearing of the January 8, 2001 Order.
- Order issued March 9, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,245) directing sellers to provide refunds in excess amounts charged for certain electric energy sales in the ISO and PX markets for January 2001 or alternatively to provide further cost justification. FERC limited the possibility of refunds to bids above a \$273/MWh screen price that occurred during Stage 3 conditions.
- Order issued March 9, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,248), the Commission denied the PX rehearing request of the December 15, 2000 filing.
- Order issued March 14, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,251) the Commission rules on petitions for declaratory order and supplemental rate applications involving PJM, New York State Electric and Gas Corporation and several other dockets. In its order, the Commission found that a generator may net its station power requirements against the generating facility's gross output whenever the generating facility's gross output exceeds or equals its station power requirements. When a generating facility's station power requirements exceed its output, such as during an outage, the sale is generally a sale to an end use customer not subject to FERC's jurisdiction.
- Order issued March 14, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,265), the Commission accepts Amendment No. 37.
- Order issued March 14, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,266), FERC conditionally accepted Amendment No. 35. FERC "accept[ed] the ISO's Tariff modifications to permit small distributed generation to participate in the ISO's markets." "In order for a generator to participate in the ISO's markets, a generator needed to provide telemetry and direct control equipment such that the ISO could direct the operation of the generator as necessary to maintain the reliability of the grid...It is reasonable to extend this requirement." FERC found that Grid Service's "argument that the Commission does not have jurisdiction to address distributed generation are without merit. Sales for resale by a distributed generator and the transmission of electric energy in interstate commerce (including unbundled retail transmission) are subject to the Commission's jurisdiction." FERC also accepted the changes to implement the WSCC reliability criteria, annual charges, meter data submission deadline, the neutrality cap, and no pay exemption for load. With respect to the proposed RMR pre-dispatch change, the Commission found that the proposal to permit mixed elections within the same hour needlessly adds complexity to the RMR settlement process and is rejected.

- Order issued March 14, 2001 (94 FERC 61,268), the Commission dismisses in part and grants in part Complaint by Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, and Banning regarding recovery of OOM costs through the neutrality adjustment. The Commission found that the issue of OOM allocation was resolved by Amendment No. 33. However, FERC found that the ISO exceeded the Tariff's neutrality adjustment charge limit of \$0.096/MWh.
- Order issued March 14, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,271), the Commission denies Southern Company's request for a declaratory order regarding RTO status.
- Order issued March 14, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,273), the Commission provisionally grants RTO status to GridSouth.
- Show cause order issued March 14 in Docket No. IN01-3-000 (94 FERC ¶ 61,248). The Commission directed Williams Energy Market and Trading and AES Southland, Inc. to explain why they should not be found to have violated the terms of their RMR contract and the ISO Tariff by making an RMR unit unavailable for dispatch.
- Order issued March 14, 2001 in Docket No. EL01-47-000. The Commission announced certain actions it is taking to help increase electric generation supply and delivery in the Western United States. ISO must propose list of transmission upgrades that can be completed by this summer.
- Order issued March 15, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,283), the Commission accepts PJMs proposed changes to schedule for conducting feasibility and impact studies in response to requests for generation interconnections. PJM found the current 30-day deadline is not workable and changed it to a biannual basis.
- Order issued March 15, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,289) denies rehearing of Order No. 642 (65 Fed. Reg. 70984, November 28, 2000), a final rule updating the filing requirements for applications filed under Part 33 of the Commission's regulations, including public utility mergers, but grants clarification on certain issues.
- Order issued March 15, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,290), the Commission issues an order on rehearing of its Annual Charge rule. With regard to the ISO's request for clarification that there is a need to collect these charges even if there is uncertainty as to what the actual amounts will be eventually, the Commission reiterated that these costs can be recovered as an element of transmission rates and that its regulations provided "great flexibility" as to the manner of recovery.
- Order issued March 16, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,307), the Commission denied the rehearing requests of several California governmental entities of a 1998 opinion on the South of Tesla Principles. The Commission reaffirmed its determination that the principles did not permit member-to-member transactions and to adopt PG&E's uniform scheduling practices "to achieve maximum use of its transmission system and to minimize curtailments."
- Order issued March 16, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,306) the Commission dismissed the CPUC's rehearing request that FERC was wrong to measure PG&E's proposed TO3 rates against the currently effective TO2 rates rather than the TO2 settlement rates for purposes of determining whether suspension was appropriate.

- Order issued March 16, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,245) directing sellers to provide refunds in excess amounts charged for certain electric energy sales in the ISO and PX markets for February 2001 or alternatively to provide further cost justification. FERC limited the possibility of refunds to bids above a \$430/MWh screen price that occurred during Stage 3 conditions.
- Order issued March 19, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,318), the Commission denies requests for clarification of BPA and Sierra Pacific concerning the Commission order issued January 11, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,033), which dismissed as moot a request for rehearing filed by Sierra Pacific of the February 26, 1999 order accepting for filing, suspending, and setting for hearing agreements related to the Northwest AC Intertie, the COI, and the Alturas Intertie (86 FERC ¶ 61,198).
- Order issued March 28, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,344) accepting Vernon's compliance filing regarding its TRR, and rejecting Vernon's compliance filing regarding its TO Tariff, both of which were submitted to comply with the Commission's October 27, 2000 order (93 FERC ¶ 61,103).
- Order issued March 28, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,363), the Commission privionally grants RTO status to GridFlorida LLC.
- Order issued March 28, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,359), the Commission issues an order addressing issues related to the Southwest Power Pool's RTO filing.
- Order issued March 28, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,343), the Commission grants the ISO's rehearing request and finds that entities such as DWR must have a TRR and TRBA in place, and denies and dismisses other requests for rehearing with regard to Docket Nos. ER98-3954 and ER00-1239.
- Order issued March 29, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,384), the Commission grants Otay Mesa's request pursuant to Sections 211 and 212 to order SDG&E to provide transmission service.
- Order issued March 29, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,371), the Commission orders that NYISO's proposed locational pricing tariff sheets for operating reserves will become effective upon the expiration of the suspension period, subject to NYISO's refiling the tariff sheets to include the language implementing the proposed locational pricing system.
- Order issued March 30, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,406), based on stay by the First Circuit, FERC states that the charge of \$0.17/kW-month shall remain the ICAP deficiency charge for ISO New England.
- Order issued April 2, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,003), the Commission grants the request of PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E to disclaim jurisdiction over their sales of electricity to apartment buildings, mobile home parks, and other similar residential and recreational complexes that submeter electricity to their tenants.
- Order issued April 2, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,008), the Commission denies requests for rehearing of the Commission order issued January 14, 2000 (90 FERC ¶ 61,045), which concerned the conditional acceptance of proposed revisions to NYISO's OATT and the NYISO Services Tariff, but grants clarification on one issue.

- Order issued April 2, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,010), the Commission grants in part and denies in part requests for rehearing and clarification concerning the November 8, 2000 order in which the Commission ruled on the proposed revision to the method used to calculate lost opportunity cost payments (93 FERC ¶ 61,142).
- Order issued April 6, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,020), the Commission directs the PX to rescind all prior chargeback actions and refrain from any future chargeback actions as related to PG&E's and SCE's liabilities, subject to the Commission's further action as described in the order, and dismisses as moot complaints filed by PG&E and SCE regarding the PX's intended liquidation of those entities' block forward contracts.
- Order issued April 6, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,021), the Commission dismisses the request of the PX for rehearing of the Commission's order issued January 29, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,085), accepts for filing the submission made by the PX in compliance with the January 29 order, and provides guidance to the PX as to the implementation of the \$150/MWh breakpoint methodology for the PX's January 2001 invoices.
- Order issued April 6, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,024), the Commission grants the PX's request for clarification that it suspended rather than closed its Day-Ahead and Day-Of energy markets, denies the PX's request for rehearing and clarification that its relaxation of creditworthiness standards for the period January 5 through January 18, 2001 was reasonable, and requires to include in its invoices for January 2001 all amounts owed to third-party suppliers by the UDCs.
- Order issued April 6, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,025), the Commission defers action on the request of PG&E and SCE to suspend the penalty for underscheduled load, and requires the ISO to file a report with input from PG&E, SCE, and others on monthly projections of underscheduled load.
- Order issued April 6, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,026), the Commission issues an order stating that its February 14, 2001 creditworthiness order (94 FERC ¶ 61,132) required the ISO to obtain creditworthy backing for all transactions not just all schedules.
- Chief Administrative Law Judge's Certification of Settlement issued April 6, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 63,003), Chief Judge Wagner finds that the proposed Settlement Agreement involving the Midwest ISO, Certain Transmission Owners in the Midwest ISO, the Alliance Companies, and other parties, filed March 21, 2001, as to an agreed-upon RTO structure, agreements, and rates, is reasonable and in the public interest, and recommends that it be approved by the Commission.
- Order issued April 11, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,035), the Commission rejects proposed PJM's proposed waiver of the requirement that PJM retain an independent consultant to identify potential candidates for available seats on PJM's Board of Managers.

Order issued April 12, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,037), the Commission denies the requests for rehearing of Cities/M-S-R, MWD, MID, and TANC concerning the Commission order conditionally accepting Amendment No. 28 (91 FERC ¶ 61,256), but grants requests for clarification concerning the Amendment No. 28 order.

- Order issued April 13, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,069), the Commission accepts for filing NEPOOL's proposed revisions to Market Rule 5, effective as of April 15, 2001.
- Order issued April 13, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,074), the Commission denies requests for rehearing of the Commission's April 20, 1998 order approving (with modifications) NEPOOL's comprehensive restructuring proposal (83 FERC ¶ 61,045), and of the Commission's December 17, 1998 order conditionally accepting NEPOOL's proposed market rules and request for market-based rates (85 FERC ¶ 61,379).
- Notice issued April 16, 2001 in Docket Nos. EL00-95-028, et al., the Commission announces the proxy price for March 2001 wholesale transactions in the California wholesale electric market.
- Order issued April 26, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,114), the Commission grants, on a preliminary basis and with modifications, the RTO West Applicants' request for a declaratory order and the TransConnect Applicants' request for a declaratory order concerning the proposed RTO West.
- Order issued April 26, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,121), the Commission grants NYISO's motion for permission to implement the proposed hybrid fixed block generation pricing rule as of May 1, 2001, dismisses as moot requests for rehearing challenging the ruling in the July 26, 2000 Commission order in regard to the fixed block generation pricing rule (92 FERC ¶ 61,073), and accepting NYISO's Preliminary Compliance Report Concerning Pro Rata Curtailment Procedures and Fixed Block Generation Pricing.
- Order issued April 26, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,123), the Commission accepts the market rule changes submitted by NEPOOL to implement three-part bidding for energy and net commitment period compensation within NEPOOL's existing single-settlement market system, and leaves in place NEPOOL's currently effective methodology for allocating uplift costs.
- Order issued April 26, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,125), the Commission accepts ISO-NE's proposed changes to Market Rule 17, Market Monitoring, Reporting and Market Power Mitigation and supplemental compliance filing with further clarifications to proposed changes.
- Order issued April 26, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,115), the Commission establishes a prospective mitigation and monitoring plan for the California wholesale electric markets, establishes an investigation of public utility rates in the wholesale Western energy markets, and requires the ISO to submit complying tariff changes within 15 days of the issuance of the order. The Commission's plan provides for: (1) increased authority for the ISO to coordinate and control Outages of certain Generating Units; (2) a requirement that sellers with Participating Generator Agreements as well as non-public utility sellers within California that utilize the ISO-controlled grid offer all their available power in real time; (3) the establishment by public utility load serving entities of response mechanisms in which they would identify a price at which load should be curtailed during all hours; (4) the use of a single MCP auction for the ISO Imbalance Energy Market; and (5) mitigation of ISO Imbalance Energy Market prices during periods in which the ISO is experience a reserve deficiency. The April 26 Order also imposes general conditions on public utility sellers' market based rate authority by prohibiting certain anomalous bidding strategies and reporting requirements.

- Order issued April 27, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,136) accepting NYISO tariff revisions regarding an Emergency Demand Response Program.
- Order issued April 27, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,131), FERC issues order denying rehearing and clarifying prior order remating to Consumers' interconnection procedures.
- Order issued April 30, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,159), the Commission denies the request for rehearing of El Segundo Power of the Commission order issued April 27, 2000 (91 FERC ¶ 61,110), in which the Commission rejected El Segundo's proposed Non-Market Dispatch Service Tariff.
- Letter order issued May 1, 2001, FEC. accepts TCA compliance filing in ER01-724-001.

### II. SUMMARY OF RECENT FILINGS

•	March 1, 2001 -	ISO and EOB Motion for issuance of refund notice, request for data, and request for hearing
		Compliance filing on Amendment No. 36.
•	March 2, 2001 -	Motion to intervene and comments in So Cal Edison Docket No. ER01-34
•	March 6, 2001 -	MSA with Mountain View Power Partners, ER01-1410
		PGA with Mountain View Power Partners, ER01-1408
•	March 8, 2001 -	PGA with Dinuba Energy, ER01-1463
		MSA with Dinuba, ER01-1464
		Motion for postponement of QF PGA hearing
		PGA with Sierra Power, ER01-1467
		MSA with Sierra Power, ER01-1466
		MSA with PG&E ER01-1465
•	March 9, 2001 -	Response to comments on RTO filing in Docket No. ER01-85
•	March 13, 2001 -	MSA with AERA Energy LLC, ER01-1522
•	March 14, 2001 -	PGA with Aera Energy LLC, ER01-1531
•	March 16, 2001 -	PGA with Los Alamos Energy, LLC, ER01-1566
		MSA with Los Alamos Energy, LLC, ER01-1565
•	March 20, 2001 -	Amendment No. 38 - BEEP split and Underscheduling waiver, Docket No. ER01-1579
		Stipulation in PG&E Docket No. ER00-2360
		Transcript corrections in PG&E Docket No. ER00-2360

		Answering to Strategic complaint
		Summer reliability agreement with NRG Energy Center Round Mountain
•	March 22, 2001 -	Comments on FERC Staff's Market Monitoring proposal
•	March 23, 2001 -	TCA compliance filing
		Vernon UDC compliance filing
		Confidential submission identifying suppliers in report filed 3/22
•	March 26, 2001	PGA and MSA with La Paloma Generating
		PGA and MSA with Alliance Colton
•	March 29, 2001 -	Emergency motion against Reliant
		ICAOA with Commission Federal De Electicidad
•	March 30, 2001 -	Congestion management status report
		MSA with Commonwealth Energy
		Withdrawal of emergency motion against Reliant
		SCA with Commonwealth Energy
•	April 2, 2001 -	Amendment No. 39 - New Generator Interconnection
		Motion to intervene in Williams Docket No. ER99-1722
•	April 3, 2001 - April 5, 2001 -	Response to Order on removing obstacles SCA with Viasyn in Docket No. ER01-1736
		MSA with Viasyn in Docket No. ER01-1728
•	April 6, 2001 -	Response to motions to intervene and comments on Amendment No. 36 Compliance filing

		Market Stabilization proposal
•	April 9, 2001 -	Supplemental response to March 30, 2001 information request
		Rehearing of March 9, 2001 Refund Order for January
•	April 10, 2001 -	Answer to Reliant complaint
•	April 12, 2001 -	Compliance filing in Docket No. EL01-47 regarding summer 2001 transmission projects
•	April 13, 2001 -	Rehearing of order on Southern Cities's complaint
		Initial Brief in PG&E Docket No. ER00-2360
		Amendment No. 35 compliance filing
•	April 16, 2001 -	Request for rehearing of March 16, 2001 notice of proxy price
•	April 19, 2001 -	Motion to change GMC proceeding to Track 3
•	April 23, 2001 -	Motions to Intervene in PG&E, SoCal Edison, and SDG&E New generator interconnection filings
		Response to motions to strike ISO Market Monitoring Comments
•	April 24, 2001 -	Response to motion of CDWR in EL00-95
•	April 25, 2001 -	PGA and MSA with Calpine Construction Finance Co.
		Answer to Motions to intervene and Comments on Amendment No. 38 Amendment No. 9 Compliance filing
•	April 26, 2001 -	Bylaws Amendment
•	April 27, 2001 -	Motion to intervene in PG&E Docket No. ER01-1744

Response to March 30, 2001 information request

### III. SUMMARY OF UPCOMING EVENTS

•	June 11, 2001	-	Response to interventions on Bylaws filing
•	June 13, 2001	-	Reply Briefs in QF PGA case
			Rehearing of Order on Southern Cities' complain
•	June 15, 2001	-	Cross-Answering testimony in SCE Reliability Services Tariff case
			Rehearings of Order on Removing Obstacles
•	June 18, 2001	-	Response to comments on Amendment No. 36 compliance filing
•	June 19, 2001	-	Interventions on RTO filing
			RTO Conference at FERC
•	June 20-21, 2001	-	Transmission Access Charge settlement conference
•	June 21, 2001	-	Answer to Salt River complaint
•	June 22, 2001	-	Intervenor Cross-Answering testimony in GMC case
•	June 25, 2001	-	Rehearing of May 25 Order clarifying April 26 Order
•	June 27, 2001	-	GMC settlement conference
•	July 2, 2001	-	Intervenor testimony in TAC implementation case
•	July 5, 2001	-	Response to comments on RTO filing
•	July 13, 2001	-	Rebuttal testimony in SCE Reliability Services Tariff case
•	July 18, 2001 July 27, 2001	-	Initial decision in PG&E Reliability Services Tariff case Rebuttal testimony in GMC case
•	July 31, 2001	-	Hearing in SCE Reliability Services Tariff case

- August 20, 2001 FERC Trial Staff testimony in TAC implementation case
- September 24, 2001 Intervenor cross-answering testimony in TAC implementation case
- October 16, 2001 GMC hearing
- November 5, 2001 Transmission owner rebuttal testimony in TAC implementation case
- December 4, 2001 Hearing in TAC implementation case
- December 11, 2001 GMC Reply Briefs

#### IV. SUMMARY OF MATTERS SET FOR HEARING

Case	Subject	Schedule	Comments
El Segundo Power, LLC; ER98-2550-000	RMR	Offer of Settlement filed 4/2/99. Certified as uncontested by order dated 4/27/99.	Before Judge Bobbie McCartney
LLC, LK96-2550-000		Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.	Wecartiley
		Offer of settlement filed on 11/3/99. Certified by Order dated 11/29/99. Approved by letter order dated 1/13/00 in ER98-495-007	
		Offer of Settlement with PG&E filed 11/12/99. Certified to the Commission as an uncontested partial settlement on 12/21/99. Accepted by letter order dated 1/14/99 in ER98-495-008	
		Offer of Settlement with Geysers Power filed on July 1, 1999. Certified to the Commission as unconditional Offer of Settlement by order dated 7/23/99. Accepted by letter order dated 1/31/00.	
		Offer of Settlement with Williams filed on 8/31/99. Certified to the Commission as unconditional Offer of Settlement by order dated 10/5/99. Accepted by letter order dated 1/31/00	
		Offer of Settlement with Reliant filed on 9/8/99. Certified to the Commission as unconditional Offer of Settlement by order dated 10/5/99	
		Offer of Settlement with Duke filed 11/22/99. Certified to the Commission as an uncontested offer of settlement on 1/4/00. Accepted by letter order dated 1/28/00	
		Offer of Settlement with El Segundo filed on 1/31/00. Certified to the Commission as an uncontested Offer of Settlement by order dated 3/2/00. Accepted by letter order dated 6/1/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,220).	
		Second stipulation filed 8/14/00. Certified to the Commission as an uncontested offer of partial settlement by order dated 10/5/00. Accepted by letter order dated 10/26/00 (93 FERC ¶ 61,089)	
Southern California Edison, ER98-441, California ISO ER98- 1019-000 and El Segundo Power, ER98-	Black Start Agreement and RMR		Offer of Settlement filed on 6/15/98 on Black Start accepted by letter order dated 9/17/98;
2550-000			Before Judge Wagner

Duke Energy Moss Landing ER98-2668 and ER98-4300; Duke Energy Oakland ER98- 2669-000 and ER98- 4296-000	RMR		Before Judge Wagner - Schedule suspended by 11/1/99 Order
PG&E, ER98-495-000, ER98-1614, ER98-2145 (PG&E/Southern)	RMR	Initial Decision issued 6/7/00 (91 FERC ¶ 63,008 - concerning the fixed-cost compensation and the ISO's share of the costs of capital items and repairs to be paid under Condition 1 of the RMR Contracts for Southern Energy Delta and Southern Energy Potrero. The ISO, the Participating TOs, the CPUC, and the EOB, and the FERC Staff took the position that the RMR Owners should receive a "Fixed Option Payment" ("FOP") equivalent to the incremental costs attributable to RMR service (which included any amount by which going forward costs exceeded market revenues), net of any incremental revenues attributable to RMR service. These parties (except the CPUC) also advocated an additional incentive payment. The CPUC opposed an incentive payment. Southern Parties asserted entitlement to a percentage of total fixed costs (including sunk costs) based on (1) the hours that the units must be available to the ISO as a percentage of total available hours and (2) the hours that the ISO has called upon the unit as a percentage of total operating hours. The Presiding Judge concluded that because RMR obligations are simply contractual mechanisms enabling generators with potential local market power to participate in competitive markets, compensation for RMR unit availability should neither unnecessarily advantage nor unnecessarily disadvantage RMR unit participation. He found that the net incremental cost compensation proposal achieved these objectives and does not deprive the RMR owner of any significant opportunity to participate in the competitive markets or to maximize the financial rewards. The Presiding Judge also found that none of the proposed incentive payments was appropriate.	Before Judge Young
SDG&E, ER98-496 and ER98-2160-000 (SDG&E / Southern)	RMR	Offer of Settlement filed 3/31/00. Certified as Uncontested Offer of Settlement on 5/17/00.	Before Judge Joseph Nacy
SDG&E, ER98-496- 006 (SDG&E/Dynegy)	RMR	Offer of Settlement filed 5/8/00. Certified as uncontested by Order dated 6/7/00. Accepted by Letter Order dated 7/31/00, 92 FERC ¶ 61,116	
Southern California Edison; ER98-441-000	RMR Phase II	Schedule suspended by order dated 3/1/00. Offer of Settlement filed 5/3/00. Certified as uncontested by Order dated 6/6/00. Accepted by the Commission by letter order dated 7/31/00, 92 FERC ¶ 61,117	
ER97-2358-002; ER97-2355-002; ER97-2364-002; ER97-4235-002 ER98-497-002	Non-rate terms and conditions of TO tariff	Partial Offer of Settlement certified to the Commission by Order dated 9/1/99  Initial Decision issued 9/1/99	Awaiting Commission decision

CAL ISO, ER98-992, et al.	Non-QF Participating Generator Agreement	Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176), letter order accepting the settlement of the Non-QF Participating Generator Agreement case Docket No. ER98-992-000, et al.	Compliance filing made 6/23/00
CAL ISO, ER98-997- 000 and ER98-1309	QF PGA	Staff Testimony November 9, 2000 Cross Answering Testimony - November 29, 2000 Rebuttal Testimony - December 19, 2000 Final Data Requests - January 4, 2001 Final Joint Statement of Issues - April 24, 2001 Hearing - May 1, 2001	Before Judge Leventhal
Sierra Pacific Power ER99-28-000, ER99- 945-000 and EL99-38- 000	Alturas		Breifs on Exception and reply briefs pending with the Commission
PG&E ER99-2326-000 and EL99-68-000	TO 3 Tariff	On 11/8/99, PG&E filed an Offer of Settlement covering wholesale transmission rate issues. This was certified to the Commission as an uncontested settlement on 12/9/99 and accepted by letter order dated 1/31/00.  Parties achieved an additional settlement of all but two issues concerning PG&E's retail revenue requirement (retail rate design and gen ties). An offer of settlement was filed on 2/28/00. It was certified as an uncontested Offer of Settlement on March 31, 2000 and approved on 4/26/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,090)	Before Judge H. Peter Young
Turlock and Modesto v. ISO, EL99-93-00	TID/MID Complaint	Settlement process ongoing	Settlement Judge William J. Cowan
SCE; ER00-845-000 PG&E ER00-851-000 SDG&E ER00-860- 000	OOM Pass-through	Uncontested offer of settlement in Docket No. ER00-851 certified to the Commission on 9/25/00; approved by letter order issued 10/12/00 (93 FERC ¶ 61,038)  Uncontested offer of settlement in Docket No. ER00-860 certified to the Commission on 9/27/00; accepted by letter order dated 10/26/00 (93 FERC ¶ 61,088)	Before Judge Birchman
		Uncontested offer of settlement in Docket No. ER00-845 certified to the Commission on 10/17/00	

		East Revised.	1.147 1, 2001
PG&E Docket Nos. ER00-2360-000 and ER00-2360-001	Reliability Services Tariff	8/7/00 - Applicant Direct Testimony 10/4/00 -Intervenor Answering Testimony 11/17/00 - Staff Answering Testimony 12/5/00 - Joint Statement of Issues 12/21/00 - Intervenor Cross-Answering Test. and Narrative Summaries 1/23/01 - Applicant's Rebuttal Testimony 2/2/01 - Revised Joint Statement of Issues 2/14/01 - Pre-Trial Brief 2/20/01 - Columnar Chart 2/21/01 - Hearing 4/13/-1 - Initial Brief (3 weeks after hearing) 5/9/01 - Reply Briefs 7/18/01 - Initial Decision	Before Judge Birchman
Southern Energy Delta, ER00-936-001; Southern Energy Potrero, ER00-937-001	RMR Schedules A and C	Offer of settlement filed 9/28/00. Accepted by letter order dated 3/15/01 (94 FERC ¶ 61,275)	Assigned to FERC ADR
PG&E ER01-66-000	TO5	2/1/01 - Settlement Conference 2/20/01 - Intervenor Testimony 3/27/01 - Staff Answering Testimony 4/3/01 - Settlement Conference 4/17/01 - Intervenor Cross-Answering Testimony 5/15/01 - PG&E Rebuttal Testimony 6/5/01 - Joint Statement of Issues 6/12/01 - Hearing	Before Judge Grossman
Cal ISO; ER01-313- 000; PG&E ER01-424- 000	GMC	4/2/01 - Intervenor testimony 4/11/01 - Settlement conference 5/11/01 - Staff testimony 6/22/01 - Intervenor cross-answering testimony 7/27/01 - Rebuttal testimony 10/16/01 - hearing 12/11/01 - Reply Brief 1/29/01 - Initial Decision	Before Judge McCartney
So Cal Edison; ER01- 315-000	Reliability services	3/20/01- Answering testimony 5/8/01 - Staff Answering Testimony 6/15/01 - Cross Answering Testimony 7/13/01 - Rebuttal testimony 7/31/01 - Hearing	Before Judith Dowd

