

#### December 17, 2012

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20426

Re: California Independent System Operator Corporation Docket Nos. ER06-615-\_\_\_ and ER07-1257-\_\_\_ Market Disruption Report

Dear Secretary Bose:

The California Independent System Operator Corporation (ISO) hereby submits its September/October report covering Market Disruptions reportable events under Section 7.7.15 of its FERC Electric Tariff (ISO Tariff) that occurred from October 16, 2012 to November 15, 2012.<sup>1</sup>

Please contact the undersigned with any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

#### By: /s/ Anna McKenna

Nancy Saracino
General Counsel
Anthony Ivancovich
Deputy General Counsel
Anna McKenna
Assistant General Counsel
California Independent System
Operator Corporation
250 Outcropping Way
Folsom, CA 95630
Tel: (916) 608-7182

Fax: (916) 608-7222 amckenna@caiso.com

The ISO submits the Market Disruption report pursuant to *California Independent System Operator Corp.*, 126 FERC ¶ 61,211 (2009), and Section 7.7.15.4 of the ISO Tariff.



# Market Disruption Report Oct 16, 2012 to Nov 15, 2012

December 15, 2012

ISO Department of Market Analysis and Development

## I. Background

A Market Disruption is an action or event that causes a failure of a CAISO Market, related to system operation issues or System Emergencies. Pursuant to Section 7.7.15 of the ISO Tariff, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (ISO or CAISO) can take one or more of a number of specified actions in the event of a Market Disruption, to prevent a Market Disruption, or to minimize the extent of a Market Disruption. The ISO reports Market Disruption occurrence in any of the following circumstances:

- When any of the ISO market processes fail to publish, including the Integrated Forward Market ("IFM"), Residual Unit Commitment ("RUC"), Hour-Ahead Scheduling Process ("HASP"), Real-Time Unit Commitment ("RTUC"), or Real-Time Dispatch ("RTD") processes;
- When the ISO manually overrides the closing of the Day-Ahead Market; or
- Any time that the ISO removes Bids from a CAISO Market to prevent a Market Disruption or to minimize the extent of a Market Disruption.

The Market Disruption report contains the following information:

- The frequency and types of actions taken by the ISO pursuant to Section 7.7.15;
- The nature of the Market Disruptions that caused the ISO to take action, or the Market Disruptions that were successfully prevented or minimized by the ISO as a result of taking action, and the ISO's rationale for taking such actions pursuant to Section 7.7.15;
- Information about the Bids (including Self-Schedules) removed pursuant to Section 7.7.15 (i.e., megawatt quantity, point of interconnection, specification of the Day-Ahead versus Real-Time Bid, and Energy or Ancillary Services Bid); and
- The ISO's rationale for its removal of Bids (including Self-Schedules) pursuant to Section 7.7.15.<sup>2</sup>

These system operation issues or System Emergencies are referred to in Sections 7.6 and 7.7, respectively, of the ISO Tariff. ISO Tariff, Appendix A, definition of Market Disruption. Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings set forth in the ISO Tariff.

Id. at P 29 & n.29.

# II. Report on Market Disruptions Occurring from October 16, 2012 through November 15, 2012

The ISO's report on Market Disruptions that occurred during the time period from October 16, 2012 through November 15, 2012, is provided in Table 1 and Attachment A below. Attachment A includes an entry for each reportable Market Disruption event and each entry also indicates:

- (1) The date of the Market Disruption;
- (2) The hour and Dispatch Interval when the Market Disruption ended;
- (3) The type of CAISO Market in which the Market Disruption occurred; and
- (4) A description of the nature of the Market Disruption, the nature of any actions taken by the ISO, the rationale for such actions, and the Market Disruption prevented or minimized as a result of taking such actions.

For each of the CAISO Markets, Table 1 lists the number of Market Disruptions and the number of times that the ISO removed Bids (including Self-Schedules) during the time period covered by this report. As shown in Table 1, there were a total of 57 Market Disruptions for the reporting period, all of which occurred in the real-time. Table 1 also indicates that the ISO did not remove any Bids (including Self-Schedules) in any of its markets during the reporting period.

