

Readiness Criterion Identifier	Readiness Category	Criteria	Measurable Elements	Threshold	Owner	Status	Evidence	Tariff Mapping
1	Prospective EIM Entity Full Network Model Integration	Generation, Interchange and Load comparison	Load, EIM Internal Intertie and EIM External Interties, and Generating Unit definition in the Full Network Model is consistent with the Load, EIM Internal Intertie and EIM External Interties, and Generating Unit definition in the exported prospective EIM Entity network model file that it delivered to the CAISO.	Data matches within 10%, measured in MW capacity to start parallel operation, and within 5% before full activation. Discrepancies, if any, are accounted for in terms of imbalance adjustment	CAISO	Complete	ISO provided reports indicating that the Generating Unit, Intertie and Load definition in the ISO's Full Network Model is consistent with the network modeling information in the Idaho Power network model.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(A)(i)
2	Prospective EIM Entity Full Network Model Integration	Comparison of SCADA measurement	SCADA measurements used in prospective EIM Entity EMS model match the measurements observed by the CAISO through the CAISO EMS model	Critical and used SCADA measurements match 90% to start parallel operation and 95% before full activation, measured in MW, outside of any exception in EMS model	CAISO	Complete	ISO provided reports indicating Critical and used SCADA measurements that Idaho Power is publishing match 99.93% to the values seen by the ISO.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(A)(ii)
3	Prospective EIM Entity Full Network Model Integration	State Estimator solution	CAISO state estimator solution is equivalent or superior to the prospective EIM Entity state estimator solution for its Balancing Authority Area.	State Estimator solutions converge >90% of the time in two days before parallel operation and three days before full activation. Solution differences within 10% before parallel operation and 5% before full activation measured in MW or justified due to different external BAA modeling	CAISO	Complete	ISO provided reports indicating that the ISO state estimator is solving on 30-second continuous basis on the IS EMS system and the solution is converging 99.9% of the time.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(A)(iii)
4	Prospective EIM Entity Full Network Model Integration	Non-Conforming Load, Behind-the-Meter Generation, Pseudo Ties, and Dynamic Schedules	Physical representation of the prospective EIM Entity's network matches the Base Market Model that accounts for non-conforming load, behind-the-meter generation, pseudo-ties, and dynamic schedules, and third party transmission service provider and path operator information that supports EIM Transfers and Real-Time Dispatch in the Energy Imbalance Market, as applicable	Prospective EIM Entity major non-conforming loads > 5% of prospective EIM Entity total actual load in MW are modeled separately from conforming load in market model	CAISO	Complete	Idaho Power provided an email stating that they have no non-conforming loads that meet the criteria.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(A)(iv)
5	Agreements	Execution of Necessary Agreements	The prospective EIM Entity has executed all necessary agreements.	The prospective EIM Entity will execute all agreements, as outlined in Section 5 of the EIM BPM within the required timelines outlined in Section 5.	JOINT	Complete	All agreements have been executed with executed agreements as evidence.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(K)(i)
6	Operations Training	Completion of mandatory training courses	Prospective EIM Entity operators who will have responsibility for EIM operations, transactions and settlements, will complete CAISO training modules.	Prospective EIM Entity operators will Complete training and close-of-training assessment in the appropriate timeframes as outlined in <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · "100 series" – an introduction to Energy Imbalance Market training · "200 series" – the specific hourly and daily tasks and duties for normal operation training module; and · "300 series" – the assessment of market results and response to contingencies and abnormal situations training module. 	IPC	Complete	IPC provided evidence that all necessary training has been completed.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(B)

Readiness Criterion Identifier	Readiness Category	Criteria	Measurable Elements	Threshold	Owner	Status	Evidence	Tariff Mapping
7	Forecasting Capability	Load forecast capability	<p>Definition of EIM demand forecast boundaries based on the conforming and non-conforming load characteristics, as applicable</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accuracy of the CAISO forecast of EIM demand based on historical actual load data for the defined EIM demand forecast boundaries. · Identification of weather station(s) locations used in forecasting, if applicable. 	All Plant Information (PI) tags and historical data for defined load area(s), and non-conforming load, if applicable, compared with load forecasts provided from CAISO (if CAISO load forecast used).	CAISO	Complete	The ISO provided an email and report indicating that all load PI tags and historical data has been delivered to the ISO, and forecast models have been developed using this data as well as relevant weather stations.	Tariff sections 29.2(b)(7)(C)(i)-(iii)
