## FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20426

## OFFICE OF ENERGY MARKET REGULATION

California Independent System Operator Corporation Docket No. ER12-2509-000 July 9, 2014

Alston & Bird LLP The Atlantic Building 950 F Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004

Attention:Bradley R. Miliauskas, Esq.Attorney for California Independent System Operator Corporation

Reference: Compliance Filing

Dear Mr. Miliauskas:

1. On August 23, 2012, you filed on behalf of California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO), revisions to the CAISO tariff to comply with the Commission's July 24, 2012 Order.<sup>1</sup> In this order, the Commission directed CAISO to submit a compliance filing that modified section 8.9.1 of the CAISO tariff to clarify the procedure described in its earlier submittal and confirm that CAISO did not intend to add a power purchase agreement as a requirement for specific projects in clusters 1-4 to receive their requested deliverability status. Your filing satisfactorily complies with the Commission's directives and therefore is accepted, effective July 25, 2012.

The filing was noticed on August 27, 2012, with comments, protests or motions to intervene due on or before September 13, 2012. No protests or adverse comments were filed. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See California Independent System Operator Corp., 140 FERC ¶ 61,070 (2012) (July 24, 2012 Order). In this order, the Commission conditionally accepted CAISO's proposal to integrate its transmission planning process and generator interconnection procedures, subject to further modification. CAISO refers to the overall framework established by this integration as the generator interconnection and deliverability allocation procedures (GIDAP).

Docket No. ER12-2509-000

granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R § 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

This action does not constitute approval of any service, rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation, contract, or practice affecting such rate or service provided for in the filed documents; nor shall such action be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation affecting or relating to such service or rate; and such action is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against California Independent System Operator Corporation.

This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated to the Director, Division of Electric Power Regulation - West, under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director Division of Electric Power Regulation – West

cc: All Parties

20140709-3026 FERC PDF (Unofficial) 07/09/2014	
Document Content(s)	
ER12-2509-000.DOC1-	2