SDG&E ER01-831-	TAC implementation	5/8/01 - Supplemental TO Testimony	Before Judge Nacy
000; So Cal Edison;	filing	7/2/01 - Intervenor testimony	
ER01-832-00; PG&E		8/20/01 - Staff testimony	
ER01-839-000		9/24/01 - Cross Answering testimony	
		11/5/01 - TO Rebuttal testimony	
		12/4/01 - Hearing	

### V. SUMMARY OF MATTERS ON REHEARING & APPEAL

#### MATTERS ON REHEARING

Case	Issues	Status
EC96-19-001 to 005; ER98-1663-001 to 006; Order dated October 30, 1997, 81FERC ¶ 61,122	Rehearing requests on issues other than governance	By Order in Docket No. ER98-3760 these rehearing requests will be considered as part of the Unresolved Issues settlement and Briefing
EC96-19-024; ER96-1663-025; Order dated March 11, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,236	Rehearing of selection of RMR units	Order issued 10/2/00 (93 FERC ¶ 61,001) - the Commission denied rehearing of the ISO's designation of Redondo Beach Generating station as an RMR unit
El Segundo, ER98-2550-000	CAL ISO rehearing request on cost-based rate cap	FERC issued a tolling order on 7/31/98
AES, ER98-2843-000, 98-2844, 98-2883-000; Long Beach, ER98-2972-000; El Segundo, ER98-2971-000; Ocean Vista et al., ER98-2977-000	Request for Emergency Stay, Request for Rehearing and Motion for Clarification regarding authorization to sell Ancillary Services at market-based rates	Order dated July 17, 1998 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 6/30/98 and 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to "reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve." FERC issues tolling order on 8/12/98.  Order issued October 28, 1998 in AES Redondo Beach, LLC, et al., 85 FERC ¶ 61, 123 - FERC authorizes market-based rates for all sellers of Ancillary Services and Replacement Reserve Services with California and extends the interim authority of the ISO to limit prices it will pay for Ancillary Services. FERC directs the ISO to conduct a stakeholder process and make a comprehensive proposal to restructure the Ancillary Service markets by March 1, 1999. FERC also denies the requests for rehearing of its prior orders and SoCal's complaint in Docket No. EL98-62-000.
AES, ER98-2843-005, 98-2844-005, 98-2883-005; Long Beach, ER98-2972-006; El Segundo, ER98-2971- 006; Ocean Vista et al., ER98-2977-004; Williams ER98-3106-002; Duke Energy, ER98-3416-004, et al.; Southern California Edison, EL98-62-003; Sempra Energy, ER98-4497-002; and SDG&E, ER98-4498-002	Rehearing requested by ISO, CPUC, and Bonneville	Tolling order issued 12/18/98

		Last Reviseu. May 1, 2001
Williams Energy Services; ER98-3106-000	Authorization to sell Ancillary Services at Market-based rates	Consolidated with AES Dockets.
CAL ISO, ER98-3760-002	Metropolitan Water District and Southern California Edison Rehearing Requests of September 11, 1998 Order accepting clarification filing	Tolling order issued 11/9/98
EC96-19-023; ER9-1663-024; Order dated May 28, 1988, 83 FERC ¶ 61,209 - Rehearing Requests Designated EC96-19-030 and ER96-1663-031	Rehearing of Amendment No. 7	
CAL ISO, ER99-3594-000	Rehearings of Amendment No. 9	In an Order issued 8/2/99, 88 FERC ¶ 61,156, FERC acted on the rehearing requests regarding Amendment No. 9. FERC granted the ISO's request to postpone FTR implementation deadlines due to Y2K concerns. The ISO may conduct its initial FTR auction to permit release effective February 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001. The reports of the ISO and the Market Surveillance Committee, formerly due October 1, 1999, will now be due December 1, 2000. The Commission denied the Intervenors' request for rehearing on the availability of physical transmission rights, stating that "properly designed financial rights" can be as effective as firm physical transmission rights, as long as the ISO has the ability to manage congestion efficiently. FERC denied requests for clarification that the ISO provide for FTRs that last for at least twenty years, on the one hand, and requests that the ISO not make any decision on long-term FTRs until there has been time to analyze market performance, on the other. The Commission expressed itself satisfied with the current plan, which will provide for FTR's lasting one year, while leaving the requirement that the ISO report on progress towards making longer-term FTRs available unchanged apart from the date the report will be due (December 1, 2000). The Commission denied the intervenors' request for rehearing on treatment of revenues for counter scheduling, stating such transactions need to be compensated appropriately, as the ISO Tariff currently provides. FERC granted the ISO's request to determine available capacity using a 99.5 percent historic capacity availability standard, as it was "satisfiedthat the ISO is taking a conservative approach" which considers the possible harms which would result if the ISO released too much or too little capacity. The Commission directed the ISO to continue to review its methodology, to determine whether a more definite measure of available capacity can be developed, and to address its progress in this regard in the report. The Commission denied requests for r

CAL ISO, ER98-3594-005	TANC, M-S-R, Santa Clara, Redding and	East Revised: May 1, 2001
,	Modesto rehearing of 11/10/9 order (89	
	FERC 61,153)	
CAL ISO, ER98-3574-003	California ISO, SoCal Edison, and Dynegy requests for rehearing on Amendment No. 9	Order issued March 28, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,343), the Commission grants the ISO's rehearing request and finds that entities such as DWR must have a TRR and TRBA in place, and denies and dismisses other requests for rehearing with regard to Docket Nos. ER98-3954 and ER00-1239.
CAL ISO, EC96-19-044 and ER96-1663-046	Turlock rehearing on Amendment 10	Tolling order issued 12/14/98
CAL ISO, EC96-19-043 and ER96-1663-044	SDG&E rehearing on Amendment 11	Order issued February 26, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,210), the Commission grants in part and denies in part SDG&E's rehearing of Amendment No. 11. FERC states that its statement in the September 1998 order that Amendment No. 11 made a substantive change to Section 2.5.13 and SP 9.2(b) was dicta and that it takes no position on the ISO's dispute with SDG&E on the interpretation of those provisions.
CAL ISO, ER99-896-000	DWR, Cities of Redding and Santa Clara and M-S-R, ECI, TANC, So Cal Edison, and SDG&E rehearing of Amendment No. 13	Tolling order issued 4/9/99
CAL ISO, ER99-2730-002; and EL99-67-001	Western Power Trading Forum, Enron and Coral Power clarification and rehearing of June 17, 199 GMC Order - Amendment No. 16	Tolling order issued 8/10/99
CAL ISO, ER99-3301-002	DWR Rehearing on Amendment No. 18	Tolling order issued 9/23/99
CAL ISO; ER99-4462-001	Williams, Dynegy, Duke, SMUD, SCE, and Southern rehearings of Amendment No. 21	Tolling order issued 1/5/00
CAL ISO; ER00-1239	Requested rehearing of Amendment No. 25	By order Dated August 28, 2000 (92 FERC 61,176) the Commission decided to take no action on WAPA's request for rehearing - it is thus deemed denied
CAL ISO; ER00-1365	Duke and Reliant rehearings of Amendment No. 26	Tolling order issued 5/24/00
CAL ISO; ER00-2019-001	Rehearings of Amendment No. 27	Tolling order issued 7/3/00
CAL ISO; ER00-2019-003	Rehearing of Amendment No. 27 Compliance filing	Tolling order issued 12/18/00

		East Revised: May 1, 2001
CAL ISO; ER00-2208-001	Rehearing of Amendment No. 28	Order issued April 12, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,037), the Commission denies the requests for rehearing of Cities/M-S-R, MWD, MID, and TANC concerning the Commission order conditionally accepting Amendment No. 28 (91 FERC ¶ 61,256), but grants requests for clarification concerning the Amendment No. 28 order.
CAL ISO; ER01-607-001	Rehearings of Amendment No. 33	Tolling order issued 1/11/01
CAL ISO; ER01-889-001	Rehearings of Amendment No. 36	Tolling Order issued 3/23/01
CAL ISO; ER99-1770-001	Coalition of New Market Participants rehearing of Path 15 Operating Instructions	Tolling order issued 8/10/99
CAL ISO; ER00-800-001	WPTF rehearing of order on GMC informational filing	Tolling order issued 4/20/00
Sierra Power Pacific, ER99-28-002	Sierra Pacific rehearing of 2/26/99 Order (86 FERC 61,198)	Tolling Order issued 4/9/99
PG&E, ER99-2326-001 and EL99-68-001	California Commission rehearing of Order at 87 FERC ¶ 61,218 on PG&E TO3 case	Tolling order issued 7/15/99
PG&E ER99-3145-001 and Laguna; EL98-46-006	PG&E and Edison rehearing of Laguna interconnection order- 88 FERC ¶ 61,164	Order issued 6/30/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,340) - In response to PG&E and SCE's request for rehearing of the Commission's order requiring PG&E to interconnect with Laguna and PG&E's proposed interconnection with Fresno, FERC states that in <i>Central Main</i> , 90 FERC ¶ 61,214 (2000) and <i>Tennessee Power</i> , 90 FERC ¶ 61, 238 (2000) it clarified that interconnection is an element of open access service pursuant to Order No. 888 and that customers may request interconnection separately from delivery and thus the instant case might be moot unless the parties demonstrate within 30 days that the case should not be dismissed
PG&E ER01-66-001	PG&E TO 5 rate filing	Tolling order issued 12/21/00
Southern Energy Delta and Southern Energy Protrero, Docket Nos. ER00-936-001 and ER00-937-001	The Commission rejected the proposed revisions to the maximum net dependable capacity values	Tolling order issued 4/4/00

		Last Revised. Way 1, 2001
El Segundo Power, LLC Docket No No. ER00-1830- 001	Rehearing of order issued 4/27/00 rejecting a proposed non-market dispatch service tariff filed unilaterally by El Segundo.	Order issued April 30, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,159), the Commission denies the request for rehearing of El Segundo Power of the Commission order issued April 27, 2000 (91 FERC ¶ 61,110), in which the Commission rejected El Segundo's proposed Non-Market Dispatch Service Tariff.
Southern Energy Delta and Southern Energy Protrero, Docket Nos. ER00-936-002 and ER00-937-002	Rehearing of order issued 5/22/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,177) in which the Commission granted, in part, Southern Energy's request for rehearing to revise Schedule B of the RMR agreement.	Tolling order issued 7/18/00
PG&E Docket No. ER99-3145-002; Laguna Irrigation District Docket No. EL98-46-004; Fresno Irrigation District Docket No. EL99-50-002; PG&E Docket No. ER99-3713-002	Rehearing of Pacific Gas and Electric Co. et al., 91 FERC ¶ 61,340	Tolling order issued 8/17/00
SCE Docket No. ER97-2355-000, et al	Rehearing of Order No. 445 - Decision on SCE's TO Tariff	Tolling order issued 9/21/00
SDG&E v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services; EL00-95-001	Rehearing of 8/23/00 Order (92 FERC ¶ 61,172)	Tolling order issued 10/16/00
SDG&E v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services; EL00-95-004	Rehearing of November 1, 2000 Order, 93 FERC ¶ 61,121	Tolling order issued 12/20/00
SDG&E v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services; EL00-95-	Rehearings of December 15, 2000 Order	Tolling order issued 1/12/00
City of Vernon, California; ER00-105-002	Rehearing of 93 FERC ¶ 61,103 regarding Vernon's proposed Access Charge Rates	Order issued February 21, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,148), the Commission denied rehearing requests of the Order approving the ISO's Amendment No. 27 compliance filing. The Commission also denied rehearing requests regarding Vernon's transmission revenue requirement in Docket No. EL00-105-002.
Revision of Annual Charges; RM00-7-001	Rehearing of 93 FERC ¶ 61,083	Order issued March 15, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,290), the Commission issues an order on rehearing of its Annual Charge rule. With regard to the ISO's request for clarification that there is a need to collect these charges even if there is uncertainty as to what the actual amounts will be eventually, the Commission reiterated that these costs can be recovered as an element of transmission rates and that its regulations provided "great flexibility" as to the manner of recovery.
SDG&E v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services; EL00-95	Rehearing of March 9 order on refunds for January; 94 FERC ¶ 61,245	Tolling order issued 4/23/01
Cities of Anaheim, et al vs. ISO; EL00-111	Rehearing of March 14, 2001 Order on Southern Cities's complaint on neutrality charges	

SDG&E v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services; EL00-95	March 16, 2001 Notice of proxy price for February	
Removing Obstacles to Inceased Generation and Natural Gas Supply in the West	Rehearing of March 14, 2001 Order, 94 FERC ¶ 61,272	Tolling order issued 4/30/01

### MATTERS ON APPEAL

Case	Issues	Status
Western Power Trading Forum et al. v. FERC	Appeal of FERC's August 5, 1999 and November 1, 1999 governance orders in California Electricity Oversight Board, EL99-	Petition dismissed denied April 10, 2001.
Case No. 99-1532  El Segundo Power and Long Beach Generation v. FERC	Challenge to FERC's allegedly retroactive approval of ISO's modification of bids over price caps.	Oral Argument May 10, 2001
Case No. 00-1093  CPUC vs. FERC  Case No. 00-1203	Challenge to FERC's refusal to require filing of RMR contracts with non-jurisdictional generators	Oral argument May 7, 2001
Dynegy Power Marketing, Inc. v. FERC  Case No. 00-1247	Challenge to OOM payment provisions of Amendment No. 23	Dynegy has requested that the schedule be suspended pending its rehearing of the Commission order on the El Segundo Rate Schedule for OOM
PG&E vs. FERC	Challenge to order on Vernon transmission revenue requirements	

### VI. COMPLAINTS

Complaint	Date	Noti	Response	Comments
<b>P</b>	Filed	ced	Due	
EL98-51-000 Eric Woychick Utility Reform Network et al v. California ISO	5/27/98	7/1/98	7/31/98	Complaint by TURN, UCAN and CU regarding the governance structure
				Order issued November 24, 1998 (85 FERC ¶ 61,263) addressing compliance issues relating to ISO governance. FERC directs the ISO to amend its Bylaws within 45 days, to seat Mr. Woychik immediately for a full term or on an interim basis pending further elections.
				Order denying the Oversight Board's rehearing request issued 2/4/99
EL98-62-000	7/13/98	7/17/98	8/17/98	Complaint filed by SCE regarding FERC authorizations to AES and others to sell ancillary services at market-based rates. Order issued October 28, 1998 in AES Redondo Beach, LLC, et al., 85 FERC ¶ 61, 123 - FERC denies the complaint in Docket No. EL98-62-000.
EL99-30-000	1/20/99	3/5/99	3/5/99	Complaint by Western Power Trading Forum alleging that the GMC is unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, and in violation of prior ISO settlement
				Orders issued 4/2/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,016 and 87 FERC ¶ 61,023) - the Commission: (1) accepted the ISO's informational filing of 12/15/98 and rejected EPUC/CAC's protest; (2) dismissed Western Power Trading Forum's complaint as duplicative; (3) reaffirmed its
				determination that the extension filing was one under section 205 to modify an existing rate rather than a contested settlement; (4)
				established a refund effective date under section 206 (since there was no rate increase their could not be a refund); and (5) affirmed that no purpose would be served by holding a hearing prior to the July 1, 1999 proceeding.
EL00-58-000	3/24/00		4/14/00	Complaint by Western Power Trading Forum alleging that the GMC is unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, and in violation of prior ISO settlement
				Order issued 7/13/00 - the Commission clarified that the refund effective dates established in previous GMC-related proceedings continue to apply to all amounts collected on or after 1/1/2000 and, therefore, dismissed WPTF's complaint as moot.

EL09-93-000  9/17/99  9/20/99  10/7/99  Complaint by Turlock and Modesto alleging undue discrimination in treatment of resors suppling AS and IE from units inside the ISO Control Area as opposed to units outside the ISO Control Area as opposed to units outside the ISO Control Area. Order issued 11/15/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,182) - the Commission sets complaint for hearing but holds the hearing in abeyance and instituted settlement judge proceedings.  EL00-91-000  7/10/00  7/21/00  7/21/00  7/21/00  7/28/00 (92 FERC § 61,112) - FERC denies complaint against the ISO for reducing the bid caps from \$750 to \$500 by Morgan Stanley. FERC noted that "the ISO has no more or less ability to procure capacity and energy than any other buyer of these services." Thus, FERC noted that "the ISO has no more or less ability to procure capacity and energy than any other buyer of these services." Thus, FERC noted that "the ISO has no more or less ability to procure capacity and energy than any other buyer of these services." Thus the ISO could not require sellers to bid absent a filing under section 205 of the FPA.  EL00-95-000  8/2/00  8/3/00  8/14/00  Compliant by SDG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$250 Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers to the PX and the ISO as compliant to the PX and the ISO as compliant and accordance and services of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effective direction of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC effected any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this pr	EL 00 02 000	0/17/00	0/00/00	10/7/00	C 1: 41 T 1 1 1M 1 4 11 : 1 1 1: 1 : 1 : 1
EL00-91-000 7/10/00 7/12/00 7/21/00 Complaint by Morgan Stanley Capital Group challenging the Board decision to lower price cap to \$500/Mwh  Order issued 7/28/00 (92 FERC ¶61,112) - FERC denies complaint against the ISO for reducing the bid caps from \$750 to \$500 by Morgan Stanley Capital Group challenging the Board decision to lower price cap to \$500/Mwh  Order issued 7/28/00 (92 FERC ¶61,112) - FERC denies complaint against the ISO for reducing the bid caps from \$750 to \$500 by Morgan Stanley. FERC noted that "the ISO has no more or less ability to procure capacity and energy than any other buyer of these services." Thus, the caps did not establish he prices that sellers may charge - only be price the ISO was willing to pay. The Commission explicitly noted that the ISO could not require sellers to bid absent a filing under section 205 of the FPA.  EL00-95-000 8/2/00 8/3/00 8/14/00 Compliant by \$DG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$250  Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶61,172) - the Commission denies \$DG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and 1SO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into the market. FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed	EL99-93-000	9/17/99	9/20/99	10/7/99	
issued 11/15/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,182) - the Commission sets complaint for hearing but holds the hearing in abeyance and instituted settlement judge proceedings.  EL00-91-000  7/10/00  7/12/00  7/21/00  7/21/00  Complaint by Morgan Stanley Capital Group challenging the Board decision to lower price cap to \$500/Mwh Order issued 7/28/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,112) - FERC denies complaint against the ISO for reducing the bid caps from \$750 to \$500 by Morgan Stanley. FERC noted that "the ISO has no more or less ability to procure capacity and energy than any other buyer of these services." Thus, the caps did not establish he prices that sellers may charge - only the price the ISO was willing to pay. The Commission explicitly noted that the ISO could not require sellers to bid absent a filing under section 205 of the FPA.  EL00-95-000  8/2/00  8/3/00  8/14/00  Complaint by Morgan Stanley. FERC noted that "the ISO has no more or less ability to procure capacity and energy than any other buyer of these services." Thus, the caps did not establish he prices that sellers may charge - only the price the ISO was willing to pay. The Commission explicitly by DG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$250  Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and AISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the					
EL00-91-000  7/10/00  7/10/00  7/10/00  7/21/00					
EL00-91-000  7/10/00  7/12/00  7/21/00  7/21/00  Complaint by Morgan Stanley Capital Group challenging the Board decision to lower price cap to \$500/Mwh  Order issued 7/28/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,112) - FERC denies complaint against the ISO for reducing the bid caps from \$750 to \$500 by Morgan Stanley. FERC noted that "the ISO has no more or less ability to procure capacity and energy than any other buyer of these services." Thus, the caps did not establish he prices that sellers may charge - only the price the ISO was willing to pay. The Commission explicitly noted that the ISO could not require sellers to bid absent a filing under section 205 of the FPA.  EL00-95-000  8/2/00  8/3/00  8/14/00  Compliant by SDG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$250  Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institution structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
EL00-91-000  7/10/00					
decision to lower price cap to \$500/Mwh  Order issued 7/28/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,112) - FERC denies complaint against the ISO for reducing the bid caps from \$750 to \$500 by Morgan Stanley. FERC noted that "the ISO has no more or less ability to procure capacity and energy than any other buyer of these services." Thus, the caps did not establish he prices that sellers may charge - only the price the ISO was willing to pay. The Commission explicitly noted that the ISO could not require sellers to bid absent a filing under section 205 of the FPA.  EL00-95-000  8/2/00  8/3/00  8/14/00  Compliant by SDG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$5250  Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding, FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
Order issued 7/28/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,112) - FERC denies complaint against the ISO for reducing the bid caps from \$750 to \$500 by Morgan Stanley. FERC noted that "the ISO has no more or less ability to procure capacity and energy than any other buyer of these services." Thus, the caps did not establish he prices that sellers may charge - only the price the ISO was willing to pay. The Commission explicitly noted that the ISO could not require sellers to bid absent a fliing under section 205 of the FPA.  EL00-95-000  8/2/00  8/3/00  8/3/00  8/14/00  Compliant by SDG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$250  Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to	EL00-91-000	7/10/00	7/12/00	7/21/00	Complaint by Morgan Stanley Capital Group challenging the Board
against the ISO for reducing the bid caps from \$750 to \$500 by Morgan Stanley. FERC noted that "the ISO has no more or less ability to procure capacity and energy than any other buyer of these services." Thus, the caps did not establish he prices that sellers may charge - only the price the ISO was willing to pay. The Commission explicitly noted that the ISO could not require sellers to bid absent a filing under section 205 of the FPA.  EL00-95-000  8/2/00  8/3/00  8/14/00  Compliant by SDG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$250  Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					decision to lower price cap to \$500/Mwh
against the ISO for reducing the bid caps from \$750 to \$500 by Morgan Stanley. FERC noted that "the ISO has no more or less ability to procure capacity and energy than any other buyer of these services." Thus, the caps did not establish he prices that sellers may charge - only the price the ISO was willing to pay. The Commission explicitly noted that the ISO could not require sellers to bid absent a filing under section 205 of the FPA.  EL00-95-000  8/2/00  8/3/00  8/14/00  Compliant by SDG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$250  Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
against the ISO for reducing the bid caps from \$750 to \$500 by Morgan Stanley. FERC noted that "the ISO has no more or less ability to procure capacity and energy than any other buyer of these services." Thus, the caps did not establish he prices that sellers may charge - only the price the ISO was willing to pay. The Commission explicitly noted that the ISO could not require sellers to bid absent a filing under section 205 of the FPA.  EL00-95-000  8/2/00  8/3/00  8/14/00  Compliant by SDG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$250  Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC \$\mathbb{6}\) (1,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					Order issued 7/28/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,112) - FERC denies complaint
Morgan Stanley. FERC noted that "the ISO has no more or less ability to procure capacity and energy than any other buyer of these services." Thus, the caps did not establish he prices that sellers may charge - only the price the ISO was willing to pay. The Commission explicitly noted that the ISO could not require sellers to bid absent a filing under section 205 of the FPA.  EL00-95-000  8/2/00  8/3/00  8/14/00  Compliant by SDG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$250  Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
ability to procure capacity and energy than any other buyer of these services." Thus, the caps did not establish he prices that sellers may charge - only the price the ISO was willing to pay. The Commission explicitly noted that the ISO could not require sellers to bid absent a filing under section 205 of the FPA.  EL00-95-000  8/2/00  8/3/00  8/14/00  Compliant by SDG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$250  Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
services." Thus, the caps did not establish he prices that sellers may charge - only the price the ISO was willing to pay. The Commission explicitly noted that the ISO could not require sellers to bid absent a filing under section 205 of the FPA.  EL00-95-000  8/2/00  8/3/00  8/14/00  Compliant by SDG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$250  Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
charge - only the price the ISO was willing to pay. The Commission explicitly noted that the ISO could not require sellers to bid absent a filing under section 205 of the FPA.  EL00-95-000  8/2/00  8/3/00  8/14/00  Compliant by SDG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$250  Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
explicitly noted that the ISO could not require sellers to bid absent a filing under section 205 of the FPA.  EL00-95-000  8/2/00  8/3/00  8/14/00  Compliant by SDG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$2.50  Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
EL00-95-000  8/2/00  8/3/00  8/14/00  8/14/00  Compliant by SDG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$250  Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
EL00-95-000  8/3/00  8/3/00  8/14/00  Compliant by SDG&E against sellers of energy and ancillary services into the PX and the ISO seeking bid caps of \$250  Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to	FI 00-95-000	8/2/00	8/3/00	8/1//00	
Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172) - the Commission denies SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to	LE00-93-000	0/2/00	0/3/00	0/14/00	
SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					into the 1 A and the 150 seeking old caps of \$250
SDG&E's requested immediate imposition of a price cap on all sellers into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					Order issued 8/23/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,172), the Commission denies
into the PX and ISO markets. Instead, FERC initiates a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
pursuant to section 206 of the FPA to investigate the justness and reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
reasonableness of the rates that public utility sellers into those markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
markets are exercising. FERC will also evaluate whether or not institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
institutional structures and bylaws of the PX and the ISO are adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
adversely effecting efficient operation of the market. FERC intends to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
to await its ongoing staff investigation before deciding on further procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
procedures. FERC deferred any consideration of the merits of the ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
ISO's congestion management reform efforts until the earlier of the date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
date of the ISO's filing of its proposal or the date upon which the Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
Commission issues a supplemental order in this proceeding. FERC also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
also expressed concern that the underscheduling of loads in the forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
forward markets posed reliability and economic concerns. FERC ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
ordered the ISO to immediately institute a more forward approach to					
procuring resources necessary to reliably operate the grid.					
<u>r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</u>					procuring resources necessary to reliably operate the grid.