8	Forecasting Capability	Variable Energy Resource (VER) forecast capability	<p>Identification of the source of VER forecasts. (If a participating wind or solar unit requires a CAISO forecast, then BPM and Tariff requirements apply.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accuracy of the CAISO forecast of EIM demand based on historical actual load data for the defined EIM demand forecast boundaries. 	Forecasting entity must demonstrate delivery of Unit MW forecast at 5 min intervals for at least three hours ahead. Forecasting entity must also provide base schedule by T-75, T-55 and T-40. EIM Entity provides to CAISO real-time MW production PI tags.	CAISO	On Track		Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(C)(iv)
9	Forecasting Capability	Flexible capacity requirements	CAISO has established flexible capacity requirements for the prospective EIM Entity Balancing Authority Area and the combined EIM Area including the prospective EIM Entity	The CAISO has received and stored all historical data from the prospective EIM Entity necessary and sufficient for the CAISO to perform the flexible ramp requirement.	CAISO	On Track		Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(K)(iv)
10	Balanced Schedules	Base schedule balancing capability	The prospective EIM Entity \ Scheduling Coordinator demonstrates its ability to pass the flexible ramping sufficiency test	90% or greater of base schedules balance tests during monitored hours are within 10% average imbalance of load forecast over one day period before parallel operation, and 5% average over five full days before full activation. The CAISO will provide examples of MW thresholds for each prospective EIM Entity to indicate a reasonable threshold as it applies to a given EIM Entity and indicate the potential implications of a swing from 5% over to 5% under forecast in one hour to the next.	IPC	Complete	The ISO provided reports indicating that Idaho Power has met the base schedule balancing criteria for at least 23 hours per day for at least 5 days.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(D)(i)
11	Balanced Schedules	Flexible ramping sufficiency test capability	The prospective EIM Entity \ Scheduling Coordinator demonstrates its ability to pass the flexible ramping sufficiency test.	Passes 90% of the time or greater over monitored hours of one day before parallel operation and five non-consecutive days before full activation.	IPC	Complete	The ISO provided reports indicating that Idaho Power has met the flexible ramping sufficiency test (both Up and Down) for at least 22 hours per day for at least 5 days.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(D)(iii)

Readiness Criterion Identifier	Readiness Category	Criteria	Measurable Elements	Threshold	Owner	Status	Evidence	Tariff Mapping
12	Balanced Schedules	Capacity test capability	The prospective EIM Entity Scheduling Coordinator demonstrates its ability to pass capacity test	Passes 90% of the time or greater over monitored hours of one day before parallel operation and five non-consecutive days before full activation. The CAISO will explain the implications of any potential issues with the reliability of an EIM Entity to meet its capacity requirements.	CAISO	Complete	The ISO provided reports indicating that Idaho Power has met the capacity test capability for at least 22 hours per day for at least 5 days.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(D)(ii)
13	Operating Procedures	CAISO operating procedures (relevant to EIM operations)	The prospective EIM Entity signs CAISO non-disclosure agreement and receives appropriate CAISO “public” and “restricted” operating procedures	Operating procedures NDA signed by the prospective EIM Entity. The prospective EIM Entity receives CAISO operating procedures four months prior to the parallel operations date.	JOINT	Complete	Signed non-disclosure agreement has been provided as evidence.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(K)(i)
14	Operating Procedures	Prospective EIM Entity operating procedures	The prospective EIM Entity operating procedures are defined, updated, and tested for the EIM Entity Scheduling Coordinator	The prospective EIM Entity operating procedures are updated tested and implemented prior to parallel operations date.	IPC	Complete	Idaho Power confirmed that their operating procedures have been updated, tested and implemented for use during parallel operations. Procedures will continue to be tested through parallel operations and into production.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(K)(ii)
15	System Readiness & Integration	Functional Testing	The prospective EIM Entity and the CAISO will test the functional and system elements in accordance with functional and system testing documentation posted on the CAISO website	All tasks identified in the functional and system testing documentation are complete and will not have any issues deemed significant. Any exceptions will be explained or have an interim solution that is functionally equivalent.	IPC	Complete	IPC provided the Idaho Power EIM Test Results Summary document providing an explanation of an interim solution for any items deemed significant.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(E)(i)
