

Dear Ms. Miller and Mr. Goodin,

EUF and CMTA reviewed a draft of AReM's comments and agree with them, think that AReM has raised some important implementation and regulatory overlap (with current CPUC requirements) issues and request that the CAISO address all items identified by AReM. The only area of significant difference with respect to PDR design between EUF and CMTA, on the one hand, and AReM, on the other, concerns how to settle for the power scheduled in the initial day ahead run, but not consumed by the participating customers yet still provided by the LSE. On all other details related to PDR we agree with the comments made by AReM and with respect to DDR we would also like confirmation on the disposition of the issue. Off line, the "plan" has been shared with Carolyn Kehrein, but we would like confirmation that DDR is dead for now and that the existing PL program will be implemented as previously provided for in the approved tariff and that only changes will be made to the software to eliminate manual work arounds.

We greatly appreciate the efforts of you, the stakeholder working group that developed the PDR-A concept, the other stakeholders and the rest of the extended ISO team working on all DR issues and MRTU implementation.

Carolyn Kehrein for EUF and CMTA