# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

| American Electric Power Service | ) |                         |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Corporation                     | ) | Docket No. EL03-137-000 |

## COMMENTS OF THE CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION ON AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION

#### To: Presiding Administrative Law Judge Carmen A. Cintron

On August 26, 2003, American Electric Power Service Corporation ("AEPSC"), on behalf of the AEP Companies ("AEP"), and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Trial Staff ("Staff") submitted an Agreement and Stipulation ("Agreement") to the Commission in full and final resolution of all issues related to AEP set for hearing on June 25, 2003 in *American Electric Power Service Corp.*, et al., 103 FERC ¶ 61,345 (2003) (the "Gaming Show Cause Order" or the "Order"). Pursuant to Rule 602 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.602 (2003), and to the ruling of the Presiding Judge extending the deadline for comments on the Agreement until September 30, 2003, the California Independent System Operator Corporation ("CAISO") timely submits these comments on the Agreement.

### I. Background

The Gaming Show Cause Order required AEP to show cause why it should not be found to have engaged in Cutting Non-Firm and Circular Scheduling, as those practices were described in the Order. In the Agreement, AEP and Staff propose to settle as to both practices by having AEP pay \$45,240 (the "Settlement Amount"), all of AEP's revenues identified by the CAISO as associated with transactions that potentially involved the practice of Cutting Non-Firm.

#### II. Discussion

The CAISO supports the Agreement. The Agreement states that payment of the Settlement Amount "constitutes complete and total satisfaction of the cause of action in the instant docket." Agreement at ¶ 3.3. The CAISO notes that neither the Agreement, if approved by the Commission, nor payment of the Settlement Amount, will affect AEP's potential liability under any other proceeding now ongoing, or prevent the institution of future Show Cause or other proceedings against AEP based on time periods, practices or transactions different from those made relevant to AEP in the Gaming Show Cause Order, nor does the Agreement purport to do so.

#### III. Conclusion

The CAISO urges the Presiding Administrative Law Judge to certify the Agreement to the Commission as in the public interest.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles F. Robinson, General Counsel Gene Waas, Regulatory Counsel The California Independent System Operator Corporation 151 Blue Ravine Road Folsom, CA 95630 Tel: (916) 916-7049

Dated:September 26, 2003

/s/ J. Phillip Jordan

J. Phillip Jordan Michael Kunselman Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP 3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007 Tel: (202) 424-7500

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

In accordance with the order issued by the Presiding Administrative Law Judge I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document by posting an electronic copy on the Listserv for this proceeding, as maintained by the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, on this 26<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2003.

/s/ J. Phillip Jordan
J. Phillip Jordan