**Table 1: Summary of Market Disruption Report** 

Type of CAISO Market	Market Disruption or Reportable Events	Removal of Bids (including Self- Schedules)
Day-Ahead		
IFM	0	0
RUC	0	0
Real-Time		
Real-Time Unit Commitment Interval 1	1	0
Real-Time Unit Commitment Interval 2	4	0
Real-Time Unit Commitment Interval 3	6	0
Real-Time Unit Commitment Interval 4	6	0
Real-Time Dispatch	40	0

Table 1 and Attachment A indicate that there were 17 instances of RTUC failures, including 4 HASP failures. Most of the RTUC and HASP failures were caused by application not run, broadcast failures, database problem, and application fall back or forward.

The frequency of RTD failures in this report was 40. The majority of the RTD failures were due to application not run, RTD solutions blocked with previous solutions used, and other reasons such as broadcast results failures, server and database failure, application fall forward or back and software patching.

# **ATTACHMENT A**

# California Independent System Operator Corporation Market Disruption Report December 15, 2012

Table 1: Market Disruptions, Nature of Actions Taken by the California ISO, Rationale and/or Market Disruption Prevented or Minimized as a Result of such Actions

					Nature of Actions, Nature of Market Disruption, Rationale and/or Market Disruption Prevented or Minimized as a Result
Count	Date	Hour	Interval	Market	of such Actions
1	10/16/2012	14	4	RTUC	RTUC failed due to application not running. This interval was filled either automatically or interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing and resource awards for this interval.
2	10/16/2012	14	7	RTD	RTD failed due to application not running. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled from previous good interval.
3	10/16/2012	14	8	RTD	RTD failed due to application not running. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled from previous good interval.
4	10/16/2012	14	10	RTD	RTD failed due to application not running. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled from previous good interval.
5	10/16/2012	14	11	RTD	RTD failed due to application not running. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled from previous good interval.
6	10/16/2012	15	1	RTUC	RTUC failed due to application not running. This interval was filled either automatically or interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing and resource awards for this interval.
7	10/16/2012	15	2	HASP	HASP failed due to application not running. This interval was filled either automatically or interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing and resource awards for this interval.
8	10/16/2012	15	3	RTUC	RTUC failed due to application not running. This interval was filled either automatically or interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing and resource awards for this interval.

Count	Date	Hour	Interval	Market	Nature of Actions, Nature of Market Disruption, Rationale and/or Market Disruption Prevented or Minimized as a Result of such Actions
					RTUC failed due to application not running. This interval was filled
				_	either automatically or interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing
9	10/16/2012	15	4	RTUC	and resource awards for this interval.
			_		RTD failed due to application not running. Loss clearing payload
10	10/16/2012	15	5	RTD	and LMP filled from previous good interval.
			_		RTD failed due to application not running. Loss clearing payload
11	10/16/2012	15	6	RTD	and LMP filled from previous good interval.
			_		RTD failed due to application not running. Loss clearing payload
12	10/16/2012	15	7	RTD	and LMP filled from previous good interval.
	10/10/0010			5-5	RTD failed due to application not running. Loss clearing payload
13	10/16/2012	15	8	RTD	and LMP filled from previous good interval.
	10/10/0010			5-5	RTD failed. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled from previous
14	10/19/2012	23	1	RTD	good interval.
			_		RTD failed. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled from previous
15	10/19/2012	23	2	RTD	good interval.
4.0	40/40/0040	00		5.75	RTD failed due to broadcast failure. Loss clearing payload and LMP
16	10/19/2012	23	9	RTD	filled from previous good interval.
4-	40/04/0040	4.0	4.0	5.75	RTD failed due to application timeout. Loss clearing payload and
17	10/21/2012	12	10	RTD	LMP filled from previous good interval.
					RTUC failed due to broadcast failure. This interval was filled either
10	40/40/0045			DTUG	automatically or interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing and
18	10/19/2012	23	4	RTUC	resource awards for this interval.
					RTUC failed due to Fall Forward. This interval was filled either
40	40/00/0040	4.5		DTUC	automatically or interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing and
19	10/23/2012	15	3	RTUC	resource awards for this interval.
00	40/00/0040	4.5		DTD	RTD failed due to fall forward. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled
20	10/23/2012	15	4	RTD	from previous good interval.