		10/10/00	0.1 1 140/10/00 (02 FED C # (1.051) 1 C 1 1
		10/19/00	Order issued 10/19/00 (93 FERC ¶ 61,051) - the Commission
			announces expedited procedures for addressing California market
		12/15/00	issues.
			Order issued December 15, 2000 (93 FERC ¶ 61,294), the
			Commission's Order Directing Remedies for the California
			Wholesale Electric Markets. Included in remedies adopted by FERC
			are: (1) Elimination of the mandatory PX buy-sell requirement; (2)
			establishment of a benchmark price for assessing five-year energy
			supply contracts; (3) adoption of penalties for underscheduling of
			load; (4) modification of the ISO Governing Board; and (4) adoption
			of a soft price cap at \$150/MWh.
8/3/00	8/4/00	8/14/00	Compliant by Reliant alleging that the ISO had failed to provide a
			mechanism by which generators would be fully compensated for
		12/15/00	curtailed exports
8/29/00		12/15/00	Complaint by the Electric Oversight Board against all jurisdictional
			sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into the California markets
			EOD scales imposition of hid sons at \$250 per MW/h for A/S and
			EOB seeks imposition of bid caps at \$250 per MWh for A/S and
			Energy and \$100 for replacement reserve
			Order issued December 15, 2000 (93 FERC ¶ 61,294), Denies
			complaint.
9/18/00	9/18/00	9/25/00	Complaint by Southern Cities regarding allocation of Out-of Market
			costs
			Order issued March 14, 2001 (94 FERC 61,268), the Commission
			dismisses in part and grants in part Complaint by Cities of Anaheim,
			Azusa, and Banning regarding recovery of OOM costs through the
			neutrality adjustment. The Commission found that the issue of OOM
			allocation was resolved by Amendment No. 33. However, FERC
			found that the ISO exceeded the Tariff's neutrality adjustment charge
			limit of \$0.096/MWh.
10/6/00		12/15/00	Complaint by CMUA against all jurisdictional sellers of Energy and
			Ancillary Services into the California markets
			CMU seeks reimposition of cost-based rates on FERC jurisdictional
			sellers.
			Order issued December 15, 2000 (93 FERC ¶ 61,294), Denies
			complaint.
		9/18/00 9/18/00	8/3/00 8/4/00 8/14/00 12/15/00 8/29/00 12/15/00 9/18/00 9/18/00 9/25/00

EL01-2-00	10/10/00	40/44/00	10/06/00	
	10/10/00	10/11/00	10/26/00	Complaint by Californians for Renewable Energy, Inc.
	10/31/00	11/16/00	11/30/00	CARE requests the Commission rectify unjust and unreasonable prices in California PX and ISO markets and to investigate the power
			12/15/00	outage in San Francisco on June 14, 2000.
				Order issued December 15, 2000 (93 FERC ¶ 61,294), Denies complaint.
EL01-14-000	11/9/00	11/14/00	11/29/00	Order issued February 21, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,141) Commission accepts the ISO's proposed amendments to the TCA including
	11/17/00	11/21/00	11/29/00	changes to make Vernon a PTO and to ensure that governmental entities that join the ISO commit to make any refunds ordered by FERC. The Commission also rejects Vernon's complaint that it be exempt from the ADR provisions of the TCA.
EL01-23-000	12/26/00	12/27/00	1/11/01	Complaint by Dynegy regarding dispatch and payment of in-Control Area resources called out-of-market
EL01-29-000	1/23/01	2/2/01	2/12/01	Complaint by PG&E against the PX requesting stay of Block Forward liquidation and accounting.
				Order issued April 6, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,020), the Commission directs the PX to rescind all prior chargeback actions and refrain from
				any future chargeback actions as related to PG&E's and SCE's
				liabilities, subject to the Commission's further action as described in the order, and dismisses as moot complaints filed by PG&E and SCE
				regarding the PX's intended liquidation of those entities' block forward contracts.
EL01-35-000	2/7/01	2/8/01	2/26/01	Complaint by Mirant for failure to enforce creditworthiness requirements; failure to comply with the 12/15/00 Order regarding the appointment of new Governing Board members
EL01-36-000	2/8/01	2/12/01	2/28/01	Complaints against the PX on implementation of chargeback provision.
EL01-37-000	2/12/01	2/14/01	3/5/01	Order issued April 6, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,020), the Commission
EL01-43-000	3/5/01	3/5/01	3/22/01	directs the PX to rescind all prior chargeback actions and refrain from any future chargeback actions as related to PG&E's and SCE's liabilities, subject to the Commission's further action as described in the order, and dismisses as moot complaints filed by PG&E and SCE regarding the PX's intended liquidation of those entities' block forward contracts.
EL01-40-000	2/27/01	2/28/01	3/19/01 4/6/01 (Governor)	Complaint by Tuscon Electric against Governor Davis for seizure of the PG&E and So Cal Edison PX Block Forward contracts
EL01-41-000	2/28/01	3/2/01	3/20/01	Billing dispute with Strategic Energy

EL01-42-000	3/2/01	3/5/01	3/22/01	Complaint by Universal Studios against So Cal Edison over service
				interruptions under CPUC interruptible tariff
EL01-57-000	3/21/01	3/23/01	4/10/01	Complaint by Reliant over extent of ISO's use of emergency dispatch
				authority and outage coordination authority
EL01-65-000	4/16/01	4/18/01	5/8/01	Complaint by CARE against BC Hydro, PowerEx, Mirant,
				Bonneville, and LADWP

### VII. STATUS OF ISO FERC FILINGS

# A. Tariff & Protocol Filings

	TARIFF & PROTOCOL FILINGS								
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	F ERC Orders	Status			
ISO Tariff	PG&E et al EC96-19-003 ER96-1663-003	8/15/97	7/30/97 <sup>i</sup>	9/2/97	10/30/97 2/24/00	See below.  Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)			
ISO Tariff Changes For Info Purposes	PG&E et al EC96-19-008 ER96-1663-009	10/31/97	11/6/97	N/A	12/17/97 2/24/00	Changes accepted with nominal suspension, accepted and permitted to go into effect on ISO Operations Date; conforming changes made and tariff posted 12/22/97; compliance filing due 60 days from ISO Operations Date.  Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)			
ISO Protocols	PG&E et al EC96-19-008 ER96-1663-009	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97 2/24/00	Informational filing was accepted with nominal suspension as part of ISO Tariff and permitted to go into effect; conforming changes made and posted 12/22/97 as part of ISO Tariff.  Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)			
ISO Grid Mgmt. Charge	ISO, ER98-211- 000	10/17/97	10/21/97	11/7/97	12/17/97; 6/1/98	Settlement accepted by FERC order dated 6/1/98. On 10/28/98 the ISO filed to extend Settlement for six months.			

i

100 0 : 114	100 ED00 472	10/20/00	11/10/00	11/00/00	10/00/00	Last Revised. Way 1, 2001
ISO Grid Mgmt.	ISO, ER99-473-	10/28/98	11/10/98	11/20/98	12/23/98	Order issued December 23, 1998 (85 FERC ¶ 61,433)
Charge	000					accepting, subject to refund and further orders, proposed
					4/2/99	six month extension of current GMC
						Orders issued 4/2/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,016 and 87 FERC ¶
						61,023) - the Commission: (1) accepted the ISO's
						informational filing of 12/15/98 and rejected
						EPUC/CAC's protest; (2) dismissed Western Power
						Trading Forum's complaint as duplicative; (3)
						reaffirmed its determination that the extension filing was
						one under section 205 to modify an existing rate rather
						than a contested settlement; (4) established a refund
						effective date under section 206 (since there was no rate
						increase their could not be a refund); and (5) affirmed
						that no purpose would be served by holding a hearing
						prior to the July 1, 1999 proceeding.
Informational filing	ISO, ER99-921	12/29/98	N/A		4/2/99	Orders issued 4/2/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,016 and 87 FERC ¶
for GMC settlement						61,023) - the Commission: (1) accepted the ISO's
						informational filing of 12/15/98 and rejected
						EPUC/CAC's protest; (2) dismissed Western Power
						Trading Forum's complaint as duplicative; (3)
						reaffirmed its determination that the extension filing was
						one under section 205 to modify an existing rate rather
						than a contested settlement; (4) established a refund
						effective date under section 206 (since there was no rate
						increase their could not be a refund); and (5) affirmed
						that no purpose would be served by holding a hearing
						prior to the July 1, 1999 proceeding.
ISO Financing	ISO; ES98-9-000	11/17/97	11/21/97	12/16/97	12/22/97	Order allowed closing of transfer from Trust;
100111111111111111111111111111111111111	150, 25,000		11, 21, 5,	12, 10, 5,	12/22/57	amendment required prior to permanent financing.
ISO Tariff	PG&E et al	2/19/98	0/07/00	2/12/00	2/27/00	
		2/19/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/27/98	Proposed amendment is accepted, with conditions and
Amendment	EC96-19-014				2/24/00	modifications discussed in 82 FERC ¶ 61,312. The ISO
No. 1	EC96-1663-015				2/24/00	shall post this amendment on the ISO Home Page and
						shall file these changes with the compliance filing
						within 60 days of the ISO Grid Operation date.
						Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178)
						accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Tariff	PG&E et al	2/25/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/27/98	Proposed amendment is hereby rejected.
Amendment No. 2	EC96-19-015					
	ER96-1663-016					
ISO Tariff	PG&E et al	2/25/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/27/98	Proposed amendment is hereby rejected.
Amendment No. 3	EC96-19-016					1 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
	ER96-1663-017					
1	1 2100 1000 017	I	I	1	1	1

				_		Last Reviseu. May 1, 2001
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 4	PG&E EC96-19-017 ER96-1663-018	3/3/98	3/4/98	3/16/98	3/30/98 2/24/00	Proposed amendment is hereby accepted for filing, and suspended for a nominal period, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date, subject to refund, subject to the conditions and modifications discussed, and subject to further Commission orders. The ISO is hereby directed to refile the ISO Tariff no later than 60 days
						after the ISO Operations Date. The ISO is directed to inform the Commission of tariff provisions that will be staged and timing of future implementation.
						Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 5	PG&E et al EC96-19-018 ER96-1663-019	3/3/98	3/4/98	3/16/98	3/30/98 2/24/00	Proposed amendment is hereby accepted for filing, and suspended for a nominal period, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date, subject to refund, subject to the conditions and modifications discussed, and subject
						to further Commission orders. The ISO is hereby directed to refile the ISO Tariff no later than 60 days after the ISO Operations Date. The ISO is directed to inform the Commission of tariff provisions that will be staged and timing of future implementation.
						Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 6	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-021 ER96-1663-022	3/23/98	3/25/98	4/9/98	3/30/98 2/24/00	Proposed amendment is hereby accepted for filing, and suspended for a nominal period, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date, subject to refund, subject to
						the conditions and modifications discussed, and subject to further Commission orders. The ISO is hereby directed to refile the ISO Tariff no later than 60 days after the ISO Operations Date. The ISO is directed to
						inform the Commission of tariff provisions that will be staged and timing of future implementation.
						Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 7	PG&E et al EC96- 19-023 ER96-1663-024	3/31/98	4/20/98	5/11/98	5/28/98; 83 FERC ¶ 61,209	Amendment 7 other than the proposed modification to section 2.1.4 is accepted.
					2/24/00	Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
Amendment No. 7 clarification	EC96-19-031; ER96-1663-032	6/29/98	7/6/98	7/23/98		,

	1	1	1	-		Last Revised. May 1, 2001
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 8	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-027 ER96-1663-028	5/19/98	5/29/98	6/8/98	6/24/98; 83 FERC ¶ 61,309	Conditionally accepted subject to clarification and future reporting requirements
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 8 Compliance	CAL ISO, EC96- 19-034; ER96- 1663-035	7/24/98	7/29/98	8/13/98		
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 9 [FTR]	CAL ISO; ER98- 3594-000	6/30/98	7/6/98	7/20/98	12/21/98; 85 FERC 61,405	Order grants ISO's motion to extend the effective date for implementation of FTRs
		12/4/98	12/9/98	12/28/98	5/3/99; 87 FERC 61,143 8/2/99	Order conditionally accepting proposed tariff changes
Amendment No. 9 [FTR]	ISO; ER98-3594- 001				8/2/99; 88 FERC ¶ 61,156	FERC granted the ISO's request to postpone FTR implementation deadlines due to Y2K concerns. The ISO may conduct its initial FTR auction to permit release effective February 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001. The reports of the ISO and the Market Surveillance Committee, formerly due October 1, 1999, will now be due December 1, 2000. The Commission denied the Intervenors' request for rehearing on the availability of physical transmission rights, sating that "properly designed financial rights" can be as effective as firm physical transmission rights, as long as the ISO has the ability to manage congestion efficiently. FERC denied requests for clarification that the ISO provide for FTRs that last for at least twenty years, on the one hand, and requests that the ISO not make any decision on long-term FTRs until there has been time to analyze market performance, on the other. The Commission expressed itself satisfied with the current plan, which will provide for FTR's lasting one year, while leaving the requirement that the ISO report on progress towards making longer-term FTRs available unchanged apart from the date the report will be due (December 1, 2000). The Commission denied the intervenors' request for rehearing on treatment of revenues for counter scheduling, stating such transactions need to be compensated appropriately, as the ISO Tarriff currently provides. FERC granted the ISO's request to determine available capacity using a 99.5 percent historic capacity availability standard, as it was "satisfiedthat the ISO is taking a conservative approach" which considers the possible harms which would result if the ISO released too much or too little capacity. The Commission directed the ISO to continue to review its methodology, to determine whether a more definite measure of available capacity can be developed, and to address its progress in this regard in the report. The Commission denied requests for rehearing on the desirability of creating new congestion zones before FTRs expire, treated in Tarriff Section

						East Revised. May 1, 2001
Amendment No. 9					11/10/99	Order issued 11/10/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,153) - the Commission responded to certain questions regarding jurisdiction and price limits for firm transmission rights. FERC concluded that resales of FTRs in the secondary
						market are jurisdictional transactions and required public utility resellers to file for authorization to make such sales. FERC also stated that the resales were subject to its policy with respect to price caps for
						transmission rights but noted that since FTRs would initially be sold for terms of less than one year the prices paid in the secondary market should not exceed the
						sellers opportunity cost thus meeting the standard. Finally, FERC required the ISO to post prices at which FTRs are sold in the secondary market.
Amendment No. 9 Compliance filing	California ISO Docket No. ER99- 3594-002	8/13/99 & 8/17/99	8/18/99 & 8/23/99	9/2/99 & 9/7/99	9/17/99	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 9 Compliance filing	California ISO Docket No. ER99- 3594-003	4/25/01	4/30/01	5/16/01	3/28/01	Order issued March 28, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,343), the Commission grants the ISO's rehearing request and finds that entities such as DWR must have a TRR and TRBA in place, and denies and dismisses other requests for rehearing with regard to Docket Nos. ER98-3954 and ER00-1239.
June 1, 1998 Compliance Filing	PG&E et al EC96-19-029; ER96-1663-030	6/1/98	6/9/98	8/5/98	2/24/00	Order issued 10/28/98 accepting certain proposed changes to the ISO's Bylaws.  Order issued 4/28/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,102) - the Commission issues an order accepting the March 11, 1999 unresolved issues report and establishing further procedures for the Offer of Settlement and briefing of the Unresolved Issues.
						Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)

July 15, Clarification	Cal ISO; ER98-	7/15/98	7/20/98	Initially	7/31/98;	7/31/98 Order extends date for interventions and
Filing	3760-000		.,,	8/4/98	9/11/98	protests. 9/11/98 Order issued September 11, 1998 -
				extended		FERC accepts all clarification changes, except a change
				to 8/17/98	4/28/99	that was superseded by Amendment 10; requires the
						filing within 15 days of a protocol describing how the
					2/24/00	ISO will exercise its discretion under sec. 2.2.12.1 to
						waive scheduling guidelines; and denies (without
						prejudice) the ISO's proposal to move all unresolved
						issues to the Clarification docket, but otherwise adopting
						the ISO's proposed procedural approach, including
						establishing a 120-day period for the parties to agree on
						a comprehensive open-issues list and to settle as many
						issues as possible, with trial staff's participation.
						Order issued 4/28/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,102) - the
						Commission issues an order accepting the March 11,
						1999 unresolved issues report and establishing further
						procedures for the Offer of Settlement and briefing of
						the Unresolved Issues.
						Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178)
						accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER98-	9/28/98	10/1/98	10/16/98	12/16/98	ISO directed to amend protocol within 15 days to
from 9/11 order on	3760-001		errata			include specific waiver criteria
clarification filing	C 11CO EDOO	12/20/00	10/2/98	1/10/00	2/10/00	A 1 C . C1'
Compliance filing from 12/16 Order	Cal ISO, ER98- 3760	12/30/98	1/6/98	1/19/98	2/18/99	Accepted for filing
Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER98-	4/20/00	4/25/00	5/11/00	9/28/00	Accepted for filing
Unresolved Issues	3760- 005; EC96-	4/20/00	4/23/00	3/11/00	9/28/00	Accepted for fifting
Settlement	19-053, ER96-					
Settlement	1663-056					
Amendment to UI	Cal ISO, ER98-	5/26/00	6/7/00	6/16/00		
Settlement	3760					
Compliance filing						
Amendment No. 10	Cal ISO, EC96-19-	7/27/98	7/28/98	8/6/98	7/31/98	Order issued July 31, 1998 (84 FERC ¶ 61,121) - FERC
	035 and ER96-	amend.				conditionally accepts Amendment No. 10 to permit the
	1663-036	7/28/98				ISO to receive ancillary bids from producers outside the
						control area. FERC also accepts, on a prospective basis,
						the proposed amendment to section 26.2 to clarify that
						the ISO will only waive penalties incurred as a result of
		1	1		1	limitations with the ISO's software.

	_					Last Reviseu. May 1, 2001
Amendment 10 compliance	Cal ISO, EC96-19- 035 and ER96- 1663-036	8/17 and 8/20 complianc e	8/24/94	9/7/98	10/16/98	Accepted for filing pending further compliance filing to incorporate both Amendment 10 and Amendment 11 changes into a single conformed sheet.  Turlock has requested rehearing - tolling order issued 12/14/98
Amendment 10 Correction	Cal ISO, EC96-19- 035 and ER96- 1663-036	errata 8/21/98			10/16/98	Accepted for filing pending further compliance filing to incorporate both Amendment 10 and Amendment 11 changes into a single conformed sheet.
Amendment No. 11 -	Cal ISO, EC96-19-	8/14/98	8/14/98	8/28/98	9/17/98	Accepted for filing
Downward Regulation Bids	039 ER96-1663-040				2/26/01	Order issued February 26, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,210), the Commission grants in part and denies in part SDG&E's rehearing of Amendment No. 11. FERC states that its statement in the September 1998 order that Amendment No. 11 made a substantive change to Section 2.5.13 and SP 9.2(b) was dicta and that it takes no position on the ISO's dispute with SDG&E on the interpretation of those provisions.
		8/20/98	8/25/98	9/9/98		
Amendment 10 & 11 Compliance filing	Cal ISO, EC96-19- 045 and ER96- 1663-47	11/16/98 errata 11/17/98	11/20/98	12/4/98	6/1/99	Accepted for filing, 87 FERC ¶ 61,256.
Amendment No. 12 - Extension of the BEEP cap	Cal ISO, ER99- 826-000	12/4/98	12/9/98	12/28/98	1/27/99	Order issued January 27, 1999 (86 FERC ¶ 61,059) rejecting ISO's proposed amendment to establish price caps for imbalance energy but granting ISO interim authority to impose purchase price caps in the real-time energy market in the same manner as FERC has granted it for the other ISO markets.
Amendment No. 13	Cal ISO, ER99- 896-000	12/11/98	12/16/98	1/7/98	2/9/99	Order issued 2/9/99, approving Amendment No. 13 except for retroactive adjustment to settlement statements for Replacement Reserves
Amendment No. 13 Compliance	Cal ISO, ER99- 896-001	2/24/99	3/1/99	3/16/99	3/24/99	Accepted for filing
Governance compliance filing	Cal ISO, EC96-19- 047; ER96-1663- 049	1/8/99	1/15/99	2/8/99	3/10/99	Commission accepts Enron's notice of withdrawal of protest.

		1				
A/S Redesign	Cal ISO, ER99-	3/1/99	3/4/99	3/26/99	5/26/99	Order issued 5/26/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,208, FERC largely
Amendment No. 14	1971					approves Amendment 14 and confirms that the ISO
					7/26/99	acted correctly when it reduced above-cap A/S bids to
						the applicable cap. FERC: (1) conditionally accepted
						the rational buyer proposal, while expressing doubts
						about some components and requiring MSC to report on
						its implementation by 10/15/99; (2) approved the
						changes for replacement reserves/effective price and
						automated BEEP without condition; (3) accepted the
						Reg up/ Reg down and inter-SC trade proposals noting
						ISO agreement to clarify tariff provisions; (4) accepted
						the buy-back proposal (Billing on Metered Demand) as
						to self-provided capacity that is voluntarily withdrawn
						by an SC, but rejected it as to self-provided capacity
						withdrawn at the instruction of the ISO (noting the
						situation where self-provided capacity must be
						withdrawn because a transmission line is derated);
						(5) accepted the Generator Communication proposal; (6)
						rejected arguments that FERC mandate filing of pro
						forma PLA agreement, leaving that issue to stakeholder
						discussions; (7) permitted ISO to retain price cap
						authority only through November 15, 1999 (if ISO
						wants to retain authority, it must demonstrate after the
						summer that market design flaws remain); (8) rejected
						the argument that ISO should eliminate or modify the
						25% limit on A/S imports; and (9) confirmed the
						reasonableness of the ISO's reducing above-cap bids to
						the applicable cap.
Amendment No. 14	Cal ISO, ER99-	6/25/99			7/26/99	Order issued 7/26/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,096), FERC denied
Rehearing	1971-001					the ISO's request for rehearing and stay of the May 26,
						1999 order rejecting the buy-back proposal (Billing on
						Metered Demand) as to self-provided capacity
						withdrawn at the instruction of the ISO.
Amendment No. 14	Cal ISO; ER99-	7/2/99	7/8/99 &	7/22/99 &	10/8/99	Accepted for filing
Compliance Filing	1971-002	&	8/23/99	9/9/99	1	
Compliance Filing	17/1-002	· CC	0/23/99	9/9/99		

		1	1		1	Last Revised. May 1, 2001
Amendment No. 14	Cal ISO; ER99-				1/14/99	Order issued 1/14/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,036) the
	1971-002					Commission clarified that the ISO's buy back proposal
						required that only Ancillary Services that are voluntarily
						withdrawn from the day-ahead schedule by an SC,
						regardless of whether they are self-provided or sold into
						the market, should be subject to the buy back proposal.
						The Commission then reiterated a statement made in the
						May 26 Order, that the shouldconsider implementing a
						bidding mechanism to address situations in which it
						must change the amount of capacity self-provided or
						sold into the Ancillary Services markets. The
						Commission also: (1) rejected SoCal Edison's request
						for rehearing of the Commission's approval of the ISO's
						proposal to allocate to load the cost of extra
						Replacement Reserves needed to meet demand not
						scheduled in the day-ahead market; (2) denied rehearing
						requests by El Segundo and Long Beach alleging
						inconsistent Commission treatment of different kinds of
						price caps and that the ISO's treatment of above-cap
						bids results in unilateral adjustments to bidders' rate
						schedules; and (3) granted the ISO's clarification that
						the the May 26 Order merely cautioned that FERC-
						licensed hydro facilities must have the flexibility to
						control output if necessary but was not intended to
						suggest that licensees should be exempted. The
						Commission also rejected as moot all requests for
						rehearing concerning the extension of the ISO's price
						cap authority, because the Commission's approval of
						Amendment No. 21 had already extended the ISO's
			1			price cap authority until November 15, 2000.
Compliance filing	CAL ISO, ER99- 1971-005		2/17/00	3/6/00	4/21/00	Accepted for filing
Employee Code of	Cal ISO, ER99-	4/22/99	4/28/99	5/12/99	5/26/99	Accepted for filing
Conduct	2563-000					
Amendment No. 15,	Cal ISO, ER99-	4/7/99	4/12/99	4/27/99		Accepted by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC 61,229
RMR	2407-000					1 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

ISO GMC - Amendment 16	Cal ISO, ER99- 2730-000	4/30/99	5/5/99	5/20/99	6/17/99	FERC approves an order on the GMC. FERC: (1) accepts the filing effective July 1, 1999, to extend the GMC to 12/31/2000; (2) initiates a 206 investigation of the filing, effective 60 days after notice is published; (3) denies requests for hearings in light of fact that there is no unbunbling study or computer capabilities; and (4) makes the GMC for this period subject to the outcome of the GMC filing to become effective on January 1, 2001. In light of the decisions to put the case off to the next filing, the Commission rejects the surcharge request
Amendment No. 16	Cal ISO, ER99-	6/24/99	6/29/99	7/14/99	7/27/99	as premature.  Accepted for filing
Compliance filing  Amendment No. 17	2730-001 Cal ISO,ER99- 3289-000	6/17/99	6/22/99	7/7/99	8/16/99	Order issued 8/16/99 – The Commission conditionally accepted Amendment No. 17. The revisions concerned: (1) an extension of the current payment calendar; (2) a pro forma PLA; (3) a revised outage coordination protocol; (4) the recovery of WSCC fines; (5) the recovery of costs for communications services; (6) REPA allocation; (7) dispatch instructions; and (8) the broadening of financial instruments with which Scheduling Coordinators can establish their creditworthiness. The ISO is to complete an evaluation of its payment calendar.
Amendment No. 17 Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER99- 3289	9/15/99	9/20/99	10/5/99	10/15/99 and 10/27/99	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 18- Intra-zonal congestion management	Cal ISO, ER99- 3301-000	6/18/99	6/21/99	7/1/99	7/30/99	Accepted for filing as modified, 88 FERC ¶ 61,146
Amendment No. 18 Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER99- 3301-001	8/13/99	8/18/99	9/2/99	10/15/99	Commission conditionally accepts compliance filing - ISO directed to modify the tariff to include the operating procedure used to manage intra-zonal congestion.
Amendment No. 18 Compliance filing	CAL ISO, ER99- 3301-003	11/15/99	11/22/99	12/3/99	1/13/00	Accepted for filing (90 FERC ¶ 61,025)

