



CAISO Generation Interconnection
Cluster 1 & 2 Deliverability Concerns Meeting Comments

1. Did the amount of MWs up to cluster 3 really represent 33% of the RPS? If so.
 - a. Why did the ISO decide to include cluster 4 projects
 - b. What would have been the shared cost that CAISO would have paid.
2. Did the resource adequacy account for out of the ISO control territory project(imports).
3. Have any of the upgrade in the DA, identified previously in the CAISO transmission plan.
4. What are the caps for the financial postings for all clusters.
5. When is the DA for the Phase II C1, C2, C3, SGIP TC, and C4 projects be sent out?
 - a. Same as the Phase II results timeline?
6. What are the DA postings?
 - a. How are they calculated?
7. Will the Results Meetings be face-to-face?
8. What changes in Section 12.2 can void Study Results?
 - a. What level of refund would be provided?
 - b. With a 94 month possible lead time, technology advances would mean inverters and panels would be outdated and new protection schemes may need to be provided.
9. What level of neighboring Utilities have been studied in section 12.3?
10. How will the SGIAs be executed for the TGIP without knowing what the final costs for DA will be?

Julia Jauregui
Project Development Manager

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Julia Jauregui".