

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

El Segundo Power, LLC) Docket No. ER05-363-000

**JOINT STATUS REPORT ON SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS
AND REQUEST FOR CONTINUED DEFERMENT OF
THE APPOINTMENT OF A SETTLEMENT JUDGE**

**TO: The Honorable Curtis L. Wagner, Jr.
Chief Administrative Law Judge**

Pursuant to the *Order of Chief Judge Deferring Appointment of Settlement Judge*, issued on March 25, 2005 in the above-captioned proceeding, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (“CAISO”), El Segundo Power, LLC (“El Segundo”), Southern California Edison Company (“SCE”), the California Electricity Oversight Board (“EOB”), and the California Public Utilities Commission (“CPUC”) (collectively, the “Parties”) 1/ submit this Status Report and request that the Chief Judge defer appointment of a settlement judge for an additional thirty (30) days. In support thereof, the Parties state as follows:

I. BACKGROUND

On December 21, 2004, El Segundo filed a proposed Reliability Must-Run Agreement (“RMR Agreement”) with the CAISO for Contract Year 2005 for the El Segundo Units 3 and 4. On January 11, 2005, the CAISO, CPUC, SCE and EOB

1/ The Parties have authorized the CAISO to file on their behalf this Joint Status Report and Request for Continued Deferral of the Appointment of a Settlement Judge.

filed a Joint Protest raising several issues. On January 21, 2005, El Segundo filed an Answer to the Joint Protest.

On February 11, 2005, the Commission accepted for filing and suspended El Segundo's RMR Agreement. In addition, the Commission initiated settlement procedures pursuant to Rule 603 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.603 (2004). On February 22 and again on March 25, the Chief Judge granted the Parties' requests to defer appointment of a settlement judge to allow the Parties time to resolve the outstanding issues. The Chief Judge's March 25 Order requires the Parties to file a status report if no settlement had been reached by April 22, 2005.

II. STATUS REPORT

During the past thirty days, the Parties have continued to engaged in settlement negotiations regarding the outstanding issues. The Parties wish to continue discussions and, to that end, request that the Chief Judge defer appointment of a settlement judge for an additional 30 days.

III. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, the Parties respectfully request that the Chief Judge defer appointment of a settlement judge in this proceeding for an additional thirty (30) days to allow the Parties to actively continue negotiations.

Dated: April 22, 2005

Respectfully submitted

Joseph M. Paul
Senior Corporate Counsel
Dynergy
5976 W. Las Positas Blvd., Suite 200
Pleasanton, CA 94588

Attorney for El Segundo Power, LLC

Kenneth L. Glick
California Electricity Oversight Board
770 L Street; Suite 1250
Sacramento, CA 95814

*Attorney for the California Electricity
Oversight Board*

/s/ Mary Anne Sullivan

Mary Anne Sullivan
Karin L. Larson
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P
555 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004

*Counsel for California Independent
System Operator Corporation*

Anna J. Valdborg
Southern California Edison Co.
2244 Walnut Grove Ave.
Rosemead, CA 91770

*Attorney for Southern California
Edison Co.*

and

Nicholas Sher
Public Utilities Commission of the
State of California
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 5131
San Francisco, CA 94102

*Attorney for the Public Utilities
Commission of the State of California*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this 22nd day of April, 2005 caused to be served a copy of the forgoing *Joint Status Report on Settlement Negotiations and Request for Continued Deferment of the Appointment of a Settlement Judge* upon all parties listed on the official service list compiled by the Secretary of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in this proceeding.

/s/ Sidney M. Davies
Sidney Mannheim Davies
Senior Regulatory Counsel
California Independent System
Operator Corporation
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630