Amonda and Mr. 10	Cal ICO EDOO	6/22/00	6/20/00	7/12/00	0/15/00 0	Order issued 0/15/00. The Commission rejected the
Amendment No. 19 - New Generator Interconnection	Cal ISO,ER99- 3339-000	6/23/99	6/28/99 7/9/99	7/13/99 7/27/99	9/15/99 & 1/31/00	Order issued 9/15/99 – The Commission rejected the proposed Amendment No. 19 to the ISO Tariff regarding the new generation interconnection policy. The California ISO was directed to reconvene its stakeholder process to redesign its new generation interconnection policy. The Commission granted the ISO's request for an extension of time to file a report evaluating zone creation.  Order issued 1/31/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,086) the Commission denied the ISO's request for rehearing concerning the new generator interconnection policy. As to Duke's request that the Commission clarify its stance on the costs for which new generators are responsible, the Commission stated that the September 15 Order intended neither to create nor reject any principles of cost allocation. Moreover, the Commission stated that it has never established the "principle" that Duke posits, <i>i.e.</i> , that new generators must be responsible for the additional redispatch costs incurred by existing generators as a result of increased congestion.
Supplemental Bylaw filings	Cal ISO, EC96-19- 047 and ER96- 1663-049	7/8/99 7/16/99	7/15/99 7/21/99	8/9/99		- congestion:
Bylaw compliance filing	Cal Electric Oversight Board; EL99-75-003	1/31/00	2/9/00	3/1/00	5/12/00	91 FERC ¶ 61,124 - The Commission accepts amended bylaws filed 1/31/00 to conform with SB 96.
Bylaw compliance filing	Cal Electric Oversight Board; EL99-75-003	3/20/00	4/13/00	4/24/00		
Amendment No. 20- Rational Buyer technical corrections	Cal ISO, ER99- 3879-000	7/30/99	8/4/99	8/19/99	9/1/99	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 21 Price Caps	Cal ISO, ER99- 4462-000	9/17/99	9/22/99 & 10/6/99	10/7/99 extended to 10/14/99	11/12/99	FERC accepts extension of price cap authority until 11/15/00

	T	1		_	ı	Last Revised. May 1, 2001
Amendment No. 22 - Quarterly filing	Cal ISO, ER99- 4545-000	9/27/99	10/4/99	10/15/99	11/24/99 3/29/00	FERC: (1) approved creation of a new congestion management zone south of transmission Path 15; (2) found the ISO's proposed FTR registration requirements to be reasonable; (3) accepted the ISO's proposed method of establishing the seed price for FTRs in new zones; (4) authorized the ISO to allocate the costs of generating units that are not within the service area of a PTO but which are designated as RMR units to the PTO whose service areas are contiguous to the designated unit (subject to a separate section 205 rate filing); and (5) accepted the ETC and FTR template proposals. FERC denied the request that the ISO be required to verify that its schedules, as submitted, properly reflect the FTR holdings of individual market participants. FERC directs the ISO to submit in a compliance filing revised Tariff provisions indicating that certain information on FTR sales and resales that will be posted on the Home Page. The Commission also accepted on a prospective basis proposed Tariff changes to modify the ISO's method of calculating transmission losses and to modify the ISO's method for allocating transmission losses for imbalance energy and unaccounted for energy to utility distribution companies. Finally, FERC approved the ISO's proposals: (1) to provide market participants with a mechanism to dispute new or modified charges or credits that appear for the first time on final settlement statements and (2) to modify Tariff revisions regarding the allocation of awards payable to or from the ISO pursuant to good faith negotiations and/or the ADR process.  3/29/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,315). On rehearing, the Commission: (1) reiterated that the proposed assignment of RMR costs was appropriate; (2) noted that recovery of RMR costs is through a formula rate and therefore no purpose would be served by filing each contract the ISO enters into with a non-jurisdictional entity; and (3) denied PG&E's
						therefore no purpose would be served by filing each contract the ISO
Amendment No. 22 - market notice	Cal ISO, ER4545- 001	12/7/99	12/13/99	12/27/99	1/7/00	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 22 - Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER99- 4545-003	12/22/99	12/28/99	1/11/99	3/29/00	90 FERC ¶ 61,315, Accepted

	T = 1 == == 1		T		T	Last Revised. Way 1, 2001
Amendment No. 23	Cal ISO, ER00-	11/10/99	11/19/99	12/3/99	1/7/00	Order issued 1/7/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,006) - the
	555-000		&			Commission accepts in part and rejects in part
			11/24/99		4/12/00	Amendment No. 23. The Commission rejected the
						ISO's proposal to expand out-of-market authority to
						situations in which generators had submitted bids but the
						ISO determined that the markets for such bids were not
						competitive. FERC found the existing intra-zonal
						congestion management approach "fundamentally
						flawed" and in need of being "overhauled or replaced."
						FERC accepted the proposed changes in the payment
						calculation for out of market calls and the allocation of
						the costs of ISO dispatch orders to manage intra-zonal
						congestion.
						Order Issued 4/12/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,026) the
						Commission denies requests for rehearing and
						clarification of ISO, Dynegy and SoCal Edison. FERC
						rejects the ISO's request to pay generators OOm rate
						instead of bid price during unusual system conditions
						such as an outage of a transmission line or an RMR
						facility.
Amendment No. 23 -	Cal ISO; ER00-	2/7/00	2/10/00	2/28/00	7/3/00	92 FERC ¶ 61,004. Accepted.
Compliance filing	555-002					
GMC informational	Cal ISO, ER00-	12/15/99	12/22/99	1/7/00	2/25/00	90 FERC ¶ 61,196. Accepted.
filing	800-000					1 / 1
Amendment No. 24 -	Cal ISO, ER00-866	12/21/99	12/27/99	1/20/99	3/30/00	90 FERC 61,337. Request to withdraw Amendment No.
Long Term Grid	0.00 100 000	12/21/99	& 1/5/00	1,20,55	2,20,00	24 and terminate proceedings is granted
Planning			<b>&amp;</b> 1/3/00			21 and terminate proceedings is granted
Amendment No. 25 -	Cal ISO; ER00-	1/27/00	2/1/00	2/17/00	3/29/00	90 FERC ¶ 61,316. Conditionally accepted
Regulation, outage	1239-000	1/2//00	2/1/00	2/17/00	3/2//00	70 TERE   01,510. Conditionally accepted
cancellation,	1239-000					Proceeding Detail Assessed 29, 2000 (02 EEDC (1.176) the
						By order Dated August 28, 2000 (92 FERC 61,176) the
publication of bid						Commission decided to take no action on WAPA's
data, payment						request for rehearing - it is thus deemed denied
calendar, FTR						
implementation,						
RMR allocation, and						
transmission derates						
Amendment No. 25	Cal ISO; ER00-	4/28/00	5/9/00	5/19/00	6/30/00	91 FERC ¶ 61,341. Accepted with one additional
Compliance filing	1239-001					required modification due in 30 days.
					8/28/00	92 FERC ¶ 61,176. Denies WAPA's rehearing.
Amendment No. 25	Cal ISO; ER00-	7/31/00	8/4/00	8/21/00	9/21/00	Accepted for filing
	1239-004	1/31/00	0/4/00	0/21/00	9/21/00	Accepted for fiffing
Compliance filing	1439-004					

	T	1		1		Last Revised: May 1, 2001
Amendment No. 26 -	Cal ISO; ER00-	1/28/00	2/4/00	2/18/00	3/31/00	90 FERC ¶ 61,345. Commission conditionally approves
Pre-Dispatch	1365-000					on an interim basis.
Amendment No. 26	Cal ISO; ER00-	5/1/00	5/10/00	5/22/00		
Compliance filing	1365-001					
Amendment No. 27 - transmission Access Charge	Cal ISO; ER00- 2019	3/31/00	4/7/00	4/21/00	5/31/00	91 FERC ¶ 61,205 - The Commission conditionally accepted the filing effective 6/1/00, subject to hearing. FERC also ordered that settlement judge procedures be initiated. The Commission "concur[red] with the ISO's objectives of creating an equitable balance of costs and benefits among the various affected classes of stakeholders and the treatment of all Participating TOs on the same basis" and endorsed the two-tiered rate approach and supported the ISO's proposed TAC Areas. The Commission expressed concern, however, about the possibility of a different transition period for LADWP. The Commission recognized that some transition period may be appropriate in order to mitigate costs shifts and that a cap on cost shifts to the customers of the Original PTOs during that period may also be appropriate and directed that the record should be further developed. The Commission rejected the proposed "buy down" provision as unsupported, potentially discriminatory, and inconsistent with Order No. 2000. With regard to the Revenue Review Panel, the Commission determined that FERC must be able to determine whether the ISO's pass-through of the costs associated with non-public utilities to the ISO's customers is just and reasonable. FERC generally found the ISO's proposed treatment of FTRs, including the proposal to limit the term of FTRs given to new Participating TOs, to be reasonable. FERC stated that the problem of phantom congestion represented "a market inefficiency that must be addressed and rectified as quickly as possible. The Commission also generally agreed with the criteria used by the ISO to support the proposed gross load exemptions for existing QF and cogeneration facilities, but stated that the "record should be further developed to demonstrate that the criteria are applied in a non-discriminatory manner. The Commission encouraged the ISO and governmental entities to continue their ongoing negotiations before a settlement judge on Metered Subsytems. The Commission also noted that the question of whether MSS statu

		1			1	Last Reviseu. May 1, 2001
Amendment No. 27 -	Cal ISO; ER00-	8/3/00	8/8/00	8/24/00	10/27/00	Order issued 10/27/00 (93 FERC ¶ 61,104) - the
Compliance filing	2019-002					Commission accepts the ISO's Access Charge
					2/21/01	compliance filing related to the deletion of the "buy
						down" provisions and inclusion of a right of
						governmental entities to file their revenue requirements
						directly with FERC. Order issued February 21, 2001
						(94 FERC ¶ 61,148), the Commission denied rehearing
						requests of the Order approving the ISO's Amendment
						No. 27 compliance filing. The Commission also denied
						rehearing requests regarding Vernon's transmission
						revenue requirement in Docket No. EL00-105-002.
Amendment No. 28 -	Cal ISO; ER00-	4/14/00	4/19/00	5/5/00	6/14/00	91 FERC ¶ 61,256. Conditionally accepted
cost recovery for	2208-000					
Load pilot					4/12/01	Order issued April 12, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,037), the
						Commission denies the requests for rehearing of
						Cities/M-S-R, MWD, MID, and TANC concerning the
						Commission order conditionally accepting Amendment
						No. 28 (91 FERC ¶ 61,256), but grants requests for
						clarification concerning the Amendment No. 28 order.
Compliance filing	Cal ISO; ER00-	7/14/00	7/19/00	8/4/00	4/24/01	Accepted for filing
Compliance ming		//14/00	//19/00	8/4/00	4/24/01	Accepted for filling
	2208-002	<b>7/2</b> /00	<b>7</b> /1 0 /0 0	5 /22 /20	5/20/00	
Amendment No. 29 -	Cal ISO; ER00-	5/2/00	5/10/00	5/23/00	6/29/00	91 FERC ¶ 61,324 - the Commission conditionally
10 minute settlement;	2383-000					accepts Amendment No. 29's proposals regarding
Inter- SC trades of						(1) ten-minute markets for Imbalance Energy; (2)
Adj. bids; automated						adjustment bids for inter-Scheduling Coordinator
dispatch; expand						
MSC; and SC						trades; (3) Scheduling Coordinator financial
financial security						requirements; (4) the automation of dispatch
Interior security						instructions; and (5) expanding the number of
						members on the Market Surveillance Committee.
Notice of	Cal ISO; ER00-	8/23/00	8/28/00	9/13/00		
Implementation 10-	2383	0/23/00	3/20/00	7/13/00		
	2303					
minute markets	C LICO EDOS	7/21/00	0/2/00	0/01/00		
Amendment No. 29	Cal ISO; ER00-	7/31/00	8/3/00	8/21/00		
Compliance	2383-001		1			
Amendment No. 30 -	Originally Cal ISO;	9/11/00	9/13/00	10/2/00	12/15/00	Order issued December 15, 2000 (93 FERC ¶ 61,294);
Forward contracts	ER00-3636					accepted
	Redesignated as	10/5/00				
	EL00-95-002 and					
	EL00-98-002					
Amendment No. 31 -	Cal ISO; ER00-	9/14/00	9/18/00	10/5/00		
Price caps	3673	7,2.,00	2, 23, 00	10,0,00		
Trice cups	3073					

						Last Revised. Way 1, 2001
Amendment No. 32 -	Cal ISO; ER01-	11/1/00	11/6/00	11/22/00	12/29/00	93 FERC ¶ 61,337 - the Commission accepts the
GMC filing	313-000					unbundled GMC for filing to be effective 1/1/01 subject
					2/23/01	to refund and set ir for hearing.
						94 FERC ¶ 61,201 - the Commission denies rehearing of
						December 29, 2000 GMC order. In particular, the
						Commission refused to summarily decide that NCPA
						was exempt from GMC pass through from PG&E.
GMC Phase II	Cal ISO; ER01-	12/15/00	12/20/00	1/5/00		
informational filing	313-001					
Vernon 203	Cal ISO; EC01-14-	11/1/00	11/15/00	11/22/00	1/9/00	94 FERC ¶ 62,016 - Authorized upon the terms and
application	000		1		2,3,00	conditions and for the purposes set forth in the
Tr						application
Amendment No. 33;	Cal ISO; ER01-	12/8/00			12/8/00	93 FERC ¶ 61,239 - the Commission accepted
FERC Soft cap	607-000	12, 0, 00			12,0,00	Amendment No. 33 implementing the "soft cap"
1 Little Boil cup	007 000					proposed in the Commission's November 1, 2000 Order
						at \$250/MWh on an emergency basis.
GMC Phase II	Cal ISO; ER01-313	12/15/00	12/20/00	1/5/01		at \$250/141411 on an emergency basis.
Informational filing	Car iso, Ekor-sis	12/13/00	12/20/00	1/3/01		
Amendment No. 34 -	Cal ISO; ER01-	12/28/00	1/5/01	1/19/01	2/21/01	94 FERC ¶ 61,147 - the Commission accepted
Access Charge	819-000	12/28/00	1/3/01	1/19/01	2/21/01	Amendment No. 34, set if for hearing and consolidated
revisions	819-000					
Tevisions						it with the ongoing settlement judge proceedings involving the transmission Access Charge ("TAC").
						The Commission also set for a separate hearing certain
						issues involving the three IOU TAC implementation
						filings.

						East Revised. Way 1, 2001
Amendment No. 35 - Semi-Annual	Cal ISO; ER01- 836-000	12/29/00	1/5/01	1/19/01	3/14/01	94 FERC ¶ 61,266, FERC conditionally accepted Amendment No. 35. FERC "accept[ed] the ISO's Tariff modifications to permit small distributed generation to participate in the ISO's markets." "In order for a generator to participate in the ISO's markets, a generator needed to provide telemetry and direct control equipment such that the ISO could direct the operation of the generator as necessary to maintain the reliability of the gridIt is reasonable to extend this requirement." FERC found that Grid Service's "argument that the Commission does not have jurisdiction to address distributed generation are without merit. Sales for resale by a distributed generator and the transmission of electric energy in interstate commerce (including unbundled retail transmission) are subject to the Commission's jurisdiction." FERC also accepted the changes to implement the WSCC reliability criteria, annual charges, meter data submission deadline, the neutrality cap, and no pay exemption for load. With respect to the proposed RMR pre-dispatch change, the Commission found that the proposal to permit mixed elections within the same hour needlessly adds complexity to the RMR settlement process and is rejected.
Amendment No. 35 Compliance filing	Cal ISO; ER01-836	4/13/01	4/18/01	5/4/01		
Compliance filing 12/15/00 Order	San Diego, et al.; EL00-95	1/2/01	1/5/01	1/23/01		
Staff Market Monitoring Proposal	San Diego, et al.; EL00-95-012	3/9/01	3/9/01	3/22/01		

A NI 26	C-1 ICO, ED01	1/4/00	1/0/01	1/05/01	2/14/01	Onder in the Language 14, 2001 (04 FERC ¶ (1, 122), 41.
Amendment No. 36 -	Cal ISO; ER01-	1/4/00	1/9/01	1/25/01	2/14/01	Order issued February 14, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,132), the
Creditworthiness	889-000	1/12/01	1/18/01	2/2/01		Commission conditionally accepts Amendment No. 36.
					4/6/01	FERC found that it was appropriate to allow SoCal
						Edison and PG&E to continue to schedule their own
						resources, but denied the ISO proposal to relax
						creditworthiness standards to the extent they affect third-
						party suppliers. FERC also ordered the ISO to submit a
						compliance filing to incorporate provisions for an
						acceptable for of credit support that provides adequate
						assurance of payment. FERC and denied Dynegy's
						request to modify the payment calendar. Finally, FERC
						continued to recognize the ISO's authority in the event
						of a bid insufficiency to issue emergency dispatch
						instructions.
						Order issued April 6, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,026), the
						Commission issues an order stating that its February 14,
						2001 creditworthiness order (94 FERC ¶ 61,132)
						required the ISO to obtain creditworthy backing for all
						transactions not just all schedules.
Compliance filing	Cal ISO; ER01-	3/1/01	3/7/01	3/22/01		in the second se
Compliance ming	889-001	3/1/01	3/ //01	3/22/01		
RTO Filing	Cal ISO; RT01-85-	1/16/00	6/4/01	6/19/01		
KTOTHING	000	1/10/00	0/4/01	0/15/01		
	000	6/1/01				
Amendment No. 37 -	Cal ISO; ER01-	1/18/01	1/23/01	2/8/01	3/14/01	94 FERC ¶ 61,265, the Commission accepts
Predispach	991-000	1/10/01	1/23/01	2/0/01	3/14/01	Amendment No. 37.
Market Monitoring	SDG&E, et al.,					Established on 1/25/01 following 1/23/01 technical
sub-docket	EL00-95-012					conference
		2/20/01	2/22/01	4/10/01	+	CONTENENCE
Amendment No. 38 -	Cal ISO; ER01-	3/20/01	3/23/01	4/10/01		
BEEP Split and	1579	3/29/01				
Underscheduling	G 1700 TT 00	1.0.10.1				
Amendment No. 39 -	Cal ISO; EL00-95	4/2/01				
New facilities						
interconnection						
Bylaw Amendment	Cal ISO	4/26/01				

#### B. Reliability and Must Run Agreements

		]	RELIABILIT	Y AND MUS	T RUN AGRE	EEMENTS
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
	SDG&E ER98-496-000; ER98-2160-000	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97	Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
Amendment	SDG&E ER98-2160	3/11/98			5/1/98, 83 FERC P 61,113	Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
Amendment	SDG&E ER98- 4500	9/9/98		9/29/98		Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
	PG&E ER98-495-000	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97 6/7/00	Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
						Initial Decision issued 6/7/00 (91 FERC ¶ 63,008 - concerning the fixed-cost compensation and the ISO's share of the costs of capital items and repairs to be paid under Condition 1 of the RMR Contracts for Southern Energy Delta and Southern Energy Potrero. The ISO, the Participating TOs, the CPUC, and the EOB, and the FERC Staff took the position that the RMR Owners should receive a "Fixed Option Payment" ("FOP") equivalent to the incremental costs attributable to RMR service (which included any amount by which going forward costs exceeded market revenues), net of any incremental revenues attributable to RMR service. These parties (except the CPUC) also advocated an additional incentive payment. The CPUC opposed an incentive payment. Southern Parties asserted entitlement to a percentage of total fixed costs (including sunk costs) based on (1) the hours that the units must be available to the ISO as a percentage of total available hours and (2) the hours that the ISO has called upon the unit as a percentage of total operating hours. The Presiding Judge concluded that because RMR obligations are simply contractual mechanisms enabling generators with potential local market power to participate in competitive markets, compensation for RMR unit availability should neither unnecessarily advantage nor unnecessarily disadvantage RMR unit participation. He found that the net incremental cost

•			•	•		Last Reviseu. May 1, 2001
	SCE ER98-441-000 Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97 2/25/98	compensation proposal achieved these objectives and does not deprive the RMR owner of any significant opportunity to participate in the competitive markets or to maximize the financial rewards. The Presiding Judge also found that none of the proposed incentive payments was appropriate.  Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.  Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural
	2668, 4300, and 1127					schedule set for remaining issues.
	Duke Energy Oakland; ER98- 2669, 4296, and 1128					Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
Compliance Report	Duke; ER98-441, et al.	7/30/99	8/4/99	8/19/99		
	ISO Must Run Selection EC96-19-012, ER96-1663-013	12/12/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	3/11/98	The initial RMR Unit selection, as amended, is accepted for filing. ISO shall post current listing of RMR Units on Home Page. The ISO shall file, for informational purposes, a summary of its long-term reliability requirement studies. If its long-term has not yet been completed within 30 days after the first year of operations then the ISO shall file, for informational purposes, a preliminary report summarizing and updating its reliability needs.  Order issued 10/2/00 (93 FERC ¶ 61,001) - the Commission denied rehearing of the ISO's designation of Redondo Beach Generating station as an RMR unit
RMR 35 MVar/45 MW synchronous condenser	PG&E ER99- 3603-000	7/16/99	7/20/99	8/5/99	9/14/99; 88 FERC ¶ 61,213	Accepted for filing and consolidated with RMR proceeding  Offer of settlement filed 3/3/00 and certified as uncontested on 4/4/00 and accepted on 4/26/00, 91 FERC ¶ 61,092
	Duke - South Bay; ER00-435-000	11/1/99	11/9/99	11/19/99	1/28/00	Accepted for filing
	Duke - Moss Landing; ER00- 436-000	11/1/99	11/9/99	11/19/99	12/1/99	Accepted for filing
	Duke - Oakland; ER00-437-000	11/1/99	11/9/99	11/19/99	12/1/99	Accepted for filing
	PG&E ER00-462- 000	11/3/99	11/12/99	11/23/99	12/6/99	Accepted for filing

	T		1		1	Last Revised. May 1, 2001
	PG&E ER00-871- 000	12/22/99	12/28/99	1/11/00	1/28/00	Accepted for filing
	Geysers Power; ER00-894-000	12/22/99	12/28/99	1/11/00	2/9/00	Order issued 2/9/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,113) the Commission accepted the revised RMR agreement with Geysers Power. The Commission agreed with the request by PG&E and the ISO to reject the proposed revisions to the maximum net dependable capacity values
	Southern Energy Delta; ER00-936- 00	12/29/99	1/5/00	1/18/00	2/23/00 3/15/01	Letter order issued 2/23/00, the Commission accepts Southern Energy Delta and Southern Energy Protrero's revised RMR agreements. FERC upheld the protest of the ISO, PG&E and the EOB to the revisions under Schedule A.
						Offer of settlement filed $9/28/00$ . Accepted by letter order dated $3/15/01$ (94 FERC ¶ 61,275)
	Southern Energy Potrero; ER00-937- 000	12/29/99	1/5/00	1/18/00	2/23/00	Letter order issued 2/23/00, the Commission accepts Southern Energy Delta and Southern Energy Protrero's revised RMR agreements. FERC upheld the protest of the ISO, PG&E and the EOB to the revisions under Schedule A.
	NCPA; ER00- 2274-000	4/24/00	4/28/00	5/15/00	6/15/00	91 FERC ¶ 61,267 - Accepted for filing Service Agreement No. 298 (PGA) and 299 (MSA)
Recovery of Pre-Dispatch	Southern Energy Delta; ER01-147			11/8/00	12/14/00	Order issued December 14, 2000 (93 FERC ¶ 61,265), the Commission rejects proposed amendments from Southern Energy to add a formula to recover alleged forgone revenues from Amendment No. 26
	PG&E ER01-460- 000	11/5/00 12/4/00	11/21/00 12/7/00	12/6/00 12/26/00	1/18/01	Accepted for filing
	PG&E ER01-684- 000	12/15/00	12/21/00	1/5/00		
	PG&E ER01- 1744-000	4/6/01	4/11/01	4/27/01		
	Williams; ER01- 511-000	11/27/00	11/30/00	12/18/00	1/18/01	Accepted for filing
	Cabrillo Power; ER01-1173-000	2/5/01	2/8/01	2/26/01	3/20/01	Accepted for filing