16	System Readiness & Integration	System Integration	The prospective EIM Entity and CAISO will test system integration testing in accordance with the system integration testing documentation posted on the CAISO website	All tasks identified in the system integration testing documentation are complete and will not have any issues deemed significant. Any exceptions will be explained or have an interim solution that is functionally equivalent.	IPC	Complete	IPC provided the Idaho Power EIM Test Results Summary document providing an explanation of an interim solution for any items deemed significant.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(E)(ii)
17	System Readiness & Integration	The prospective EIM Entity system access complete	All prospective EIM Entity employees who require system access to perform EIM-related job functions identified and have necessary certificates.	All prospective EIM Employees performing job functions for EIM market are identified. All CAISO issued certificates are requested within the appropriate timeframes. All identified employees provided the necessary EIM system access certificates.	IPC	Complete	The ISO provided evidence that all necessary Idaho Power staff have required access for Parallel Operations. Idaho Power confirmed the access is in place and plan is in place for production.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(E)(iii)

Readiness Criterion Identifier	Readiness Category	Criteria	Measurable Elements	Threshold	Owner	Status	Evidence	Tariff Mapping
18	System Readiness & Integration	ISO - prospective EIM Entity interfaces	Data interfaces between prospective EIM Entity's systems and CAISO systems are tested	ISO and prospective EIM Entity identify significant data interface issues. EIM Entity and CAISO executives to approve exceptions.	JOINT	Complete	IPC provided the testing timeline summary document reflecting that all interface testing completed.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(E)(i)
19	Market Simulation	Day in the life simulation	The prospective EIM Entity operators are able to meet the market timelines	The prospective EIM Entity grid operations staff complete end-to-end daily market workflow with no critical defects.	JOINT	Complete	Idaho Power confirmed that all Day in the Life test scenarios were executed successfully.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(I)(ii)
20	Market Simulation	Structured scenarios simulation	The prospective EIM Entity operators execute and pass all structured scenarios provided by CAISO	All significant issues resolved or have an interim solution that is functionally equivalent.	JOINT	On Track	IPC and the ISO have successfully executed the operational components of all Structured Market Simulation Scenarios. However, IPC and the ISO are continuing to analyze settlement statements for 3 Structured Scenarios and need additional time to complete this analysis. This analysis is anticipated to complete in the next few weeks.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(I)(iii)
21	Market Simulation	Unstructured scenarios simulation	The prospective EIM Entity operators execute and pass all unstructured scenarios provided by prospective EIM Entity	All significant issues resolved or have an interim solution that is functionally equivalent.	JOINT	Complete	Idaho Power sent an email that they planned to not run any unstructured scenarios.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(I)(iv)
22	Market Simulation	Market results reports	Market results are appropriate based on inputs	The prospective EIM Entity and CAISO executive project sponsors approve the market results reports during market simulation	IPC	On Track		Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(I)(v)
23a	Market Simulation	Market quality review	Prices are validated based on input data	Market simulation prices and MWs schedules/dispatches are validated by CAISO market quality team for entry into parallel operations	CAISO	Complete	The ISO Market Quality team provide a report validating that the market prices and MW schedules/dispatches observed during market simulation meets the requirements.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(I)(vi)
23b	Parallel Operations	Market quality review	PO Market quality review	Parallel operations prices and MWs schedules/dispatches are validated by the CAISO market quality team	CAISO	On Track		Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(I)(vi)
24	Market Simulation	The prospective EIM Entity Identification	Validation of SCID's and Resource ID's	The CAISO has established and the prospective EIM Entity has tested all necessary SCIDs and Resource IDs established for the prospective EIM Entity's Balancing Authority Area	JOINT	Complete	The ISO provided a schedule 1 and a completed roles matrix as evidence along with an email confirming that the SCIDs and resource IDs are in place at ISO and have been tested.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(I)(i)

Readiness Criterion Identifier	Readiness Category	Criteria	Measurable Elements	Threshold	Owner	Status	Evidence	Tariff Mapping