Count	Date	Hour	Interval	Market	Nature of Actions, Nature of Market Disruption, Rationale and/or Market Disruption Prevented or Minimized as a Result of such Actions
Count	Date	пош	interval	IVIAI KEL	RTUC failed due to Fall Forward. This interval was filled either
					automatically or interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing and
21	10/23/2012	15	4	RTUC	resource awards for this interval.
					RTD failed due to fall forward. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled
22	10/23/2012	15	5	RTD	from previous good interval.
					RTD failed due to fall forward. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled
23	10/23/2012	15	6	RTD	from previous good interval.
			_		RTD failed due to fall forward. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled
24	10/23/2012	15	7	RTD	from previous good interval.
05	40/00/0040	4.5		DTD	RTD failed due to fall forward. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled
25	10/23/2012	15	8	RTD	from previous good interval.
26	10/23/2012	15	9	RTD	RTD failed due to fall forward. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled from previous good interval.
20	10/23/2012	13	9	KID	RTD failed due to fall forward. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled
27	10/23/2012	15	10	RTD	from previous good interval.
2.	10/20/2012	10	10	1(15	RTD failed due to software patching. Loss clearing payload and
28	10/24/2012	14	6	RTD	LMP filled from previous good interval.
					RTD failed due to software patching. Loss clearing payload and
29	10/24/2012	14	7	RTD	LMP filled from previous good interval.
					RTUC failed due to database problem. This interval was filled either
					automatically or interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing and
30	10/28/2012	9	3	RTUC	resource awards for this interval.
	10/00/0045				RTD failed due to server and database failure. Loss clearing
31	10/28/2012	9	6	RTD	payload and LMP filled from previous good interval.
00	40/00/0040	0	_	DTD	RTD failed due to server and database failure. Loss clearing
32	10/28/2012	9	7	RTD	payload and LMP filled from previous good interval.
33	10/28/2012	9	8	RTD	RTD failed due to server and database failure. Loss clearing
33	10/28/2012	9	ď	KID	payload and LMP filled from previous good interval.

Count	Date	Hour	Interval	Market	Nature of Actions, Nature of Market Disruption, Rationale and/or Market Disruption Prevented or Minimized as a Result of such Actions
	10/00/0010			DTD	RTD failed due to server and database failure. Loss clearing
34	10/28/2012	9	9	RTD	payload and LMP filled from previous good interval.
35	10/29/2012	8	2	RTD	RTD failed due to application not running. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled from previous good interval.
					HASP failed. This interval was filled either automatically or
					interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing and resource awards
36	10/29/2012	8	2	HASP	for this interval.
					RTD failed due to application not running. Loss clearing payload
37	10/29/2012	8	3	RTD	and LMP filled from previous good interval.
					RTD failed due to application not running. Loss clearing payload
38	10/29/2012	8	4	RTD	and LMP filled from previous good interval.
39	10/29/2012	16	3	RTD	RTD failed due to software patching. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled from previous good interval.
					RTD failed. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled from previous
40	10/31/2012	18	3	RTD	good interval.
41	10/30/2012	12	2	HASP	HASP failed. This interval was filled either automatically or interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing and resource awards for this interval.
					RTUC failed. This interval was filled either automatically or
					interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing and resource awards
42	10/31/2012	18	3	RTUC	for this interval.
					RTD failed. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled from previous
43	10/31/2012	18	4	RTD	good interval.
					HASP failed due to application not running. This interval was filled
	4.4/0/0046			114.05	either automatically or interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing
44	11/2/2012	8	2	HASP	and resource awards for this interval.