#### C. Transmission and Distribution Access Rates

		TRANSM	IISSION AN	ND DISTRII	BUTION AC	CESS RATES
	Company &	Date	Date	Interv.	FERC	
Description	Docket	Filed	Noticed	Due	Orders	Status
-	PG&E ER97-2358-000	3/31/97	4/7/97	6/6/97	12/17/97	Offer of Settlement filed 4/14/99 as corrected 4/30/99 certified to the Commission by Order dated 5/20/99
TO 3	PG&E ER99-2326-000	3/31/99	4/5/99	4/20/99	5/27/99	Accepted for filing subject to refund. Hearing established.
					3/16/01	On 11/8/99, PG&E filed an Offer of Settlement covering wholesale transmission rate issues. This was certified to the Commission as an uncontested settlement on 12/9/99 and accepted on 4/26/00, 91 FERC ¶ 61,090.
						Order issued March 16, 2001, 94 FERC ¶ 61,306, the Commission dismissed the CPUC's rehearing request that FERC was wrong to measure PG&E's proposed TO3 rates against the currently effective TO2 rates rather than the TO2 settlement rates for purposes of determining whether suspension was appropriate.
Refund report	PG&E ER99-2326- 004	4/27/00	5/3/00	5/18/00		
TO 4	PG&E ER99-4323- 000	9/1/99	9/9/99	9/20/99	10/27/99	89 FERC ¶ 61,081 - Commission accepts PG&E TO 4 Tariff for filing suspends the rate for five months until 4/1/00 and establishes a hearing.
						Uncontested Offer of Settlement certified to the Commission on 7/7/00; accepted by letter order dated 9/15/00 (92 FERC ¶ 61,224)
TO 4 Compliance	PG&E ER99-4323- 001	12/7/00	12/12/00	12/28/00	2/2/01	Accepted for filing
TO 5	PG&E ER01-66- 000	10/6/00	10/12/00	10/27/00	11/22/00	Order issued November 22, 2000 (93 FERC ¶ 61,207) the Commission accepts for filing, suspends for five months and sets for hearing PG&E's proposed TO 5 rate.
FTR Implementation	PG&E ER99-3500- 000	7/6/99	7/12/99	7/26/99	9/3/99 88 FERC ¶ 61,208	Accepted for filing
GMC Pass-Through	PG&E ER00-708- 000	12/1/99	12/9/99	12/21/99	1/6/00	Accepted for filing
Out-of-market reliability calls	PG&E ER00-851- 000	12/20/99	12/27/99	1/7/00	2/9/00	Order issued 2/9/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,118). The Commission accepts for filing the proposed revisions to the TO Tariffs

		_	,			Last Revised. May 1, 2001
						of SCE, PG&E, and SDG&E for pass through of out-of-market dispatch orders. The Commission set the matter for hearing, subject to refund, the issue of whether the costs should be passed through the TRBAA and whether part of the costs should be allocated to ETC customers.
					10/12/00	Offer of settlement approved by letter order issued 10/12/00 (93 FERC ¶ 61,038)
Compliance filing	PG&E ER01-851- 002	1/11/01	1/17/01	2/1/01	3/6/01	Accepted for filing
GMC Pass-Through	PG&E ER01-424	11/13/00 12/26/00	11/17/00 1/4/01	12/4/01 1/17/01	12/29/00 2/23/01	Order issued December 29, 2000 (93 FERC ¶ 61,337), the Commission accepts the unbundled GMC for filing to be effective 1/1/01 subject to refund and set ir for hearing.  Order issued February 23, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,201), the Commission denies rehearing of December 29, 2000 GMC order. In particular, the Commission refused to summarily decide that NCPA was exempt from GMC pass through from PG&E.
TRBA rate in TO Tariff and Reliability Services rate	PG&E ER01-783- 000	12/26/00	1/4/01	1/17/01	2/21/01	Order issued February 21, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,140), the Commission conditionally accepts proposed annual revision to PG&E's Reliability Services Rates under its Reliability Services Tariff subject to refund and the outcome of Docket No. ER00-2360-000.
TAC implementation	PG&E ER01-839- 000	12/29/00	1/5/01	1/19/01	2/21/01	Order issued February 21, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,147), the Commission accepted Amendment No. 34, set if for hearing and consolidated it with the ongoing settlement judge proceedings involving the transmission Access Charge ("TAC"). The Commission also set for a separate hearing certain issues involving the three IOU TAC implementation filings.
Correction	PG&E ER01-839- 001	3/7/01	3/12/01	3/28/01		
12/15/01 Compliance filing						
	SCE ER97-2355-000	3/31/97	4/7/97	6/6/97	12/17/97 7/26/00	Initial Decision issued 3/31/99  92 FERC ¶ 61,070 - the Commission issues Opinion No. 445 on Southern California Edison's TO Tariff rates. FERC reverses the ALJ decision in three areas: customer credits, ROE, and rates for SCE's sales of Ancillary Services. With regard to credits for non-participating TO transmission facilities, FERC states that in order for the

		1	1	1	1	Last Revised. Way 1, 2001
						municipals to qualify for credits they must join the ISO. On
						ROE, FERC rejected the methodology it applies to gas
						pipelines in favor of a one-step constant growth DCF
						methodology. FERC also accepted SCE's proposed bid
						caps for its Ancillary Service sales prior to divestiture.
						Rehearing requests are pending.
FTR	SCE; ER99-3501-	7/6/99	7/12/99	7/26/99	9/3/99 88	Accepted for filing
Implementation	000				FERC ¶	
1					61,208	
Out-of Market calls	SCE; ER00-845-000				2/9/00	Order issued 2/9/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,118). The Commission
	,					accepts for filing the proposed revisions to the TO Tariffs
						of SCE, PG&E, and SDG&E for pass through of out-of-
						market dispatch orders. The Commission set the matter for
						hearing, subject to refund, the issue of whether the costs
						should be passed through the TRBAA and whether part of
						the costs should be allocated to ETC customers.
Scheduling and	SCE; ER01-314	11/1/00	11/7/00	11/27/00	12/29/00	Accepted and set for hearing, 93 FERC ¶ 61,320. Offer of
dispatching service	,				4/25/01	Settlement accepted by letter order dated 4/25/01 (95 FEC.
8						¶ 61,102).
Reliability Services	SCE; ER01-315	11/1/00	11/7/00	11/27/00	12/29/00	Accepted and set for hearing, 93 FERC ¶ 61,334
TRBAA adjustment	SCE; ER01-761-000	12/22/00	1/3/01	1/12/00	2/21/01	Accepted for filing
Compliance filing	SCE; ER01-761-001	3/20/01	3/23/01	4/10/01	4/24/01	Accepted for filing
TAC	SCE; ER01-832	12/29/00	1/5/01	1/19/01	2/21/01	Order issued February 21, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,147), the
implementation						Commission accepted Amendment No. 34, set if for hearing
						and consolidated it with the ongoing settlement judge
						proceedings involving the transmission Access Charge
						("TAC"). The Commission also set for a separate hearing
						certain issues involving the three IOU TAC implementation
						filings.
12/15/01	SCE; EL01-95-011	1/8/01	1/11/01	2/1/01		
Compliance filing						
12/15/01	SCE; EL00-95-025	4/4/01	4/9/01	4/25/01		
Interconnection						
Compliance filing						
	SDG&E	3/31/97	4/7/97	6/6/97	12/17/97	Offer of Settlement approved by the Commission by letter
	ER97-2364-000;			9/24/97		order dated 3/12/99, 86 FERC ¶ 61,265.
	ER97-4235-000;			11/20/97		u ·
Refund Report	1	8/9/99	8/18/99	8/30/99	9/10/99	Accepted for filing
- F	I	1	]			

						Last Revised: May 1, 2001
Recovery from end- use customers of	SDG&E ER99-2762-000	4/30/99	5/6/99	5/20/99	7/2/99; 88 FERC ¶	Accepted for filing
RMR charges					61,017	
FTR	SDG&E ER99-	7/6/99	7/12/99	7/26/99	9/3/99 88	Accepted for filing
Implementation	3496-000	17077	7/12/99	1/20/55	FERC ¶ 61,208	recepted for filling
Out-of market reliability calls	SDG&E ER00-860- 000	12/21/99	12/27/99	1/10/99	2/9/00	Order issued 2/9/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,118). The Commission accepts for filing the proposed revisions to the TO Tariffs of SCE, PG&E, and SDG&E for pass through of out-of-market dispatch orders. The Commission set the matter for hearing, subject to refund, the issue of whether the costs should be passed through the TRBAA and whether part of the costs should be allocated to ETC customers.
RMR and OOM pass-through	SDG&E ER01-322- 000	11/1/00			12/29/00 2/23/01	Order issued December 29, 2000 (93 FERC ¶ 61,333), the Commission accepted for filing SDG&E's RMR and OOM requirements in it TO Tariff. The Commission rejected the position of the CPUC that wheeling customers be subject to these payments stating that they were incurred to ensure local reliable operation and that wheeling customers may be subject to similar payments where their own load was located.  Order issued February 23, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,200), the
						Commission disagreed with the CPUC's rehearing request to charge costs of RMR units in San Diego to wheeling out customers.
refund report	SDG&E ER01-322- 001	1/24/01	1/29/01	2/14/01	3/7/01	Accepted for filing
TRBA Adjustment	SDG&E ER01-844	12/28/00	1/5/00	1/19/00	2/21/01	Accepted for filing
TAC implementation	SDG&E ER01-831- 000	12/29/00	1/5/01	1/19/01	2/21/01	Order issued February 21, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,147), the Commission accepted Amendment No. 34, set if for hearing and consolidated it with the ongoing settlement judge proceedings involving the transmission Access Charge ("TAC"). The Commission also set for a separate hearing certain issues involving the three IOU TAC implementation filings.
12/15/01 Order Interconnection Compliance filing	SDG&E EL00-95- 022	4/2/01	4/9/01	4/23/01		

Vernon	Vernon; EL00-105-	8/30/00	9/5/00	9/29/00	10/27/00	Order issued 10/27/00 (93 FERC ¶ 61,103) - the
Transmission	000					Commission conditionally accepts Vernon's proposed
revenue requirement					2/21/01	transmission revenue requirement and Transmission Owner
at TO Tariff request						Tariff.
for declaratory order						
						Order issued February 21, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,148), the
						Commission denied rehearing requests of the Order
						approving the ISO's Amendment No. 27 compliance filing.
						The Commission also denied rehearing requests regarding
						Vernon's transmission revenue requirement in Docket No.
						EL00-105-002.
Compliance filing	Vernon; EL00-105-	11/9/00	11/20/00	12/8/00	3/28/01	Order issued March 28, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,344) accepting
	001					Vernon's compliance filing regarding its TRR, and
						rejecting Vernon's compliance filing regarding its TO
						Tariff, both of which were submitted to comply with the
						Commission's October 27, 2000 order (93 FERC ¶ 61,103).

# D. Utility Pass-Through of GMC and PX Charge

		UTILI	TY PASS-TI	HROUGH O	F GMC AND P	PX CHARGE
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
	PG&E, ER98-556-000 ER98-557-000	10/31/97	11/20/97	12/4/97	6/1/98	Consolidated with ER98-211-000. Offer of settlement approved 6/1/98 for 1998 GMC. PG&E and SCE have filed revised tariff sheets to implement the settlement. FERC noticed SCE and PG&E compliance filings on 7/7/98 with comments due on 7/21/98. Order accepting the compliance filing in ER98-556-004 issued August 5, 1998, 84 FERC ¶ 61,164 and October 2, 1998, 85 FERC ¶ 61,015
Extension request to collect pass- through of GMC	PG&E, ER99- 418-000	10/29/98	11/6/98	11/19/98	12/23/98	Accepted for filing subject to refund. PG&E may not pass through GMC to TANC or Santa Clara for transactions under SOTP and Grizzly Amendments
Extension request to collect pass-through of GMC	PG&E ER99- 1035; EL99-34- 000			1/12/99	2/10/99	Accepted for filing to be effective 1/1/99 subject to refund
Continued pass- through to wholesale customers GMC	PG&E ER99- 2884-000	5/10/99	5/14/99	5/28/99	7/20/99	Accepted for filing, PG&E must submit revised tariff reference
Administrative revisions	PG&E ER99- 2884-001	7/13/99		8/4/99	9/17/99; 88 FERC ¶ 61,243	Accepted for filing
Modify GMC collection	PG&E ER99- 4471-00	9/20/99	9/23/99	10/8/99	10/21/99	Accepted for filing
	SCE, ER98-462-000	10/31/97	11/20/97	12/4/97	6/1/98	Consolidated with ER98-211-000. Offer of settlement approved 6/1/98 for 1998 GMC. PG&E and SCE have filed revised tariff sheets to implement the settlement. FERC noticed SCE and PG&E compliance filings on 7/7/98 with comments due on 7/21/98. Order accepting the compliance filing in ER98-556-004 issued August 5, 1998, 84 FERC ¶ 61,164 and October 2, 1998, 85 FERC ¶ 61,015

### E. UDC Agreements

			UD	C AGREEN	IENTS	
	Company & Docket	Date	Date	Interv.	FERC	
Description		Filed	Noticed	Due	Orders	Status
	Anaheim Public Utilities Department ER98-1923-000 (Unilateral)	2/18/98	2/27/98	3/13/98	3/30/98 5/28/99	Letter order issued 5/28/99, 87 FRC ¶ 61,232, accepting UDC settlement. Compliance filing made 7/27/99.
	Anaheim (executed) ER98-1923-001	9/25/98	9/30/98	10/15/98	5/28/99	Letter order issued 5/28/99, 87 FRC ¶ 61,232, accepting UDC settlement. Compliance filing made 7/27/99.
Compliance filing	Anaheim	7/27/99	8/6/99	8/20/99		
	Lassen Municipal Utility District; ER00- 3279-000	7/27/00	8/1/00	8/17/00	9/13/00	Accepted for filing effective 7/1/00 as Service Agreement No. 333
	Pasadena; ER99-3619- 000	7/16/99	7/22/99	8/5/99	8/19/99	Accepted for filing, effective on the date of Pasadena's decertification of its control area
Amendment 1	Pasadena; ER01-967- 000	1/16/01 2/15/01	1/19/01 2/29/01	2/6/01 3/8/01	3/21/01	Accepted for filing
	PG&E ER98-899-000 (Executed)	12/2/97	12/9/97	1/5/98	2/25/98 5/28/99	Letter order issued 5/28/99, 87 FRC ¶ 61,232, accepting UDC settlement. Compliance filing due 8/2/99.
Compliance filing		8/2/99	8/6/99	8/20/99		
	SCE ER98-899-000 (Executed)	12/2/97	12/9/97	1/5/98	2/25/98 5/28/99	Letter order issued 5/28/99, 87 FRC ¶ 61,232, accepting UDC settlement. Compliance filing due 8/2/99.
Compliance filing		8/2/99	8/6/99	8/20/99		
	SDG&E ER98-899- 000 (Executed)	12/2/97	12/9/97	1/5/98	2/25/98 5/28/99	Letter order issued 5/28/99, 87 FRC ¶ 61,232, accepting UDC settlement. Compliance filing due 8/2/99.
Compliance filing	SDG&E	8/2/99	8/6/99	8/20/99		
	Vernon; ER01-871- 000	1/3/00	1/9/00	1/24/00	2/21/01	Order issued February 21, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,145), the Commission conditionally accepts UDC agreement with Vernon.
Compliance filing	Vernon; ER01-871- 001	3/23/01	3/28/01	4/13/01	4/27/01	Accepted for filing as Service Agreement No. 364

## F. Interim Black Start Agreements

	INTERIM BLACK START AGREEMENTS									
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status				
		12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98; 82 FERC P 61,180	Interim Black Start Agreement is accepted, as modified, for filing and suspended for a nominal period; late filed interventions are granted; public hearing to be held concerning the "justness" and "reasonableness" of the proposed agreement; proposed agreement is consolidated with the proceedings currently set for hearing in Docket No ER 98-441-000. Offer of Settlement filed on 6/15/98 with initial comments due 7/6/98 and reply comments due 7/16/98.				

# G. Inter-Control Area Operating Agreements

		INTE	R-CONTROI	L AREA OP		GREEMENTS
	Company &	Date	Date	Interv.	FERC	
Description	Docket	Filed	Noticed	Due	Orders	Status
	Arizona Public	12/10/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	Letter order issued 5/28/99, 87 FRC ¶ 61,231, approving
	Service, Inc					ICAOA settlement. Compliance filing due in 30 days.
	ER98-1028-000				5/28/99	
	(Executed)					
Compliance filing	APS; ER98-	7/28/99	8/2/99	8/17/99		
	1028-001					
	Commission	3/29/01	4/3/01	4/19/01	4/27/01	Accepted for filing as Rate Schedule No. 41, Original Sheet
	Federal De					Nos. 1-41.
	Electricidad;					
	ER01-1646-000					
	Dept. of Water &	4/9/98	4/15/98	4/29/98	5/29/98	Letter order issued 5/28/99, 87 FRC ¶ 61,231, approving
	Power, City of					ICAOA settlement. Compliance filing due in 30 days.
	Los Angeles				5/28/99	
	ER98-2499-000					
	(Executed)					
Compliance filing	LADWP; ER98-	7/28/99	8/2/99	8/17/99		
	2499-001					
Amendment	LADWP; ER00-	6/26/00	6/29/00	7/17/00	8/14/00	Service Agreement No. 327 under FERC Electric Tariff,
	2934-000					Original Volume No. 1 accepted for filing effective 6/22/00.
	Nevada Power;	4/25/00	4/28/00	5/16/00	6/23/00	Accepted for filing; Rate Schedule 40
	ER00-2292-000					
	PacifiCorp	12/10/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	Letter order issued 5/28/99, 87 FRC ¶ 61,231, approving
	ER98-1032-000				<b>5</b> 120 100	ICAOA settlement. Compliance filing due in 30 days.
G 11 G11	(Executed)	<b>5</b> / <b>2</b> 0 /0 0	0.12.100	0/45/00	5/28/99	
Compliance filing	PacifiCorp;	7/28/99	8/2/99	8/17/99		
	ER98-1032-001	10/10/07	10/02/07	1/16/00	2/25/00	1 1 15/20/00 07 FDC FLC1 221
	Sierra Pacific	12/10/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	Letter order issued 5/28/99, 87 FRC ¶ 61,231, approving
	ER98-1029-000 (Executed)				5/28/99	ICAOA settlement. Compliance filing due in 30 days.
C1' C1'		7/20/00	0/2/00	0/17/00	3/28/99	
Compliance filing	Sierra Pacific; ER98-1029-001	7/28/99	8/2/99	8/17/99		
	SRP	12/10/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	Letter order issued 5/28/99, 87 FRC ¶ 61,231, approving
	ER98-1030-000	12/10/9/	12/23/97	1/10/98	5/28/99	ICAOA settlement. Compliance filing due in 30 days.
	(Executed)				3/20/99	icada settlement. Comphance ming due in 50 days.
Compliance filing	SRP; ER98-	7/28/99	8/2/99	8/17/99		
Compitance ming	1030-001	1/40/77	0/2/99	0/1//99		
	1030-001					

	Western Area Power Administration Desert Southwest Region; ER 98- 3708-000	7/13/98	7/13/98	7/31/98	8/31/98	Accepted for filing to become effective 6/30/98 subject to the outcome of <u>California Independent System Operator</u> , ER98-1028-000
Compliance filing	WAPA; ER98- 3708-001	7/28/99	8/2/99	8/17/99		

## H. Responsible Participating Transmission Owner Agreements

RESPONSIBLE PARTICIPATING TRANSMISSION OWNER AGREEMENTS									
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status			
•	PG&E ER98-1057-000 (Executed);	12/12/97	1/8/98	1/22/98	2/25/98 7/16/99	Offer of settlement accepted by letter order dated 7/16/99. Compliance filing accepted 9/23/99			
	Appendix A - Rev 1, ER98- 2199								
	Appendix A - Rev 2, ER98- 4107								
First Revision to Appendix A		3/11/98	3/17/98	3/27/98	3/30/98 7/16/99	Offer of settlement accepted by letter order dated 7/16/99. Compliance filing accepted 9/23/99			
Second Revision to Appendix A		8/3/98	8/6/98	8/24/98	9/29/98 7/16/99	Offer of settlement accepted by letter order dated 7/16/99. Compliance filing accepted 9/23/99			
Third Revision to Appendix A	ER99-294-000	10/23/98	10/28/98	11/12/98	12/11/98 7/16/99	Offer of settlement accepted by letter order dated 7/16/99. Compliance filing accepted 9/23/99			
Fourth Revision	ER99-1831-000	2/16/99	2/19/99	3/8/99	3/26/99 7/16/99	Offer of settlement accepted by letter order dated 7/16/99. Compliance filing accepted 9/23/99			
Compliance filing		8/16/99	8/20/99	9/3/99		Offer of settlement accepted by letter order dated 7/16/99. Compliance filing accepted 9/23/99			
Fifth Revision	ER00-1007-000	1/5/00	1/10/00	1/25/00	2/4/00	Accepted for filing, effective 11/17/99			
	SCE ER98-1058-000 (Executed) Revised Appendix A ER98-4106	12/12/97	1/8/98	1/22/98	2/25/98 7/16/99 2/25/00	Offer of settlement accepted by letter order dated 7/16/99. Compliance filing accepted 9/23/99			
Revised Appendix A		8/3/98	8/6/98	8/24/98	9/29/98	Offer of settlement accepted by letter order dated 7/16/99.			

	]				7/16/99	Compliance filing accepted 9/23/99
Revised Appendix A	SCE; ER99-	10/14/98	10/19/98	11/6/98	12/11/98	Offer of settlement accepted by letter order dated 7/16/99.
	189-000				7/16/99	Compliance filing accepted 9/23/99
Compliance filing		8/16/99	8/20/99	9/3/99		Offer of settlement accepted by letter order dated 7/16/99.
						Compliance filing accepted 9/23/99
Revised Appendix A	SCA; ER00-	2/10/00	2/15/00	3/2/00	3/24/00	Accepted for filing
	1587					

# I. Joint Service Level Agreements

	JOINT SERVICE LEVEL AGREEMENTS									
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status				
-	PG&E, SCE & SDG&E ER98-1103-000 (Executed)	12/12/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	1/29/98	Accepted for filing.				

# J. Scheduling Coordinator Agreements

		SC	HEDULING	COORDIN	ATOR AGRE	EMENTS
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
	AIG Trading ER98-1004-000 (Executed) (Amended 12/30/97)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
	AIG Trading <sup>ii</sup> ER98-1004-000 (Executed)	12/30/97 (Amend)	None	None	2/25/98 & 9/8/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.  9/8/98 - Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	American Electric Power Service Corp.; ER99-3172- 000	6/8/99	6/14/99	6/28/99	7/30/99	Accepted for filing effective 5/21/99
	Anaheim Public Utilities Department ER98-1919-000 (Unilateral)	2/17/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	SC Agreements are hereby accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; ISO is directed to post modifications on the ISO Home Page and file the changes within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1					11/9/98	Compliance filing required by order dated 9/8/98
	Aquila Power Corp.; ER99-1186- 000	1/6/99	1/11/99	1/26/99	3/2/99	Accepted for filing
	Arizona Electric Power Cooperative; ER00-1568-000	2/7/00	2/14/00	2/28/00	3/27/00	Accepted for filing, effective 1/18/00
	Arizona Power Service ER98-1020-000 (Executed); ER98- 1020-001 (amendment 1)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.

	<del>_</del>	_	1	1	1	Last Revised. May 1, 2001
Amendment 1		6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Automated Power Ex. ER98-1006-000 (Executed); ER98- 1006-001 (amendment 1)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Avista Energy, Inc. ER98-1883-000 (Executed) ER98-1883-001 (Amend 1)	2/13/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	SC Agreements are hereby accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date: directed to post the modifications on the ISO Home Page and file the changes within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		7/20/98	7/23/98	8/7/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	City of Azusa; ER99-716-000	11/25/98	12/1/98	12/15/98	1/14/99	Accepted for filing
	City of Banning; ER99-715-000	11/25/98	12/1/98	12/15/98	1/14/99	Accepted for filing
	Bonneville Power Administration; ER98-2952-000	5/11/98	5/14/98	5/29/98	6/4/98	Accepted for filing.
	British Columbia Power Exchange ER98-2952-000	5/11/98	5/14/98	5/29/98	6/4/98	Accepted for filing.
	California DWR ER98-2124-000 (Unilateral)	3/9/98	3/13/98	3/23/98	3/30/98	SC Agreements are hereby accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; directed to post the modifications on the ISO Home Page and file the changes within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.  The ISO has filed a fully executed version of this agreement on May 1, 1998 in Docket No. ER98-2899-000
	California DWR ER98-2899-000 (Executed)	5/1/98	5/6/98	5/21/98	6/4/98	Accepted for filing.
	California Polar Brokers ER98-999-000 (Executed)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98 & 9/8/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.  9/8/98 - Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order

						Last Reviseu. May 1, 2001
	California PX ER98-1011-000 (Executed)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98 & 9/8/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.  9/8/98 - Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Calpine Energy Services; ER01- 962-000	1/16/01	1/19/01	2/6/01	3/9/01	Accepted for filing effective 1/3/01 as Service Agreement No. 345
	Cargill-Alliant; ER00-1822-000	3/7/00	3/10/00	3/28/00	4/20/00	Accepted for filing effective 2/28/00
	Citizen Power Sales ER98-1870-000; ER98-1870-001 (amendment1)	2/13/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	SC Agreements are hereby accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; directed to post the modifications on the ISO Home Page and file the changes within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Commonwealth Energy Corp.; ER01-1670-000	3/30/01				
	Constellation Power Source; ER00-1818-000	3/6/00	3/9/00	3/27/00	4/20/00	Accepted for filing, effective 2/28/00
	Coral Power; ER99-3725-000	7/26/99	7/30/99	8/13/99	9/14/99	Accepted for filing effective 7/8/99
	Cucamonga Electric Corp; ER00-2140-000	4/6/00	4/11/00	4/27/00	5/18/00	Accepted for filing effective 3/27/00 (Tariff Vol. 2, Service Agreement 293)
	Dept. of Water and Power, Los Angeles ER98-1934-000 (Unilateral)	2/18/98	2/27/98	3/12/98		LADWP has requested that the ISO <u>withdraw</u> the unilaterally filed Scheduling Coordinator Agreement. Accordingly, the ISO filed a Notice of Withdrawal on March 9, 1998.
	Dept. of Water and Power, Los Angeles ER98-1934-000 Notice Of Withdrawal	3/9/98	3/24/98	4/8/98		
	Duke Energy Trading /	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date;

						Last Revised. May 1, 2001
	Mktg ER98-995-000 (Executed); ER98- 995-001 (amendment 1)					modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/89	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Edison Mission Marketing & Trading, Inc.; ER99-3271-000	6/16/99	6/22/99	7/6/99	8/13/99	Accepted for filing, effective 6/10/99
	Edison Source ER98-994-000 (Executed); ER98- 994-001 (amendment 1)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
Notice of Termination	Edison Source; ER00-3377-000	8/8/00	8/11/00	8/29/00		
	Electric Clearinghouse ER98-1013-000 (Executed) ER98- 1013-001 (amendment 1)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	El Paso Power Service; ER99- 2444-000	4/12/99	4/15/99	4/30/99	6/2/99	Accepted for filing, effective 3/4/99
	Enova Energy ER98-1014-000 (Executed)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98 & 9/8/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.  9/8/98 - Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Enron Energy Services; ER00- 3750	9/25/00	9/28/00	10/16/00		
	Enron PM ER98-1016-000 (Executed); ER98- 1016-001 (amendment 1)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.