25	Settlements	ISO Settlement Statements and Invoices published to the prospective EIM Entity and EIM Participating Resources	The CAISO Settlement statements and invoices match the operational data published to stakeholders or fed into settlement system and the resulting calculations correspond to the formulas defined in ISO's tariff and BPMs	Monthly settlement statement and invoice with corresponding daily statements produced during market simulation and parallel operations are verifiably accurate against available data.	JOINT	On Track		Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(F)(i)
26	Settlements	The prospective EIM Entity settlement statements and invoices reflect accurate allocations to the prospective EIM Entity customers prior to financially binding operations.	Verification that settlement statements and invoices accurately reflects system and market data	The prospective EIM Entity settlement statements and invoices that allocate charges and credits to its customers accurately reflect system and market data during parallel operations.	JOINT	On Track	IPC and the ISO have successfully executed the operational components of all Structured Market Simulation Scenarios. However, IPC and the ISO are continuing to analyze the settlement results for Bid Cost Recovery and Neutrality and need additional time to complete this analysis. This analysis is anticipated to complete in the next few weeks.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(F)(ii)
27	Monitoring	Data monitoring	Sufficient and adequate data is available to the CAISO and the Department of Market Monitoring	All required market monitoring data is available during testing and during post go-live for the key metrics (any exceptions will be addressed). CAISO will provide a market report that will provide publicly available information to all market participants.	CAISO	Complete	The ISO Market Quality team provided an email, and a market report, as evidence that the data is available for reporting. DMM provided an email that the data is also available to them.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(K)(v)
28	Parallel Operations Plan	Deployment plan	Parallel operations run consistently and in accordance with the timeframe set forth in the prospective EIM Entity specific parallel operation plan	Parallel operations runs consistently within normal production CAISO Market disruption tolerances.	CAISO	Not Started		Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(J)
29	Outage Management System	Transmission and generation outage submittal and retrieval	The prospective EIM Entity will verify its ability to submit and retrieve outage information with the CAISO	The prospective EIM Entity validate their ability to submit and retrieve transmission out-of-service outages, generation Pmax derates, generation Pmin rerates, and generation out-of-service outage tickets within the required timelines.	JOINT	Complete	Idaho power submitted outages in the Map Stage environment. ISO confirmed that these were received and processed in the ISO systems.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(G)
30	Communications between the CAISO and the prospective EIM Entity	Voice and/or electronic messaging	Implemented process and procedures used for voice and/or electronic messaging	The process and procedures are incorporated into the prospective EIM Entities business processes before the start of market simulation.	IPC	Complete	Idaho Power sent email evidence that these processes are in place.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(H)(i)
31	Communications between the CAISO and the prospective EIM Entity	Communication tools	Staff are trained on communication procedures and tools	The prospective EIM Entity operations staff who will have responsibility for EIM operations, transactions and settlements are trained on the relevant operating procedures and tools used for EIM related communications before the start of parallel operations	IPC	Complete	Idaho Power sent email evidence that their staff has been trained on the communication procedures and tools.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(H)(ii)

Readiness Criterion Identifier	Readiness Category	Criteria	Measurable Elements	Threshold	Owner	Status	Evidence	Tariff Mapping
32	Communications between the CAISO and the prospective EIM Entity	3 rd party transmission service provider	The third party transmission service provider information that supports EIM Transfers and Real-Time Dispatch included in the Full Network Model is available during parallel operations	The CAISO provides third party transmission service provider and path operator information to the prospective EIM Entity through parallel operations	IPC	Complete	The ISO provided an email stating that this is not applicable for Idaho Power.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(H)(iii)
33	EIM Available Balancing Capacity	Identification of EIM Available Balancing Capacity	Participating resources and non-participating resources for EIM Available Balancing Capacity.	The prospective EIM Entity has identified EIM participating resources and non-participating resources that it intends to designate in the EIM Resource Plan as EIM Available Balancing Capacity	IPC	Complete	Idaho Power provided an email listing the resources they intend to designate with ABC and that the feature has been tested.	Tariff section 29.2(b)(7)(K)(iii)