Count	Date	Hour	Interval	Market	Nature of Actions, Nature of Market Disruption, Rationale and/or Market Disruption Prevented or Minimized as a Result of such Actions
Count	Date	nour	interval	Market	RTUC failed due to application not running. This interval was filled
					either automatically or interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing
45	11/2/2012	8	3	RTUC	and resource awards for this interval.
			-		RTUC failed due to application not running. This interval was filled
					either automatically or interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing
46	11/2/2012	8	4	RTUC	and resource awards for this interval.
					RTD failed due to application not running. Loss clearing payload
47	11/2/2012	8	11	RTD	and LMP filled from previous good interval.
					RTD failed. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled from previous
48	11/7/2012	24	12	RTD	good interval.
					RTD failed. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled from previous
49	11/14/2012	15	2	RTD	good interval.
					RTUC failed due to Fallback. This interval was filled either
			_		automatically or interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing and
50	11/15/2012	15	3	RTUC	resource awards for this interval.
					RTD failed due to Fallback. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled
51	11/15/2012	15	4	RTD	from previous good interval.
					RTUC failed due to Fallback. This interval was filled either
	4.4.4.5.400.4.0	4-		D.T. 1.0	automatically or interactively. MQS published Pnode clearing and
52	11/15/2012	15	4	RTUC	resource awards for this interval.
50	44/45/0040	4.5	_	DTD	RTD failed due to Fallback. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled
53	11/15/2012	15	5	RTD	from previous good interval.
<b>5</b> 4	44/45/0040	4.5		DTD	RTD failed due to Fallback. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled
54	11/15/2012	15	6	RTD	from previous good interval.
55	11/15/2012	15	7	RTD	RTD failed due to Fallback. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled
33	11/13/2012	15	/	KID	from previous good interval.
56	11/15/2012	15	0	RTD	RTD failed due to Fallback. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled
00	11/15/2012	15	8	KID	from previous good interval.

Count	Date	Hour	Interval	Market	Nature of Actions, Nature of Market Disruption, Rationale and/or Market Disruption Prevented or Minimized as a Result of such Actions
					RTD failed. Loss clearing payload and LMP filled from previous
57	11/15/2012	24	4	RTD	good interval.

Notes:

Integrated Forward Market (IFM): The Day-Ahead Market run in which the ISO conducts the market for purchases and sales of Energy for all hours of the next Trading Day based on submitted supply and demand bids, and performs the procurement of Ancillary Services.

Residual Unit Commitment (RUC): The Day-Ahead Market run in which the ISO conducts unit commitment of additional resources based on submitted availability bids and the forecast of demand for every hour of the next Trading Day.

Real-Time Unit commitment (RTUC) Interval 1: The first of a series of four market runs conducted every Trading Hour in advance of the Operating Hour. In this run the ISO conducts the Market Power Mitigation and Reliability Requirement Determination for submitted Bids, which applies to all of the Real-Time Market processes for the given Trading Hour. In this interval the ISO also conducts the procurement of incremental Ancillary Services from internal resources and dynamic external resources.

Real-Time Unit commitment (RTUC) Interval 2: The second of a series of four market runs conducted every Trading Hour in advance of the Operating Hour during which the ISO conducts the HASP. In the HASP, the ISO conducts the procurement and sale of Energy and Ancillary services from non-dynamic System Resources based on submitted Bids and the CAISO Forecast of CAISO Demand. In this interval the ISO also conducts the advisory procurement of incremental Ancillary Services from internal resources and dynamic external resources from T to T+60 minutes and procurement for the given Trading Hour.

Real-Time Unit commitment (RTUC) Interval 3: The third of a series of four market runs conducted every Trading Hour. During this interval the ISO conducts the commitment of internal Short-Start and Fast Start Units for the Time Horizon of T-30 minutes to T+240 minutes. In this interval the ISO also conducts the procurement of incremental Ancillary Services from internal resources and dynamic external resources for the given Trading Hour.

Real-Time Unit commitment (RTUC) Interval 4: The fourth of a series of four market runs conducted every Trading Hour. This interval is for the Real-time Unit Commitment for the T-105 minutes to T+60 minutes time horizon. In this interval the ISO also conducts 15-minute Ancillary Service Awards for non-Hourly System Resources, internal resources and dynamic external resources for the given Trading Hour.

Real-Time Dispatch (RTD): The five minute interval of any given Operating Hour during which the ISO conducts the market for Energy based on submitted bids and the CAISO Forecast of CAISO Demand.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing document upon the parties listed on the official service list in the captioned proceedings, in accordance with the requirements of Rule 2010 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.2010).

Dated at Folsom, California this 17<sup>th</sup> day of December 2012.

Isl Anna Pascuzzo

Anna Pascuzzo