	$\neg$	6/40/00	< 10 1 10 0	<b>=</b> 10.10.0	0.10.10.0	Last Revised. May 1, 2001
Amendment 1		6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Entergy; ER00- 245-000	11/1/99	10/27/99	11/16/99	12/8/99	Accepted for filing, effective 10/17/99
	Hafslund Energy Trading L.L.C.; ER98-3222-000	6/4/98	6/9/98	6/24/98	7/6/98	Accepted for filing
	Gardner Energy Group; ER98-3341	6/15/98	6/18/98	7/2/98	7/14/98	Accepted for filing
	Glendale; ER00- 3070-000	7/5/00	7/10/00	7/26/00	8/21/00	Accepted for filing as Service Agreement No. 327 effective 6/21/00
	Idaho Power; ER99-1734-000	2/5/99	2/11/99	2/25/99	4/1/99	Accepted for filing, effective 2/1/99
	Illinova Energy Partners ER98-1017-000 (Executed)	12/9/9	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		8/10/98	8/13/98	8/31/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Koch Energy Trading; ER00- 1034-000	1/7/00	1/11/00	1/27/00	2/9/00	Accepted for filing effective 1/4/00
	LADWP; ER99- 2241-000	3/24/99	3/29/99	4/13/99	4/28/99	Accepted for filing, effective 3/22/99
	LG&E EM ER98-1018-000 (Executed); ER98-1018-001 (amendment 1)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
Notice of Termination	LG&E Retail Access Service; ER00-3342	8/1/00	8/4/00	8/22/00		
	Merchant Energy; ER00-192-000	10/22/99	10/28/99	11/10/99	12/8/99	Accepted for filing, effective 10/15/99
	Merrill Lynch Capital Services; ER01-412-000	11/09/00	11/17/00	11/30/00	12/20/00	Accepted for filing effective 10/23/00 as Service Agreement No. 338
	Mieco, Inc; ER99- 2456-000	4/12/99	4/15/99	4/30/99	6/2/99	Accepted for filing, effective 3/18/99
	Modesto Irrigation District; ER98- 2948-000	5/11/98	5/14/98	5/29/98	6/4/98	Accepted for filing.

						Last Revised. May 1, 2001
	Montana Pwr. Trading/Mktg. ER98-990-000 (Executed)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operation Date.
	(amend 1)					
Amendment 1		7/20/98	7/23/98	8/7/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
Notice of	Montana Power	2/2/00	2/8/00	2/23/00		
Termination	Trading & Marketing; ER00-1513-000					
	Morgan Stanley Capital Group; ER01-964-000	1/16/01	1/19/01	2/6/01	3/9/01	Accepted for filing effective 1/3/01 as Service Agreement No. 344
	New Energy Inc; ER00-566-000	11/12/99	11/19/99	12/2/99	12/29/99	Accepted for filing, effective 11/9/99
	NCPA ER98-1000- 000 (Executed) ER98- 1000-001 (amendment 1)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Nor Am ER98-1313-000 (Executed) ER98-1313-001 (amend 1)	12/30/97	1/12/98	1/30/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		7/20/98	7/23/98	8/7/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	PacifiCorp ER98-1001-000 (Executed) ER98-1001-001 (amendment 1)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Pacificorp; ER99- 1655-000	1/29/99	2/4/99	2/18/99	4/1/99	Accepted for filing, effective 1/8/99
	Pasadena; ER99- 3463-000	7/1/99	7/8/99	7/21/99	6/15/99	Accepted for filing, 6/15/99

						Last Revised. May 1, 2001
	PECO Energy; ER00-193-000	10/22/99	10/28/99	11/10/99	12/8/99	Accepted for filing, effective 10/17/99
Proxy agreement for Reading	PG&E and Reading; ER98-3238	6/5/98	6/10/98	6/25/98	7/27/98	Accepted for filing
	PG&E Energy Trading - Power, LP; ER99-2985- 000	5/19/99	5/24/99	6/8/99	7/13/99	Accepted for filing, effective 4/26/99
Amendment Drafted	PG&E ESC ER98-1003-000 (Executed); ER98- 1003-001 (amendment 1)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1	1	6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	PG&E ER98-1311-000 (Executed)	12/30/97	1/12/98	1/30/98	2/25/98 & 9/8/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.  9/8/98 - Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Portland General ER98-1005-000 (Executed)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98 & 9/8/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.  9/8/98 - Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Power. Res. Mgrs. LLC ER98-991-000 (Executed); ER98- 991-001 (amendment1)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1	1	6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	PP&L Montana, LLC; ER00-629	11/23/99	11/30/99	12/13/99	1/11/00	Accepted for filing, effective 11/16/99
	Public Service Company of Colorado; ER00- 1563	2/9/00	2/15/00	3/1/00	3/27/00	Accepted for filing, effective 2/4/00
	Puget Sound	12/3/99	12/10/99	12/23/99	1/27/00	Accepted for filing, effective 11/18/99

						Last Revised. May 1, 2001
	Energy; ER00-726- 000					
Amendment No. 1	Puget Sound; ER00-726-000	5/16/00	5/19/00	6/6/00	7/5/00	Accepted for filing; Supplement No. 1 to Service Agreement No. 272
	QST ET ER98-998-000 (Executed)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98 & 9/8/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.  9/8/98 - Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
Notice of Termination	QST Energy Trading; ER00- 3303-000	7/28/00	8/3/00	8/18/00		
	City of Riverside ER98-1887-000 (Executed); ER98- 1887-001 (amendment 1)	2/13/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	SC Agreements are hereby accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; directed to post the modifications on the ISO Home Page and file the changes within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		6/22/98	6/25/98	7/10/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Salt River Project ER98-1010-000 (Executed)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98 & 9/8/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.  9/8/98 - Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
Amendment 1	Salt River Project; ER99-64-000	10/6/98	10/9/98	10/26/98	11/24/98	Accepted for filing
	Santa Clara; ER99- 3726-000	7/26/99, 8/18/99	7/30/99	8/13/99	9/14/99	Accepted for filing, effective 7/15/99
	City of Seattle ER98-1012-000 (Executed); ER98- 1012-001 (amendment 1)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Sempra Energy Solutions; ER01- 805-000	12/27/00	1/4/01	1/18/01	2/7/01	Accepted for filing effective 12/18/00 as Service Agreement 342
	Sierra Pacific Power Co., ER00-	12/7/99	12/13/99	12/27/99	2/3/00	90 FERC ¶ 61,104, accepted for filing effective 12/1/99

						East Revised. Way 1, 2001
	742-000					
	SCE ER98-1007-000 (Executed)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98 & 9/8/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
						9/8/98 - Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	SDG&E ER98-1021-000 (Executed); ER98- 1021-001 (amendment 1)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Strategic Energy; ER99-3757-000	7/27/99	7/30/99	8/16/99	9/14/99	Accepted for filing, effective 7/15/99
	Southern Company EM ER98-1015-000 (Executed)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98 & 9/8/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
						9/8/98 - Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	Symmetry Device ER98-1884-000 (Executed); ER98- 1884-001 (amendment 1)	2/13/98	/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	SC Agreements are hereby accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; directed to post the modifications on the ISO Home Page and file the changes within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	City of Vernon ER98-1008-000 (Executed)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98 & 9/8/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.  9/8/98 - Accepted for filing effective on date from prior
	Viasyn, Inc.; ER01-1736	4/5/01	4/10/01	4/26/01		order

					-1	
	Vitol Gas & Electric LLC ER98-1009-000 (Executed); ER98- 1009-001 (amendment 1)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98	SC Agreements are accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and; filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		6/19/98	6/24/98	7/9/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date from prior order
	WAPA, Sierra Nevada Region ER98-1928-000 (Executed) ER98-1928-001	2/18/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	SC Agreements are hereby accepted, as modified, for filing, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; directed to post the modifications on the ISO Home Page and file the changes within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.
Amendment 1		7/20/98	7/23/98	8/7/98	9/8/98	
	Williams Energy Services ER98-2263-000 (Unilateral)	3/20/98	3/24/98	4/7/98	4/21/98	Accepted for filing.

Last Revised: May 1, 2001

# K. Meter Service Agreements for ISO Metered Entities

						TERED ENTITIES
Description	Company &	Date	Date	Interv.	FERC	Status
	Docket	Filed	Noticed	Due	Orders	1.0 (11) (20) 1 4/40/04 (20)
	AERA Energy, LLC, ER01-1522	3/13/01	3/16/01	4/3/01	4/25/01	Accepted for filing effective 1/19/01 as Service Agreement No. 354.
	AES Alamitos; ER98-2998-000	5/14/98	5/19/98	6/3/98	6/25/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	AES Huntington Beach; ER98-3003-000	5/14/98	5/19/98	6/3/98	6/25/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	AES Placerita, Inc.; ER99-4417- 000	9/10/99	9/17/99	9/30/99	10/28/99	Accepted for filing, effective 8/31/99
	AES Redondo Beach; ER98- 2999	5/14/98	5/19/98	6/3/98	6/25/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Alliance Colton, LLC; ER01- 1609-000	3/26/01	3/29/01	4/16/01		
	Anaheim Public Utilities Department ER98-1914-000 (Unilateral)	2/18/98	2/26/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Anaheim (executed) ER98- 1914-001	9/24/98	9/29/98	10/14/98	11/9/98	Accepted for filing.
Executed compliance filing	Anaheim; ER00- 3352-000	8/2/00	8/7/00	8/23/00	9/21/00	Accepted for filing effective 5/9/00 as first revision to Service Agreement No. 173
	ARCO CQC Kiln; ER00- 2205-000	4/14/00	4/19/00	5/5/00	6/2/00	91 FERC ¶ 61,243. Accepted for filing subject to the outcome of ER98-997-000
	Big Creek Water Works; ER99- 1735-000	2/5/99	2/11/99	2/25/99	4/1/99	Accepted for filing, effective 2/2/99
	Burney Forest Products; ER98- 3221-000	6/4/98	6/9/98	6/24/98	7/31/98	Accepted for filing
Notice of termination	Burney Forest Products; ER01- 502-000	11/22/00	11/30/00	12/13/00		

						East Revised. Way 1, 2001
	Cabrillo Power I; ER99-1779	2/10/99	2/16/99	3/2/99	4/1/99	Accepted for filing, effective 2/28/99
	Cabrillo Power II; ER99-1776- 000	2/10/99	2/16/99	3/2/99	4/1/99	Accepted for filing, effective 2/28/99
Amendment No. 1	Cabrillo II; ER00-1087-000	1/13/00	1/18/00	2/2/00	2/14/00	Accepted for filing
	California DWR ER98-2114-000 (Unilateral)	3/6/98	3/13/98	3/23/98	3/30/98 2/25/00	
	California DWR ER98-2900-000 (Executed)	4/28/98	5/6/98	5/21/98		Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Calpine Construction Finance Co.; ER01-1863-000	4/25/01	4/30/01	5/16/01		
	Calpine Geysers, ER98-3803-000	7/20/98	7/23/98	8/7/98	8/14/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186.
	Delano Energy Company; ER00- 923	12/28/99	1/4/00	1/14/00	2/7/00	Accepted for filing, effective 12/21/99
	Dept. of Water & Power, City of LA ER98-1967-000 (Unilateral)	2/19/98	2/27/98	3/12/98		LADWP has requested that the ISO <u>withdraw</u> the unilaterally filed Meter Service Agreement. Accordingly, the ISO filed a Notice of Withdrawal on March 9, 1998.
	Dinuba Energy, ER01-1464-000	3/8/01	3/14/01	3/29/01	4/25/01	Accepted for filing effective 3/5/01 as Service Agreement No. 352.
	Duke Energy Morrow Bay LLC; ER98-3020	5/15/98	5/20/98	6/4/98	6/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Duke Energy Moss Landing LLC; ER98-3022-000	5/15/98	5/20/98	6/4/98	6/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Duke Energy Oakland; ER98- 3017-000	5/15/98	5/20/98	6/4/98	6/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Duke Energy South Bay; ER99-2443-000	4/12/99	4/15/99	4/30/99	6/2/99	Accepted for filing, effective 3/25/99

						Last Revised. May 1, 2001
	East Bay Municipal Utility District; ER99- 4307-000	8/31/99	9/9/99	9/20/99	10/13/99	Accepted for filing, effective 8/19/99
	El Dorado Energy, ER00- 738-000	12/6/99	12/13/99	12/27/99	1/27/00	Accepted for filing, effective 11/23/99
	El Segundo Power ER98-1913-000 (Unilateral)	2/18/98	2/26/98	3/12/98		The ISO filed a fully executed version of this agreement on March 12, 1998 in the same docket.
	El Segundo Power ER98-1913-000 (Executed)	3/12/98	3/17/98	3/27/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		6/15/98	6/18/98	7/2/98	9/4/98	
	Energy 2001; ER01-226-000	10/26/00	10/30/00	11/1/00	12/5/00	Accepted for filing effective 10/11/00 as Service Agreement No. 337
	Fresno Cogeneration Partners; ER98- 1578-000	2/8/00	2/16/00	2/29/00	3/27/00	Accepted for filing, effective 1/18/00
	Gas Recovery Systems; ER00- 3071	7/5/00	7/10/00	7/26/00	8/21/00	Accepted for filing as Service Agreement No. 326, effective 6/12/00
	Geysers Power; ER99-2821-000	5/5/99	5/12/99	5/25/99	6/30/99	Accepted for filing, effective 4/27/99
	Green Power Partners I, LLC - WECS 28; ER99-3260-000	6/15/99	6/18/99	7/6/99	7/30/99	Accepted for filing, effective 5/28/99
	Green Power Partners I, LLC - WECS 67; ER99-3258-000	6/15/99	6/18/99	7/6/99	7/30/99	Accepted for filing effective 5/28/99
	Green Power Partners I, LLC -	6/15/99	6/18/99	7/6/99	7/30/99	Accepted for filing effective 5/28/99

						East Revised. Way 1, 2001
	WECS 98; ER99-3250-000					
Amendment to Schedule 1	Green Power Partners I LLC - WECS 98; ER99-3250	7/9/99	7/14/99	7/29/99	8/11/99	Accepted for filing, effective 7/10/99
	Harbor Cogeneration; ER99-1879-000	2/19/99	2/24/99	3/11/99	4/14/99	Accepted for filing, effective 2/5/99
	La Paloma Generating Company; ER01- 1608-000	3/26/01	3/29/01	4/16/01		
	Lassen; ER00- 3076-000	7/6/00	7/11/00	7/27/000	8/17/00	Service Agreement No. 328 under FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1 accepted effective June 28, 2000
	Long Beach Generation LLC ER98-1911-000 (Unilateral)	2/18/98	2/26/98	3/12/98		The ISO filed a fully executed version of this agreement on March 12, 1998 in the same docket.
	Long Beach Generation LLC - ER98-1911-000 (Executed)	3/12/98	3/17/98	3/27/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		6/15/98	6/18/98	7/2/98	9/4/98	
	Los Alamos Energy; ER01- 1565-000	3/16/01	3/21/01	4/6/01		
	Louisiana Pacific Samoa, Inc.; ER00-949-000	12/30/99	1/6/00	1/19/00	2/7/00	Accepted for filing, effective 12/14/99
	Martinez Refining, ER98- 3612-000	7/6/98	7/9/98	7/24/98	8/7/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Midway Sunset ER98-1500-000 (Executed)	1/16/98	2/3/98	2/17/98	3/12/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		6/15/98	6/18/98	7/2/98	9/4/98	
	Monsanto; ER99-243-000	10/19/98	10/22/98	11/10/98	12/8/98	Accepted for filing, effective 10/13/98
	Morgan Stanley Capital Group; ER01-963-000	1/16/01	1/19/01	2/6/01	3/9/01	Accepted for filing effective 1/3/01 as Service Agreement No. 343

						Last Revised: May 1, 2001
	Mountainview Power Company; ER99-2991-000	5/20/99	5/25/99	6/9/99	7/13/99	Accepted for filing, effective 5/7/99
	Mountain View Power Partners; ER01-1410-000	3/6/01	3/9/01	3/27/01	4/25/01	Accepted for filing effective 2/22/01 as Service Agreement No. 348
	Mt Poso Cogeneration; ER98-4573-000	9/16/98	9/22/98	10/7/98	11/9/98	Accepted for filing
Executed compliance filing	Mt Poso Cogeneration; ER00-3351-000	8/2/00	8/7/00	8/23/00	9/21/00	Accepted for filing effective 6/13/00 as first revision to Service Agreement No. 174
	Nuevo Energy; ER00-2542	5/18/00			7/5/00	Accepted for filing. Service Agreement No. 300
	PG&E ER98-1499-000 (Executed)	1/16/98	2/3/98	2/17/98	3/12/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment No. 1	PG&E ER00- 1086-000	1/13/00	1/18/00	2/2/00	2/14/00	Accepted for filing
	Pasadena; ER99- 3618-000	7/16/99	7/22/99	8/5/99	9/14/99	Accepted for filing, effective 7/8/99
ER98-2294-000 (Executed)	Reliant Energy Coolwater (formally Alta Power Generation)	3/24/98	3/27/98	4/9/98	4/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment No. 1; ER00-469-000		11/3/99	11/12/99	11/23/99		
ER98-2291-000 (Executed)	Reliant Energy Ellwood (formerly Oeste Power)	3/24/98	3/27/98	4/9/98	4/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment No. 1; ER00-473-000		11/3/99	11/12/99	11/23/99		
ER98-2292-000 (Executed)	Reliant Energy Etiwanda (formerly Mountain Vista Power)	3/24/98	3/27/98	4/9/98	4/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment No. 1; ER00-472-000		11/3/99	11/12/99	11/23/99		

						Last Revised. Way 1, 2001
	Reliant Energy Mandalay (formerly Ocean Vista Power Gen.) ER98-2295-000 (Unilateral)	3/24/98	3/31/98	4/13/98		The ISO filed a fully executed version of this agreement on March 24, 1998.
ER98-2295-000 (Executed)	Reliant Energy Mandalay (formerly Ocean Vista Power)	3/24/98	3/31/98	4/13/98	4/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment No. 1; ER00-470-000	-	11/3/99	11/12/99	11/23/99		
ER98-3693-000	Reliant Energy, Ormond Beach	7/10/98	7/15/98	7/30/98	8/7/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1- ER00- 471-000		11/3/99	11/12/99	11/23/99		
	Riverside Canal Power Company; ER99-2989-000	5/20/99	5/25/99	6/9/99	7/13/99	Accepted for filing, effective 5/7/99
	Riverside County Waste Management; ER01-594-000	12/5/00	12/8/00	12/26/00	1/25/01	Accepted for filing effective 11/30/00 as Service Agreement No. 340
	Salt River Project ER98-2113-000 (Executed)	3/6/98	3/24/98	4/7/98	4/30/98 2/25/00	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Santa Clara; ER99-3727-000	7/26/99	7/30/99	8/13/99	9/14/99	Accepted for filing, effective 7/15/99
	SCE ER98-1502- 000 (Executed)	1/16/98	2/3/98	2/17/98	3/12/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Revised Schedule 1	ER98-3657-00	7/6/98	7/9/98	7/24/98	8/7/98	Accepted for filing effective 4/6/98
2 <sup>nd</sup> Revision Schedule 1	ER98-4177-000	8/7/98	8/13/98	8/27/98	9/9/98	Accepted for filing effective 6/24/98
	SDG&E ER98-1501-000 (Executed)	1/16/98	2/3/98	2/17/98	3/12/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186

						Last Revised. May 1, 2001
	San Joaquin Cogen; ER00- 1564-000	2/9/00	2/15/00	3/1/00	4/7/00	Accepted for filing, effective 1/26/00
	Sierra Pacific Industries; ER98- 4279	8/18/98	8/21/98	9/8/98	9/16/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Sierra Power Corp., ER01- 1466-000	3/8/01	3/14/01	3/29/01	4/25/01	Accepted for filing effective 3/5/01 as Service Agreement No. 351.
	Simpson Paper, ER98-3609-000	7/6/98	7/9/98	7/24/98	8/7/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Notice of Termination	Simpson Paper; ER00-3308-000	7/28/00	8/3/00	8/18/00		
	Simpson Redwood, ER98- 3611-000	7/6/98	7/9/98	7/24/98	8/7/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Southern Energy Potrero, LLC; ER99-2120-000	3/11/99	3/16/99	3/31/99	4/29/99	Accepted for filing effective 3/4/99
	Southern Energy Delta, LLC; ER99-2118-000	3/11/99	3/16/99	3/31/99	4/29/99	Accepted for filing, effective 3/4/99
	Sunlaw Cogeneration Partners I; ER99- 1188-000	1/6/99	1/11/99	1/26/99	3/2/99	Accepted for filing
	City of Sunnyvale; ER00-631-000	11/23/99	11/30/99	12/13/99	1/11/00	Accepted for filing, effective 11/16/99
	Texaco ER98-1503- 000 (Executed)	1/16/98	2/3/98	2/17/98	3/12/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		6/5/98	6/10/98	6/25/98	9/4/98	
	Tosco Refining; ER99-1736-000	2/5/99	2/11/99	2/25/99	4/1/99	Accepted for filing, effective 2/1/99
	University of California Davis; ER99-4012	8/6/99	8/13/99	8/26/99	9/29/99	Accepted for filing, effective 7/26/99
	Utica Power Authority; ER00- 3254-000	7/25/00	7/28/00	8/15/00	9/13/00	Accepted for filing effective 7/17/00 as Service Agreement No. 331

WAPA, Sierra Nevada Region ER98-1909-000 (Unilateral)	2/18/98	2/26/98	3/12/98	3/30/98 2/25/00	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Wheelabrator Martell, Inc.; ER98-2947-000	5/11/98/1 4/98	5/14/98	5/29/98	6/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Wheelabrator Shasta Energy; ER00-3242-000	7/24/00	7/27/00	8/14/00	9/13/00	Accepted for filing effective 7/12/00 as Service Agreement No. 330
Woodland Biomass Power, Ltd; ER99-2986- 000	5/19/99	5/24/99	6/8/99	7/13/99	Accepted for filing, effective 4/23/99

# L. Meter Service Agreements for Scheduling Coordinators

	MET	ER SERVIC	E AGREEM	ENTS FOR	SCHEDULI	NG COORDINATORS
	Company & Docket	Date	Date	Interv.	FERC	
Description		Filed	Noticed	Due	Orders	Status
	AIG Trading Corp. ER98-1865-000 (Executed)	2/12/982/2 7/98	3/12/983/3 0/98		3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Anaheim, ER98- 4654-000	9/25/98	9/30/98	10/15/98	11/9/98	Accepted for filing
	Arizona Public Service ER98-1862-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		6/17/98	6/22/98	7/7/98	9/4/98	
	Automated Power Exchange ER98-1850-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		6/17/98	6/22/98	7/7/98	9/4/98	_
	Automated Power Exchange ER98-1850-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Avista Energy ER98-1888-000 (Executed)	2/13/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Azusa; ER99-704- 000	11/25/98	12/1/98	12/15/98	1/14/99	Accepted for filing
	Banning; ER99-714- 000	11/25/98	12/1/98	12/15/98	1/14/99	Accepted for filing
	British Columbia Power Exchange; ER98-2978	5/13/98	5/18/98	6/2/98	6/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	California Department of Water Resources ER98-2122-000 (Executed)	5/1/985/6/ 98; Errata 5/14/98	5/21/98			

	1	1	1			2400 110 1100 1110 11, 2001
	Errata - originally listed as ER98-2901					
	California DWR ER98-2122-000 (Unilateral)	3/9/98	3/13/98	3/23/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	California Polar Brokers ER98-1864-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	ER98-1864-001 (amend 1)					
Amendment 1		7/20/98	7/23/98	8/7/98	9/4/98	
	California PX ER98-1861-000	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	ER98-1861-001 (amend 1)					
Amendment 1		7/20/98	7/23/98	8/7/98	9/4/98	
	Calpine Energy Services; ER01-961- 000	1/16/01	1/19/01	2/6/01	3/9/01	Accepted for filing effective 1/3/01 as Service Agreement No. 346
	Citizens Power Sales ER98-1844-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	ER98-1844-001 (amend 1)					
Amendment 1		7/20/98	7/23/98	8/7/98	9/4/98	
Notice of Termination	Citizens Power Sales; ER00-3307-000	7/28/00	8/3/00	8/18/00		
	Commonwealth Energy Corp; ER01- 1669-000	3/30/01	4/4/01	4/20/01		
	Constellation Power Source; ER00-1821- 000	3/7/00	3/10/00	3/27/00	4/20/00	Accepted for filing, effective 2/28/00
	Coral Power, LLC; ER99-4451-000	9/15/99	9/20/99	10/5/99	10/28/99	Accepted for filing, effective 9/2/99
	Cucamonga Electric Corporation; ER00- 3423-000	8/16/00	8/21/00	9/6/00	10/06/00	Accepted for filing effective 7/31/00 as Service Agreement No. 334

		•				Last Reviseu. May 1, 2001
	Dpt. Water and Power, City of LA Notice of Withdrawal ER98-1934-000	3/9/98	3/24/98	4/8/98		
	Dpt. Water and Power, City of LA ER98-1929-000 (Unilateral)	2/18/98	2/27/98	3/13/98		LADWP has requested that the ISO withdraw the unilaterally filed Meter Service Agreement. Accordingly, the ISO filed a Notice of Withdrawal on March 9, 1998.
	Duke Energy Trading and Marketing ER98-1856-000 (Executed)	2/12/982/2 7/98	3/12/983/3 0/98		3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		6/17/98	6/22/98	7/7/98	9/4/98	
	Duke Energy Trading and Marketing, LLC; ER99-2868-00	5/7/99	5/14/99	5/27/99	6/30/99	Accepted for filing
	Edison Mission Marketing & Trading, Inc.; ER99- 3267-000	6/16/99	6/22/99	7/6/99	8/13/99	Accepted for filing, effective 6/10/99
Executed Compliance filing	Edison Mission Marketing & Trading; ER00-3350- 000	8/2/00	8/7/00	8/23/00	9/21/00	Accepted for filing effective 5/16/00 as first revision to Service Agreement No. 243
	Edison Source ER98-1866-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		6/17/98	6/22/98	7/7/98	9/4/98	
Amendment	Edison Source, ER99-1961-000	2/26/99	3/3/99	3/18/99	3/26/99	Accepted for filing, effective 2/27/99
Notice of Termination	Edison Source; ER00-3376-000	8/8/00	8/11/00	8/29/00		
	Electric Clearing- house ER98-1846-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1	ECI; ER98-1846-001	10/19/98	10/22/98	11/10/98		
	El Segundo Power ER98-1926-000 (Unilateral)	2/18/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186

						Last Revised. May 1, 2001
	Enova Energy Inc. ER98-1847-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Enron Power Marketing, Inc. ER98-1851-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		6/17/98	6/22/98	7/7/98	9/4/98	
	Gardner Energy Group; ER98-3343-000	6/15/98	6/18/98	7/2/98	7/31/98	Accepted for filing
	Hafslund Energy, ER98-3342-000	6/15/98	6/18/98	7/2/98	7/31/98	Accepted for filing
	Idaho Power; ER99- 1737-000	2/5/99	2/11/99	2/25/99	4/1/99	Accepted for filing, effective 2/1/99
	Illinova Energy Partners ER98-1855-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98		The ISO filed on March 9, 1998 a superseding version of this agreement signed on February 12, 1998.
	Illinova Energy Partners ER98-1855-000 (Superseding)	3/9/98	3/13/98	3/23/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		8/10/98	8/13/98	8/31/98	9/4/98	
	LG&E Marketing, Inc. ER98-1854-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		6/17/98	6/22/98	7/7/98	9/4/98	
Notice of Termination	LG&E Energy Marketing; ER00-33- 4-000	7/28/00	8/3/00	8/18/00		
	Long Beach Generation, LLC ER98-1925-000 (Unilateral)	2/13/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Mieci, Inc.; ER99- 2455-000	4/12/99	4/15/99	4/30/99	6/2/99	Accepted for filing, effective 3/18/99
	Modesto Irrigation District; ER98-2949	5/11/98	5/14/98	5/29/98	6/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186

			_		1	Last Revised. May 1, 2001
	Montana Power Trading/Mktg. ER98-1868-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	ER98-1868-001 (amend 1)					
Amendment 1		7/20/98	7/23/98	8/7/98	9/4/98	
Notice of termination	Montana Power Trading and Marketing; ER00- 1514-000	2/2/00	2/8/00	2/23/00	3/16/00	TERMINATED
	NewEnergy, Inc.; ER00-567-000	11/12/99	11/19/99	12/2/99	12/29/99	Accepted for filing, effective 11/9/99
	NCPA ER98-1849-000 (Executed) ER98-1849-001 (amendment 1)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		7/6/98	7/9/98	7/24/98	9/4/98	
	NorAm Energy ER98-1853-000 (Executed) ER98-1853-001	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	(amend 1)					
Amendment 1		7/20/98	7/23/98	8/7/98	9/4/98	
	PacifiCorp ER98-1867-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		6/17/98	6/22/98	7/7/98	9/4/98	
	Pacificorp; ER99- 1621-000	1/29/99	2/4/99	2/18/99	3/15/99	Accepted for filing, effective 1/1/99
	Pasadena; ER99- 3616-000	7/16/99	7/22/99	8/5/99	9/14/99	Accepted for filing, effective 7/8/99
	PG&E ER01-1465- 000	3/8/01	3/14/01	3/29/01	4/25/01	Accepted for filing effective 2/15/01 as Service Agreement No. 353.
	PG&E Energy Services Corp. ER98-1858-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186

	1			1		Last Revised. Way 1, 2001
Amendment 1		6/17/98	6/22/98	7/7/98	9/4/98	
	PG&E Energy Trading; ER00-2427- 000	5/8/00	5/12/00	5/30/00	6/22/00	Accepted for filing effective 4/26/00; Service Agreement No. 296
	Portland General ER98-1860-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Power Resource Managers, LLC ER98-1863-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		6/17/98	6/22/98	7/7/98	9/4/98	
	QST Energy Trading, Inc. ER98-1842-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Notice of Termination	QST Energy Trading; ER00-3302-000	7/28/00	8/3/00	8/18/00		
	City of Riverside ER98-1891-000 (Executed); ER98- 1891-001 (amendment 1)	2/13/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		7/6/98	7/9/98	7/24/98		
	Salt River Project ER98-1857-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98		The ISO filed on March 9,1998 a superseded version of this agreement dated February 18, 1998 in the same docket.
	Salt River Project ER98-1857-000 (Superseding)	3/9/98	3/13/98	3/23/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		6/17/98	6/22/98	7/7/98	9/4/98	
	Santa Clara; ER99- 3729-000	7/26/99	7/30/99	8/13/99	9/14/99	Accepted for filing, effective 7/15/99
Executed compliance filing		8/2/00	8/7/00	8/23/00	9/21/00	Accepted for filing effective 6/23/00 as first revision to Service Agreement No. 254
	Sempra Energy Solutions; ER01-806- 000	12/27/00	1/4/01	1/18/01	2/7/01	Accepted for filing effective 12/18/00 as Service Agreement No. 341
	SCE ER98-1869-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186

						Last Revised. May 1, 2001
	SDG& E ER98-1848-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	City of Seattle ER98-1889-000 (Executed)	2/13/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		6/17/98	6/22/98	7/7/98	9/4/98	
	Southern Company E&M ER98-1845-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Strategic Energy, LLC, ER99-4267-000	8/27/99	9/7/99	9/17/99	10/13/99	Accepted for filing, effective 8/20/99
	Symmetry Device Research Inc. ER98-1852-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Vernon ER98-1843-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	Viasyn, Inc.; ER01- 1728	4/5/01	4/10/01	4/26/01		
	Vitol Gas and Electric Co. ER98-1859-000 (Executed)	2/12/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		6/17/98	6/22/98	7/7/98	9/4/98	
	Williams Energy Services ER98-2264-000 (Executed)	3/20/98	3/24/98	4/7/98	4/21/98	Accepted for filing.
Amendment 1		8/6/98	8/11/98	8/26/98	9/4/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
	WAPA, Sierra Nevada Region ER98-1924-000 (Unilateral)	2/18/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
Amendment 1		7/20/98			9/4/98	
executed	WAPA, Sierra	7/20/99	7/27/99	8/10/99	8/23/99	Settlement Agreement accepted by letter order dated

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Nevada; ER99-3664-		2/24/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,186
000		"

# M. Participating Generator Agreements

			RTICIPATIN	•		EMEN15
Description	Company &	Date Filed	Date	Interv.	FERC	C4-A
	Docket AERA Energy, ER01-1531-000	3/14/01	<b>Noticed</b> 3/19/01	<b>Due</b> 4/4/01	<b>Orders</b> 4/25/01	Status  Accepted for filing effective 1/19/01 as Service Agreement No. 355.
	AES Alamitos, LLC; ER98-2997	5/14/98	5/19/98	6/3/98	6/12/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Compliance filing	AES Alamitos, LLC; ER98- 2997-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/900	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 114
	AES Huntington Beach; ER98-3000	5/14/98	5/19/98	6/3/98	6/12/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Compliance filing	AES Huntington Beach; ER98- 3000-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 113
	AES Placerita, Inc.; ER99- 4418-000	9/10/99	9/17/99	9/30/99	10/28/99	Accepted for filing, effective 8/31/99
Compliance filing	AES Placerita; ER99-4418-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 262
	AES Redondo Beach; ER98-2996	5/14/98	5/19/98	6/3/98	6/12/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Compliance filing	AES Redondo Beach; ER98- 29996-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 112
	Alliance Colton, LLC; ER01-1610-000	3/26/00	3/29/01	4/16/01		
	Anaheim Public Utility Department ER98-1912-000 (Unilateral)	2/18/98	2/26/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement

1					Last Reviseu. May 1, 2001
Anaheim (executed); ER98-1912-001	9/23/98	9/29/98	10/14/98	11/9/98	Accepted for filing
Anaheim; ER98-1912-002	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 178
ARCO CQC Kiln; ER00- 2206-000	4/14/00	4/19/00	5/5/00	6/2/00	91 FERC ¶ 61,243. Accepted for filing subject to the outcome of ER98-997-000
Big Creek Water Works; ER99-1694-000					Accepted for filling, effective 1/25/99
Big Creek Water Works; ER99-1694-001		6/29/00	7/14/00		Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 190
Burney Forest Products; ER98-3223-000	6/4/98	6/9/98	6/24/98	7/6/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Burney Forest; ER98-4563-000	9/16/98	9/22/98	10/6/98	10/14/98	Accepted for filing
Burney Forest; ER98-4563-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 135
Burney Forest; ER01-501-000	11/22/00	11/30/00	12/13/00		
Cabrillo Power I; ER99-1777- 000	2/10/99	2/16/99	3/2/99	4/1/99	Accepted for filing, effective 2/28/99
Cabrillo Power I; ER99-1777- 001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 197
Cabrillo Power II; ER99-1778	2/10/99	2/16/99	3/2/99	4/1/99	Accepted for filing, effective 2/28/99
Cabrillo Power II; ER99-1778- 001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 198
California DWR ER98-2115-000 (Unilateral)	3/6/98	3/18/98	3/27/98	3/30/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
	(executed); ER98-1912-001 Anaheim; ER98-1912-002 ARCO CQC Kiln; ER00- 2206-000 Big Creek Water Works; ER99-1694-000 Big Creek Water Works; ER99-1694-001 Burney Forest Products; ER98-3223-000 Burney Forest; ER98-4563-000 Burney Forest; ER98-4563-001 Burney Forest; ER98-4563-001 Cabrillo Power I; ER99-1777- 000 Cabrillo Power I; ER99-1777- 001 Cabrillo Power II; ER99-1778 Cabrillo Power II; ER99-1778 Cabrillo Power II; ER99-1778 Cabrillo Power II; ER99-1778- 001 California DWR ER98-2115-000	(executed);       ER98-1912-001         Anaheim;       6/23/00         ER98-1912-002       4/14/00         ARCO CQC       4/14/00         Kiln; ER00-       2206-000         Big Creek       2/3/99         Water Works;       ER99-1694-000         Big Creek       6/23/00         Water Works;       ER99-1694-001         Burney Forest       6/4/98         Products;       ER98-3223-000         Burney Forest;       9/16/98         ER98-4563-000       6/23/00         Burney Forest;       ER01-501-000         Cabrillo Power       11/22/00         I; ER99-1777-000       2/10/99         Cabrillo Power       1; ER99-1778         Cabrillo Power       11; ER99-1778         Cabrillo Power       6/23/00         II; ER99-1778-001       6/23/00         California       3/6/98         DWR       ER98-2115-000	(executed);       ER98-1912-001         Anaheim;       6/23/00       6/29/00         ER98-1912-002       4/14/00       4/19/00         ARCO CQC       4/14/00       4/19/00         Kiln; ER00-2206-000       2/3/99       2/8/99         Big Creek       2/3/99       2/8/99         Water Works;       ER99-1694-000       6/23/00       6/29/00         Big Creek       6/23/00       6/29/00         Water Works;       ER99-1694-001       6/4/98       6/9/98         Products;       ER98-3223-000       8       9/22/98         Burney Forest;       6/23/00       6/29/00         ER98-4563-000       6/23/00       6/29/00         Burney Forest;       11/22/00       11/30/00         ER01-501-000       2/10/99       2/16/99         Cabrillo Power I; ER99-1777-001       6/23/00       6/29/00         Cabrillo Power II; ER99-1778       6/23/00       6/29/00         Cabrillo Power II; ER99-1778-001       6/23/00       6/29/00         California DWR       3/6/98       3/18/98         ER98-2115-000       3/6/98       3/18/98	(executed);       ER98-1912-001         Anaheim;       6/23/00       6/29/00       7/14/00         ER98-1912-002       4/14/00       4/19/00       5/5/00         ARCO CQC       4/14/00       4/19/00       5/5/00         Kiln; ER00-2206-000       22/3/99       2/8/99       2/23/99         Water Works;       ER99-1694-000       6/23/00       6/29/00       7/14/00         Big Creek       6/23/00       6/29/00       7/14/00         Water Works;       ER99-1694-001       6/4/98       6/9/98       6/24/98         Products;       ER98-3223-000       8       6/9/98       6/24/98         Burney Forest       9/16/98       9/22/98       10/6/98         ER98-4563-000       8       9/22/98       10/6/98         Burney Forest;       6/23/00       6/29/00       7/14/00         ER98-4563-001       11/22/00       11/30/00       12/13/00         Cabrillo Power       1; ER99-1777-000       2/16/99       3/2/99         Cabrillo Power       6/23/00       6/29/00       7/14/00         Cabrillo Power       1; ER99-1778-001       6/23/00       6/29/00       7/14/00         Cabrillo Power       6/23/00       6/29/00       7/14/00	(executed);   ER98-1912-001   Anaheim;   ER98-1912-002   6/23/00   6/29/00   7/14/00   8/9/00   ER98-1912-002   ARCO CQC   Kiln; ER00-2206-000   Big Creek   Water Works;   ER99-1694-000   Big Creek   Water Works;   ER99-1694-001   Burney Forest   Products;   ER98-3223-000   Burney Forest;   ER98-3223-000   Burney Forest;   ER98-4563-000   Burney Forest;   ER98-4563-001   Burney Forest;   ER98-4563-001   Burney Forest;   ER99-1777-000   Cabrillo Power I; ER99-1777-001   Cabrillo Power I; ER99-1778   Cabrillo Power II; ER99-1778   Cabrillo Power II; ER99-1778-001   California   DWR   ER98-2115-000   California   John St.   John St

	G 1:6	F /1 /00	F / C / O O	5 /01 /00	0 /0 /00	Last Revised. Way 1, 2001
	California DWR ER98-2115-000 (Executed)	5/1/98	5/6/98	5/21/98	9/8/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Amendment No. 1	California DWR; ER99- 3413-000	6/29/99	7/6/99	7/19/99	7/30/99	Accepted for filing, effective 6/30/99
Compliance filing	DWR; ER99- 3413-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as Second Revised Service Agreement No. 101
	Calpine Construction Finance Co.; ER01-1864-000	4/25/01	4/30/01	5/16/01		
	Calpine Geysers; ER98- 3802-000	7/20/98	7/23/98	8/7/98	9/16/98	Accepted for filing, effective 7/13/98
	Calpine Geysers; ER00- 3305-000	7/28/00	8/3/00	8/18/00		
	Delano Energy Co., Inc. ER00- 922	12/28/99	1/4/00	1/14/00	2/7/00	Accepted for filing, effective 12/14/99
Compliance filing	Delano Energy; ER00-922-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 276
	Dinuba Energy; ER01-1463-000	3/8/01	3/14/01	3/29/01	4/25/01	Accepted for filing effective 3/5/01 as Service Agreement No. 350
	Duke Energy Oakland; ER98- 3021-000; ER98-4133-000 (amend 1)	5/15/98	5/20/98	6/4/98	6/12/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Amendment 1		8/6/98	8/11/98	8/26/98	9/9/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Compliance filing	Duke Energy Oakland; ER98- 4133-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as Second Revised Service Agreement No. 121
	Duke Energy Morrow Bay; ER98-3018- 000; ER98- 4167-000 (amend 1)	5/15/98	5/20/98	6/4/98	7/14/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement

						East Revised. Way 1, 2001
Amendment 1		8/6/98	8/11/98	8/26/98	9/9/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Compliance filing	Duke Energy Morro Bay; ER98-4167-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as Second Revised Service Agreement No. 119
	Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-3019- 000; ER98- 4168-000 (amend 1)	5/15/98	5/20/98	6/4/98	6/12/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement.
Amendment 1		8/6/98	8/11/98	8/26/98	9/9/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Compliance filing	Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-3000-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as Second Revised Service Agreement No. 120
	Duke Energy South Bay; ER99-2442	4/12/99	4/15/99	4/30/99	6/2/99	Accepted for filing, effective 3/25/99
Compliance filing	Duke Energy South Bay; ER99-2442-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 224
	East Bay Municipal Utility District; ER99-4329	8/31/99	9/9/99	9/20/99	10/13/99	Accepted for filing, effective 8/19/99
Compliance filing	East Bay Municipal Utility District; ER99-4329-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 260
	El Dorado Energy, ER00- 737-000	12/6/99	12/13/99	12/27/99	1/27/00	Accepted for filing, effective 11/23/99
Compliance filing	El Dorado Energy; ER00- 737-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 273
	El Segundo Power ER98-1310-000 (Executed)	12/30/97	1/14/98	1/30/98	2/25/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Amendment 1		6/5/98	6/10/98	6/25/98	9/8/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement

			1			Last Reviseu. May 1, 2001
Amendment 2	El Segundo Power ER98- 1310-001	8/19/98	8/24/98	9/8/98		
Compliance filing	El Segundo Power; ER98- 1310-002	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as Third Revised Service Agreement No. 34
	Energy 2001; ER01-227-000	10/26/00	10/30/00	11/1/00	12/5/00	Accepted for filing effective 10/11/00 as Service Agreement No. 339
Amendment No. 1	Energy 2001; ER01-1291-000	2/21/01	2/27/01	3/14/01	4/6/01	Accepted for filing effective 1/29/01 as Service Agreement 339 (1st revision)
	Fresno Cogeneration Partner, LP, ER00-1576	2/8/00	2/26/00	2/29/00	3/27/00	Accepted for filing, effective 1/18/00
Compliance filing	Fresno Cogeneration Partners; ER00- 1576-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 287
	Gas Recovery Systems; ER00- 3007-000	6/30/00	7/5/00	7/21/00	8/17/00	Accepted for filing as Service Agreement No. 305 under FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1 effective 6/12/00
	Geysers Power; ER99-2820-000	5/5/99	5/12/99	5/25/99	6/30/99	Accepted for filing, effective 4/27/99
Compliance filing	Geysers Power Company; ER99-2820-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 229
	Green Power Partners I, LLC; ER99-3254-000	6/15/99	6/18/99	7/6/99	7/30/99	Accepted for filing, effective 5/28/99
	GPU Solar, Inc.; ER00- 2428-000	5/8/00	5/12/00	5/30/00	6/22/00	Accepted for filing, effective 5/1/00, Service Agreement No. 297
	Harbor Cogeneration; ER99-1880-000	2/19/99	2/24/99	3/11/99	4/14/99	Accepted for filing, effective 2/5/99
Compliance filing	Harbor Cogeneration; ER99-1880-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 201
	La Paloma Generating; ER01-1611-000	3/26/01	3/29/01	4/16/01		

						Last Revised. May 1, 2001
	Long Beach Generation LLC ER98-1933-000 (Unilateral)	2/18/98	2/27/98	3/13/98	3/30/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
	Long Beach Generation LLC ER98-1933-000 (Executed)	5/1/98	5/6/98	5/21/98	9/8/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Amendment 1	Long Beach, ER98-1933-001	8/19/98	8/24/98	9/8/98		
Compliance filing	Long Beach; ER98-1933-002	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as Second Revised Service Agreement No. 39
	Los Alamos Energy, LLC; ER01-1566-000	3/16/01	3/21/01	4/6/01		
	Louisiana Pacific Samoa, Inc.; ER00-948- 000	12/30/99	1/6/00	1/19/00	2/7/00	Accepted for filing, effective 12/14/00
	Martinez Refining Company, ER98-3613-000	7/6/98	7/9/98	7/24/98	8/7/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Amendment 1 - certification date	Martinez Refining; ER98-4564-000	9/16/98	9/22/98	10/6/98	10/14/98	Accepted for filing
Compliance filing	Martinez Refining; ER98-4564-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as Second Revised Service Agreement No. 166
	Midway Sunset; ER98-997-000 (executed)	12/9/97; 12/30	12/23/97; 1/29/98	1/16/98; 2/12/98	2/25/98	PG Agreements, as modified, are accepted for filing and suspended for a nominal period, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date; public hearing to be held; PGAs must be revised to conform to the December 17 Order; the modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date and; Schedule No. 1 must be filed under Section 205.
Amendment 1		6/15/98	6/18/98	7/2/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date of prior order subject to the outcome of ER98-992-000, et al
Amendment 2	Midway Sunset; ER98-4166-000	8/10/98	8/13/98	8/31/98	9/9/98	Accepted for filing effective 7/1/98 subject to the outcome of Docket No. ER98-992-000 et al
	Monsanto; ER99-50-000	10/6/98	10/9/98	10/26/98	11/24/98	Accepted for filing

						Last Revised. Way 1, 2001
Compliance filing	Monsanto; ER99-50-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 177
	Mt Poso Generation; ER98-4574-000	9/17/98	9/22/98	10/7/98	11/9/98	Accepted for filing
Compliance filing	Mt Poso; ER98- 4574-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 175
	Mountainview Power Company; ER99-2990-000	5/20/99	5/25/99	6/9/99	7/13/99	Accepted for filing, effective 5/7/99
Compliance filing	Mountainview; ER99-2990-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 235
	Mountain View Power Partners; ER01-1408-000	3/6/01	3/9/01	3/27/01	4/25/01	Accepted for filing effective 2/22/01 as Service Agreement No. 347
Compliance filing	Northern California Power Agency; ER00-2241-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 298
	Nuevo Energy; ER00-2543-000	5/18/00	5/23/00	6/8/00	7/5/00	Accepted for filing, Service Agreement No. 301
	PacifiCorp; ER99-3461-000	7/1/99	7/8/99	7/21/99	8/30/99	Accepted for filing, effective 6/18/99
Compliance filing	PacifiCorp; ER99-3461-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 246
	PG&E ER98-1002-000 (Executed)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98 & 9/8/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Compliance filing	PG&E ER98- 1002-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 32
	Pasadena; ER99-3617-000	7/16/99	7/22/99	8/5/99	9/14/99	Accepted for filing, effective 7/8/99
Compliance filing	Pasadena; ER99-3617-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 249
	Reliant Energy Coolwater (formerly Alta Power Generation, LLC) ER98-1930-000 (Unilateral)	2/18/98	2/27/98	3/13/98		The ISO filed a fully executed version of this agreement on March 12, 1998.

	Reliant Energy Coolwater (formerly Alta Power Generation, LLC) ER98-1930-000 (Executed)  ER98-1930-001 (amend 1)	3/12/98	3/17/98	3/27/98	3/30/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Amendment 1		7/20/98	7/23/98	8/7/98	9/8/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Amendment 2	Reliant Energy Coolwater; ER00-477-000	11/3/99	11/12/99	11/23/99		
Amendment 3	Reliant Energy Coolwater; ER00-1625	2/15/00	2/18/00	3/7/00		
Compliance filing	Reliant Energy Coolwater; ER00-1625-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as Fourth Revised Service Agreement No. 37
	Reliant Energy Ellwood (formerly Oeste Power Generation, LLC) ER98-1935-000 (Unilateral)	2/18/98	2/27/98	3/13/98		The ISO filed a fully executed version of this agreement on March 12, 1998 in the same docket.
	Reliant Energy Ellwood (formerly Oeste Power Generation, LLC) ER98-1935-000 (Executed) ER98-1935-001 (amend 1)	3/12/98	3/17/98	3/27/98	3/30/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Amendment 1	,	7/20/98	7/23/98	8/7/98	9/8/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement

				-		Last Revised: May 1, 2001
Amendment 2	Reliant Energy Ellwood; ER00- 476-000	11/3/99	11/12/99	11/23/99		
Compliance filing	Reliant Energy Ellwood; ER00- 461-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as Third Revised Service Agreement No. 40
	Reliant Energy Etiwanda (formerly Mountain Vista Power) ER98-1910-000 (Unilateral)	2/18/98	2/26/98	3/12/98		The ISO filed a fully executed version of this agreement on March 12, 1998.
	Reliant Energy Etiwanda (formerly Mountain Vista Power ER98-1910-000 (Executed)  ER98-1910-001 (Amend 1)	3/12/98	3/17/98	3/27/98	3/30/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Amendment 1	(Timono 1)	7/20/98	7/23/98	8/7/98	9/8/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Amendment No. 2	Reliant Energy Etiwanda; ER00-475-000	11/3/99	11/12/99	11/23/99		
Compliance filing	Reliant Energy Etiwanda; ER00-475-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as Third Revised Service Agreement No. 35
	Reliant Energy Mandalay (formerly Ocean Vista ER98-1931- 000) (Unilateral)	2/18/98	2/27/98	3/13/98		The ISO filed a fully executed version of this agreement on March 12, 1998 in the same docket.

					_	Last Revised. May 1, 2001
	Reliant Energy Mandalay (formerly Ocean Vista) ER98-1931- 000 (Executed) ER98-1931-001 (amend 1)	3/12/98	3/17/98	3/27/98	3/30/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Amendment 1		7/20/98	7/23/98	8/7/98	9/8/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Amendment No. 2	Reliant Energy Mandalay; ER00-474-000	11/3/99	11/12/99	11/23/99		
Compliance filing	Reliant Energy Mandalay; ER00-474-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as Third Revised Service Agreement No. 38
ER98-3224-000	Reliant Energy, Ormond Beach Power Generation LLC;	6/4/98	6/9/98	6/24/98	7/6/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Amendment 1-ER00- 478-000		11/3/99	11/12/99	11/23/99		
Compliance filing	Reliant Energy Ormond Beach; ER00-478-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as Second Revised Service Agreement No. 136
	Riverside Canal Power Company; ER99-2988-000	5/20/99	5/25/99	6/9/99	7/13/99	Accepted for filing, effective 5/7/99
Compliance filing	Riverside Canal Power Company; ER99-2988-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 241
	Riverside County Waste Management; ER01-593-000	12/5/00	12/8/00	12/26/00	1/25/01	Accepted for filing effective 11/30/00 as Service Agreement No. 339
	SCE , ER98- 992-000 (Executed)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98 & 9/8/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement

Revised Schedule 1	ER98-3656-000	7/6/98	7/9/98	7/24/98	8/7/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
2 <sup>nd</sup> Revision SCE Schedule 1	ER98-4181-000	8/7/98	8/12/98	8/27/98	9/9/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Amendment 2 (certification date change)		8/10/98	8/13/98	8/31/98		Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Compliance filing	SCE; ER98- 4181-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as Third Revised Service Agreement No. 29
	SDG&E, ER98- 996-000 (Executed)	12/9/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	2/25/98 & 9/8/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Notice of Termination	SDG&E ER00- 3306-000	7/28/00	8/3/00	8/18/00		
	San Joaquin Cogen Limited; ER00-1080-000	1/12/00	1/14/00	2/1/00	2/22/00	Accepted for filing, effective 1/6/00
Amendment No. 1	San Joaquin Cogen Limited; ER00-3389-000	8/10/00 8/24/00	8/15/00 8/29/00	8/31/00 9/14/00	9/21/00	Accepted for filing effective 8/24/00 as first revision to Service Agreement No. 283
Corrected	San Joaquin Cogen Limited; ER00-3389-001	8/24/00	8/29/00	9/14/00		
	Sempra Energy Trading Corp.; ER99-3259-000	6/15/99	6/18/99	7/6/99	7/30/99	Accepted for filing, 6/1/99
Compliance filing	Sempra Energy Trading Corp.; ER99-3259-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 241
	Sierra Pacific Industries; ER98-4273-000	8/18/98	8/21/98	9/8/98	9/16/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Compliance filing	Sierra Pacific Industries; ER98-4273-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 172
Amendment to Schedule 1	Sierra Pacific Industries; ER01-619-000	12/7/00	12/13/00	12/28/00	1/25/01	Accepted for filing effective 10/9/00 as Second Revised Service Agreement No. 172
Amendment to Schedule 1	Sierra Pacific Industries; ER01-1297-000	2/21/01	2/27/01	3/14/01	4/9/01	Accepted for filing effective 1/26/01 as Service Agreement No. 172 (1st Revision)

						Last Revised. Way 1, 2001
	Sierra Power Corp., ER01- 1467-000	3/8/01	3/14/01	3/29/01	4/25/01	Accepted for filing effective 3/5/01 as Service Agreement No. 349.
	Southern Energy Delta; ER99-2117-000	3/11/99	3/16/99	3/31/99	4/29/99	Accepted for filing, effective 3/4/99
Compliance filing	Southern Energy Delta; ER99-2117-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 202
	Southern Energy Potrero; ER99-2119-000	3/11/99	3/16/99	3/31/99	4/29/99	Accepted for filing, effective 3/4/99
Compliance filing	Southern Energy Potrero; ER99-2119-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 204
	Sunlaw Cogeneration Partners; ER98- 1187-000	1/6/99	1/11/99	1/26/99	3/2/99	Accepted for filing
Compliance filing	Sunlaw Cogeneration Partners; ER99- 1187-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 186
	City of Sunnyvale; ER00-630-000	11/23/99	11/30/99	12/13/99	1/11/00	Accepted for filing, effective 11/16/99
Compliance filing	Sunnyvale; ER00-630-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 270
	Texaco ER98-1309-000 (Executed)	12/30/97	1/14/98	1/30/98	2/25/98	As modified, accepted for filing to become effective on the ISO Operations Date;; PGAs must be revised to conform to the December 17 Order; the modifications must be posted on the ISO Home Page and filed within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date and; Schedule No.1 must be filed under Section 205.
Amendment No. 1		6/5/98	6/10/98	6/25/98	9/8/98	Accepted for filing effective on date of prior order subject to the outcome of ER98-992-000, et al
Amendment No. 2	Texaco; ER99- 3415-000	6/29/99	7/6/99	7/19/99	7/30/99	Accepted for filing, effective 6/30/99
	Tosco Refining; ER99-1733-000	2/5/99	2/11/99	2/25/99	4/1/99	Accepted for filing, effective 2/1/99
Compliance filing	Tosco Refining; ER99-1733-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 191

	University of California Davis; ER99- 4011-000	8/6/99	8/13/99	8/26/99	9/29/99	Accepted for filing, effective 7/26/99
	Utica Power Authority; ER00-3258-000	7/25/00	7/28/00	8/15/00	9/13/00	Accepted for filing effective 9/13/00 as Service Agreement No. 332
	Wheelabrator Martell, Inc.; ER98-2950	5/11/98	5/14/98	5/29/98	6/4/98	Letter Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176) accepting the settlement
Amendment 1 - Certification	Wheelabrator Martell; ER98- 4562-000	9/16/98	9/22/98	10/6/98	10/14/98	Accepted for filing
Amendment 2	Wheelabrator Martell; ER99- 2055	3/4/99	3/9/99	3/24/99	4/2/99	Accepted for filing
Compliance filing	Wheelabrator Martell; ER99- 2055-001	6/23/00	6/29/00	7/14/00	8/9/00	Accepted for filing as First Revised Service Agreement No. 116
	Wheelabrator Shasta Energy; ER00-3241-000	7/24/00	7/27/00	8/14/00	9/13/00	Accepted for filing effective 7/12/00 as Service Agreement No. 329.

# N. Participating Load Agreements

	PARTICIPATING LOAD AGREEMENTS										
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status					
•	Ancillary Service Coalition; ER00-2583-000	5/24/00	5/26/00	6/14/00	7/12/00	92 FERC ¶ 61,019 - accepted for filing					
	NewEnergy California; ER00-2581-000	5/24/00	5/26/00	6/14/00	7/12/00	92 FERC ¶ 61,019 - accepted for filing					
	New West Energy; ER00- 2582-000	5/24/00	5/26/00	6/14/00	7/12/00	92 FERC ¶ 61,019 - accepted for filing					

## O. Transmission Control Agreements

	TRANSMISSION CONTROL AGREEMENTS									
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status				
Amended TCA	ISO ER98-1971-000	2/20/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/30/98	The proposed Transmission Control Agreement is hereby accepted for filing, with modifications discussed, and to become effective on the ISO Operations Date. The ISO must post the modifications on the ISO Home Page and file the changes along with the compliance filing within 60 days of the ISO Operations Date.				
Path 15 Operating	ISO ER99-	2/11/99	2/17/99	3/3/99	3/19/99	Letter order requesting additional data				
Instructions	1770-000	4/19/99	4/23/99	5/7/99	6/17/99	Letter order accepting filing - Rehearing requests pending				
Vernon TCA Amendment	ISO; ER01-724- 000	12/21/00	1/2/00	1/11/00	2/21/01	94 FERC ¶ 61,141, Commission accepts the ISO's proposed amendments to the TCA including changes to make Vernon a PTO and to ensure that governmental entities that join the ISO commit to make any refunds ordered by FERC. The Commission also rejects Vernon's complaint that it be exempt from the ADR provisions of the TCA.				
Compliance filing	ISO; ER01-724- 000	3/23/01	3/28/01	4/13/01	5/1/01	Accepted for filing				

### P. FINANCING

	FINANCING									
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status				
	ES98-9-000	11/17/97		12/16/97	12/22/97; 81 FERC ¶ 62.220	Accepted.				
	ES98-9-001	3/13/98		4/9/98	83 FERC ¶ 62,039	Accepted.				
	ES00-12-00	12/30/99	1/7/99	1/27/00	2/9/00	Accepted				

# Q. ISO/PX REPORTS

	REPORTS									
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status				
MSU Annual Report	ISO; ER99- 3158-000	6/4/99	6/10/99 6/22/99	7/8/99	9/29/99	Directed to submit by 12/31/99 an evaluation of the market in the San Diego Basin				
PX Annual Report	EC96-19-000; ER96-1663-000	7/30/99	8/4/99	8/27/99						
zone creation, A/S bids (one part vs. 2 part) and losses	ISO; ER00-703- 000	12/1/99	12/9/99 & 12/20/99	1/11/00						
Study of Market Power in San Diego Basin	ISO; ER00-997- 000	12/30/00	1/5/00	1/19/00						
PX Annual Report	PX; EC96-19- 000; ER96- 1663-000	7/31/00	8/10/00	8/30/00						
PX Price Movements on California PX Markets May - September 2000	PX; EC96-19- 000, ER96- 1663-000	11/7/00	11/15/00	11/28/00						
FTR Report	ISO; ER98- 3594	12/1/00	12/5/00	12/21/00						

## VIII. OTHER FERC PROCEEDINGS IN WHICH THE ISO IS PARTICIPATING

			ОТН	ER PROCE	EDINGS	
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
	Duke Energy Moss Landing LLC; ER98- 2668-000	4/24/98	4/28/98	5/13/98	6/25/98	Order accepting for filing and suspending RMR tariffs, dismissing proposed acquisition adjustment and consolidating tariffs and establishing hearing procedures.  4/11/01, 95 FERC ¶ 61,036, Order accepting compliance filing based on settlement approved 1/28/00, 90 FERC ¶ 61,073.
	Duke Energy Oakland LLC; ER98-2669-000	4/24/98	4/29/98	5/14/98		
	PG&E ER98- 2785					
	Duke Energy Oakland; ER98- 4296	4/24/98 & 8/20/98		9/9/98	6/25/98 & 10/14/98	Order accepting for filing and suspending RMR tariffs and consolidating tariffs and establishing hearing procedures.
	Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-4300	4/24/98 & 8/20/98		9/9/98	6/25/98 & 10/14/98	Order accepting for filing and suspending RMR tariffs and consolidating tariffs and establishing hearing procedures.
cost based rates for ancillary services	Long Beach Generation, ER98-2537	4/14/98		5/4/98	6/10/98, 83 FERC P 61,277	Order accepting for filing and establishing hearing procedures and consolidating dockets  Offer of settlement (ER98-2537) accepted by Order dated 11/30/98
cost based rates for ancillary services	El Segundo Power, ER98- 2550	4/15/98		5/5/98		
Market based rates for ancillary services	El Segundo Power; ER98- 2971-000	5/12/98			7/10/98 7/17/98	7/10/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing.  7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve.  See 10/28/98 AES Order.

		1				Last Revised. May 1, 2001
Market based rates for	Long Beach	5/12/98			7/10/98	7/10/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing.
ancillary service	Generation; ER98-2972				7/17/98	7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve.
						See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	AES Redondo Beach, ER98- 2843; AES- Huntington Beach, ER98- 2844; AES Alamitos, ER98-2883	5/1/98		5/21/98 ext. to 6/8/98	6/30/98 7/17/98 (84 FERC ¶ 61,046)	6/30/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing.  7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 6/30/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve.  8/19/98 Market Surveillance Committee files report. Protests due 9/8/98.  Order issued October 28, 1998 in AES Redondo Beach, LLC, et al., 85 FERC ¶ 61, 123 - FERC authorizes market-based rates for all sellers of Ancillary Services and Replacement Reserve Services with California and extends the interim authority of the ISO to limit prices it will pay for Ancillary Services. FERC directs the ISO to conduct a
						stakeholder process and make a comprehensive proposal to restructure the Ancillary Service markets by March 1, 1999. FERC also denies the requests for rehearing of its prior orders and SoCal's complaint in Docket No. EL98-62-000.
Market Monitoring	ER98-2843, et	3/10/99	3/18/99	4/19/99		
Committee Report	al.		4/14/99			
Market Surveillance Committee Report	ER98-2843, et al.	3/35/99	3/29/99	4/19/99		
Market Surveillance Committee Report	ER98-2843-009	10/19 & 20/99	10/29/99	11/9/99		
Committee Report		40/77	1	1		

						Last Revised. Way 1, 2001
Market based rates for ancillary services	Ocean Vista Power et al,	5/13/98		6/2/98	7/10/98	7/10/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing
anemary services	(now Reliant Energy Mandalay) ER98-2977-000				7/17/98	7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve.
						See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	Williams Energy; ER98- 3106-000	5/26/98		6/15/98	7/24/98	Accepted without suspension or hearing  See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	Duke Energy Oakland LLC; ER98-3416-000	6/18/98		ext. to 7/27/98	8/17/98; 84 FERC ¶61,186	Accepted without suspension or hearing  See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	Duke Energy Morro Bay; Docket No. ER98-3417-000	6/18/98		ext. to 7/27/98	8/17/98; 84 FERC ¶ 61,186	Accepted without suspension or hearing  See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-3418-000	6/18/98		ext. to 7/27/98	8/17/98; 84 FERC ¶ 61,186	Accepted without suspension or hearing  See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	Mountainview Power; ER98- 4301	8/20/98		9/9/98	10/16/98; 85 FERC ¶61,060	Accepted without suspension or hearing
Market based rates for ancillary services	Riverside Canal Power; ER98- 4302	8/20/98		9/9/98	10/16/98; 85 FERC ¶61,060	Accepted without suspension or hearing
Market-based rates for ancillary services	San Diego Gas & Electric; ER98-4498-000	9/9/98		9/29/98	10/28/98	Conditionally accepted
Market-based rates for ancillary services	Sempra Energy Trading; ER98- 4497-000	9/9/98		9/29/98	10/28/98	Conditionally accepted
Unexecuted service agreement placing the ISO under Pacificorp's market based sales tariff	Pacificorp; ER98-4083-000	7/31/98 & 8/12/98	8/7/98 & 8/18/98	9/2/98	9/9/98	Accepted for filing
Petition for Waiver of FERC annual charge	PJM Inter- connection; EL98-71-000	8/12/98	8/21/98	9/18/98		

	т — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		1			Last Reviseu. May 1, 2001
Alturas Intertie Project	Sierra Pacific Power; ER99-	10/2/98	10/17/98	10/22/98	11/30/98	Accepted for filing - jurisdictional parties are required to negotiate appropriate operating procedures
	28-000				3/19/01	negotiate appropriate operating procedures
						Order issued March 19, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,318), the
						Commission denies requests for clarification of BPA and
						Sierra Pacific concerning the Commission order issued
						January 11, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,033), which dismissed as
						moot a request for rehearing filed by Sierra Pacific of the
						February 26, 1999 order accepting for filing, suspending,
						and setting for hearing agreements related to the
						Northwest AC Intertie, the COI, and the Alturas Intertie
						(86 FERC ¶ 61,198).
Alturas Intertie	Sierra Pacific	12/17/98	12/22/98	1/7/99		
Operating and	Power ER99-					
Scheduling Agreement	945-000					
Reliability Management	Western	12/28/98		1/21/99	4/14/99	Order approving RMS on an experimental basis
System	Systems					
	Coordinating					
	Council; EL99-					
D 1 (0"1"	23-000	10/01/00		1/20/00	2/25/00 06	A . 10 C1: 1 1 1 1
Duke affiliate service	Duke Energy	12/31/98		1/20/99	2/25/99 86	Accepted for filing - paper hearing to consider whether
agreements	Moss Landing and Duke				FERC ¶ 61,187	additional transaction or reporting rules are needed to prevent affiliate abuse; trial-type hearing with respect to
					01,107	the reasonableness of prior affiliate sales.
	Energy Oakland; ER99-				3/18/99	the reasonableness of prior annuale sales.
	1127-000 and				3/10/99	3/18/99 - Order granting motion to hold paper hearing in
	ER99-112-000					abeyance.
PX Amendment 9	PX; ER99-	2/19/99	2/24/99	3/11/99	4/16/99 87	Order issued 4/16/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,079) Commission
	1883-000	2/10/00	2,2 1,77	3/11/77	FERC ¶	accepts the PX's Amendment 9 allowing for netting
	1000 000				61,079	(bookouts) of certain purchases and sales in the Day-
					22,0.2	Ahead Market at common delivery points external to the
						ISO Controlled Grid.
Termination of RMR	Duke Energy	4/30/99	5/6/99	5/20/99		
Agreement	Moss Landing;					
	ER99-2721-000					
SMUD interim short-	PG&E ER99-	5/4/99	5/11/99	5/24/99		
term coordination	2794-000					
agreement						

	1	1		1	1	Last Reviseu. May 1, 2001
PG&E Interconnection with Laguna	PG&E ER99- 3145-000	6/2/99	6/7/99	6/22/99	8/3/99	FERC issued a final order directing interconnection and conditionally accepting the interconnection agreement for filing
						Order issued 6/30/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,340) - In response to PG&E and SCE's request for rehearing of the Commission's order requiring PG&E to interconnect with Laguna and PG&E's proposed interconnection with Fresno, FERC states that in <i>Central Main</i> , 90 FERC ¶ 61,214 (2000) and <i>Tennessee Power</i> , 90 FERC ¶ 61, 238 (2000) it clarified that interconnection is an element of open access service pursuant to Order No. 888 and that customers may request interconnection separately from delivery and thus the instant case might be moot unless the parties demonstrate within 30 days that the case should not be dismissed
Petition for Declaratory Order on SB 96	California Electricity Oversight Board; EL99- 75-000	7/7/99	7/9/99	7/22/99	8/5/99 11/1/99	FERC grants petition finding that SB 96 prescibes an interim role for the Oversight Board that would be consistent with jurisdictional guidance given by the Commission in its prior orders and that appropriately would be reevaluated at such time that another state joins the ISO.  Order issued 11/1/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,134) - the
						Commission rejected the rehearing requests of the Coalition of New Market Participants and the Western Power Trading Forum to the 8/5/99 Order granting the Oversight Board's request for a declaratory order regarding SB 96.
Mountain West ISA	Sierra Pacific; EC99-100-000	7/23/99	7/29/99	8/23/99	1/27/00	Order issued 1/27/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,067) the Commission conditionally approved the Mountain West Independent System Administrator
	Mountain West; ER99-3719-000	7/23/99	8/5/99	8/18/99	1/27/00	
PX Tariff Simplification	PX; ER99- 4113-000	8/18/99	8/23/99	9/7/99	10/13/99	Commission accepts the PX Tariff simplification for filing and establishes proceedings before a settlement judge.
Sierra Pacific request to interconnect with Oxbow	Sierra Pacific; EL99-85-000	8/13/99			11/26/99	The Commission directs Oxbow Geothermal Corporation to interconnect with Sierra Pacific.
Revenue sharing for certain products & services	PG&E EL99- 91-000	9/8/99	9/9/99	10/8/99		

		1	1	1	1	Last Revised. May 1, 2001
SC services tariff	PG&E ER00- 565-000	11/12/99	11/18/99	12/2/99	1/11/00	Noting that this proceeding will be moot if it reverses the initial decision in Docket No. ER97-2358 (regarding PG&E's initial request to recover SC expenses through the TRBAA), the Commission acted to "accept the SCS Tariff for filing, suspend it and set it for hearing, conditionally grant waiver of notice to make it effective March 31, 1998, subject to refund, but accept PG&E's proposal to defer billing, and also defer the hearing pending resolution of the issues before the Commission in Docket Nos. ER97-2358, et al." Within 45 days of the resolution of the proceeding in Docket No. ER97-2358, parties are to advise the Commission as to what action they would like the Commission to take regarding PG&E's proposed SCS
						Tariff.
Pro forma	PG&E ER00-					PG&E has proposed to withdraw the filing.
interconnection agreement	658-000					
PX Bylaw amendment	PX; EL99-75- 002 et al	11/24/99	12/1/99	12/27/99	1/27/00	90 FERC ¶ 61,066. Accepted for filing
ISO-PG&E-SMUD interim Agreement	ISO; ER00-879- 000	12/22/99	12/28/99	1/11/00	2/9/00	Accepted for filing effective 3/31/98
Amendment to ISO-PG&E-SMUD interim Agreeement	ISO; ER00- 3278-000	7/27/00	8/1/00	8/17/00	9/13/00	Accepted for filing effective 7/21/00 as Supplement No. 2 to Rate Schedule No. 39
PG&E-Dynegy CATSA	PG&E ER00-			1/14/00	2/24/00	90 FERC ¶ 61, 190. Accepted for filing
extension	902-000					
	see also ER00-				4/25/00	91 FERC ¶ 61,072. Accepted for filing
	1807-000 and					
	ER97-320-000					
ISO-SCE Big Creek	ISO; ER00-	2/14/00	2/17/00	3/6/00	3/16/00	Accepted for filing effective 1/13/00
PSP Agreement	1620-000					

						Last Revised: May 1, 2001
El Segundo OOM tariff	El Segundo;			3/28/00	4/27/00	Order issued 4/27/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,110). The
	ER00-1830					Commission rejected a proposed non-market dispatch
					4/30/01	service tariff filed unilaterally by El Segundo. FERC
						found that the submission represented a collateral attack
						on its Amendment No. 23 order and was "an inappropriate
						attempt to change the terms of the ISO's tariff unilaterally
						for the benefit of a single generator
						for the benefit of a single generator
						Order issued April 30, 2001 (95 FERC ¶ 61,159), the
						Commission denies the request for rehearing of El
						Segundo Power of the Commission order issued April 27,
						2000 (91 FERC ¶ 61,110), in which the Commission
						rejected El Segundo's proposed Non-Market Dispatch
						Service Tariff.
PG&E 205 filing to	PG&E ER00-	3/31/00	4/7/00	4/21/00		
conform ETCs	2075-000					
PG&E Reliability	PG&E ER00-	4/28/00	5/5/00	5/19/00	7/12/00	92 FERC ¶ 61,021 - the Commission accepts PG&E's
Services Tariff	2360-000 (001)	5/16/00	5/23/00	6/6/00		proposed Reliability Services Tariff for recovery of RMR
	, ,					and OMM costs for filing subject to hearing
						Order issued 10/12/00 (93 FERC ¶ 61,004) - the
						Commission denies rehearing request of Santa Clara and
						NCPA, stating that the hearing already set in the matter is
						the appropriate forum to determine if PG&E has the
						contractual rights to pas through reliability services costs
						to existing contract holders.
PG&E / Lassen	PG&E ER00-	5/25/00	5/31/00	6/15/00	7/10/00	Accepted for filing
Interconnection	2599-000					
WSCC Reliability	WSCC; ER00-	6/29/00	7/3/00	7/20/00	8/21/00	Accepted for filing
Criteria Agreement	2986-000					
amendment						
WSCC RSC	WSCC; ER01-			11/21/00	12/11/00	Accepted for filing
amendment	275-000					
PX Price Bid caps	PX; ER00-	8/22/00	8/25/00	9/12/00		
	3461-000					
Middle Fork-Ralston	ISO; ER01-50-	10/05/00	10/11/00	10/26/00	11/17/00	Accepted for filing
PSP Agreement with	000					
PG&E						
		•		•		

	1			1		
Coordinated Operations	PG&E ER01-	10/31/00	11/3/00	11/21/00	12/29/00	Order issued December 29, 2000 (93 FERC ¶ 61,322), the
Agreement	276-000					Commission rejects without prejudice PG&E's proposal to
					2/23/01	modify the Coordinated Operations Agreement to cease its
						scheduling responsibilities.
						SI
						Order issued February 23, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,204), the
						Commission denied PG&E's rehearing request of the
						rejected amendment to the Coordinated Operations
						Agreement.
C	ICO, ED01 020	1/10/01		1	2/9/01	C
Summer reliability	ISO; ER01-929-	1/10/01			2/8/01	Accepted
agreements	000					
Show cause proceeding	Williams; IN01-	3/14/01			3/14/00	94 FERC ¶ 61,248 - Respondents have 20 days to explain
	3-000					or pay.
	Removing					
	Obstacles;					
	EL01-47					
Market based rate	Williams;			4/2/01		
renewal	ER99-1722			1, 2, 01		
		0.100.101	2/22/01	4/40/04	4/24/04	
Summer reliability with	ISO; ER01-	3/20/01	3/23/01	4/10/01	4/24/01	Accepted for filing.
NRG Energy Center	1581-000					
Round Mountain						

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  A Commission Notice was not issued for the August 15 filing. However, the Restated Tariff was requested by the Commission in the July 30 Order and the deadline to file comments (9/2/97) was set forth in the July 30 Order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The December 30 filing is an amendment to the December 9 Scheduling Coordinator Agreement between the ISO and IAG Trading Company.

### IX. RULEMAKINGS

			Rule	emakings	
Description	Docket	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
RTO	RM99-2-000	7/21/99	8/23/99	12/20/99 final rule, Order 2000, issued; 89 FERC ¶ 61,285 2/25/00, order on reh'g, 90 FERC ¶ 61,201	A public utility that is a member of an existing transmission entity that has been approved by the Commission as in conformance with the eleven ISO principles set forth in Order No. 888 must make a filing no later than January 15, 2001. That filing must explain the extent to which the transmission entity in which it participates meets the minimum characteristics and functions for an RTO, and either propose to modify the existing institution to the extent
Designation of Electric	RM99-12-000			3/31/00	necessary to become an RTO, or explain the efforts, obstacles and plans with respect to conforming to these characteristics and functions.  Revises regulations on tariff sheet designation
Rate Schedules Revision of Annual	RM00-7-000	1/28/00	4/3/00	10/26/00	effective 6/1/00 On 10/26/00 (93 FERC ¶ 61,083) - the Commission
Charges Assessed to Public Utilities				3/15/01	issued Order No. 641, its final rule regarding assessment of annual charges. FERC will recover the costs of its electric regulatory program based solely on the volume of electricity transmitted by public utilities including. in regions where they exist, ISOs or RTOs.
					Order issued March 15, 2001 (94 FERC ¶ 61,290), the Commission issues an order on rehearing of its Annual Charge rule. With regard to the ISO's request for clarification that there is a need to collect these charges even if there is uncertainty as to what the actual amounts will be eventually, the Commission
					reiterated that these costs can be recovered as an element of transmission rates and that its regulations provided "great flexibility" as to the manner of recovery.