

California Independent System Operator Corporation

July 30, 2010

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426

> Re: California Independent System Operator Corporation Docket No. ER10-____-000

Transmission Access Charge Informational Filing

Dear Secretary Bose:

The California Independent System Operator Corporation submits an informational filing to provide notice regarding the ISO's revised transmission access charges (TAC) effective July 1, 2009, August 1, 2009, September 1, 2009, October 1, 2009, January 1, 2010, March 1, 2010, and June 1, 2010.¹ The basis for these revisions is to implement revised transmission revenue requirements (TRRs) of the cities of Riverside, Vernon, and Pasadena, California, Southern California Edison Company (SCE), and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), to implement the annual revision to the ISO's TAC transition charge, and to implement the annual transmission revenue balancing account (TRBA) adjustments of all the ISO's participating transmission owners.² This filing consolidates notice of several revisions to the ISO's TAC rates based on a number of Commission orders issued during the past several months. This filing also describes the ISO's efforts to provide refunds associated with revisions to TAC rates required by the Commission's orders. Each of these Commission orders and its effect on the ISO's TAC rates is described separately below.

¹ This filing is submitted in compliance with Order No. 714, *Electronic Tariff Filings*, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,276 (2009). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings set forth in Appendix A of the ISO tariff. The ISO is also sometimes referred to as the CAISO.

² The participating transmission owners with TRBAs are PG&E, SCE, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, the Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Pasadena, Riverside, and Vernon, California, Atlantic Path 15, LLC, and Startrans IO, L.L.C.

I. TAC Rates Orders and ISO Implementation

A. City of Riverside Revised TRR Effective July 1, 2009

The Commission initially accepted a revision to the city of Riverside's TRR, subject to hearing and settlement judge procedures, in an order issued on August 28, 2009 in Docket No. EL09-52.³ The ISO was able to incorporate that TRR revision into the ISO's standard settlement process for its TAC rates as of the July 1, 2009 effective date ordered by the Commission. The ISO notified the Commission of the revisions of its TAC rates effective as of that date in an informational filing on December 22, 2009 in Docket No. ER10-466, together with notice of other TAC rates revisions. The Commission acknowledged that filing in a letter order issued on February 17, 2010.

In the meantime, Riverside filed a settlement in Docket No. EL09-52 revising its TRR effective retroactive to July 1, 2009, and the Commission issued an order on February 5, 2010 approving that settlement.⁴ Along with notice of other changes in the ISO's TAC rates, today's informational filing provides notice of the ISO's revised TAC rates effective as of July 1, 2009 reflecting the settlement approved by the Commission in the order issued on February 5, 2010 in Docket No. EL09-52.

The timing of the Commission's order on the settlement in Docket No. EL09-52 was such that the ISO was only able to incorporate the revised TRR of Riverside into its standard settlement process for its TAC rates for the month of November 2009 and subsequent months, even though the revisions to Riverside's TRR were retroactive to July 1, 2009. In the ISO's informational filings on December 11, 2009 in Docket No. ER10-413 and on December 22, 2009 in Docket No. ER10-466, the ISO advised the Commission that it had not at that time determined the manner by which it would be able to provide any required refunds for the months of April through October 2009, as these refunds pertain to operations under its new settlements software system.

As the ISO advised in its prior filings, the ISO's new settlements software program does not have functionality to permit the processing of recalculated settlements for TAC refunds independent of the substantial backlog of other settlements recalculations that the ISO needs to process for the period since it implemented its new settlements software program on March 31, 2009. As a result, the ISO has not been able to issue any invoices for TAC refunds for the months of April-October 2009, including the TAC refunds associated with the settlement of the Riverside TRR approved by the Commission in Docket No. EL09-52 for the months of July-October 2009. After extensive evaluation and consultation with stakeholders whether an alternative approach to the implementation of the required refunds for the months of April-October 2009 could be developed, the ISO determined that the implementation of these refunds needed to await the completion of all other settlements recalculations for

³ City of Riverside, California, 128 FERC ¶ 61,207 (2009).

⁴ *City of Riverside, California*, 130 FERC ¶ 61,094 (2010).

the post-March 31, 2009 period and that additional provisions needed to be added to its tariff to provide a process for implementation of these recalculations.

As a result of these evaluations, the ISO developed a tariff amendment to facilitate the implementation of the necessary settlements recalculations and filed that amendment on July 8, 2010 in Docket No. ER10-1735. Once the Commission has accepted that tariff amendment, the ISO will implement the TAC refunds for the period from April 1 through October 31, 2009, including the refunds associated with the revised Riverside TRR for the months of July-October 2009. This informational filing provides notice to the Commission of the ISO's intent to implement those refunds in accordance with the provisions of that tariff amendment.

B. City of Pasadena Revised TRR Effective October 1, 2009

The Commission initially accepted a revision to the city of Pasadena's TRR, subject to hearing and settlement judge procedures, in an order issued on September 30, 2009 in Docket No. EL09-67.⁵ The ISO was able to incorporate that TRR revision into the ISO's standard settlement process for its TAC rates as of the October 1, 2009 effective date ordered by the Commission. The ISO notified the Commission of the revisions of its TAC rates effective as of that date in the ISO's informational filing on December 22, 2009 in Docket No. ER10-466, together with notice of other TAC rates revisions. The Commission acknowledged that filing in a letter order issued on February 17, 2010.

In the meantime, Pasadena filed a settlement in Docket No. EL09-67 revising its TRR effective retroactive to October 1, 2009, and the Commission issued an order on February 22, 2010 approving that settlement.⁶ Along with notice of other changes in the ISO's TAC rates, today's informational filing provides notice of the ISO's revised TAC rates effective as of October 1, 2009 reflecting the settlement approved by the Commission in the order issued on February 22, 2010 in Docket No. EL09-67.

The timing of the Commission's order on the settlement in Docket No. EL09-67 was such that the ISO was only able to incorporate the revised TRR of Pasadena into its standard settlement process for its TAC rates for the month of November 2009 and subsequent months, even though the revisions to Pasadena's TRR were retroactive to October 1, 2009. (Note that, due to the timing of the ISO's issuance of invoices pursuant to its current tariff provisions in relation to the timing of the Commission's order on the settlement in Docket No. EL09-67, the TAC refunds for Pasadena's revised TRR for the month of November 2009 associated with its settlement will be implemented in a future invoice.)

⁵ *City of Pasadena, California*, 128 FERC ¶ 61,290 (2009).

⁶ *City of Pasadena, California*, 130 FERC ¶ 61,129 (2010).

Section I.A above explains how the ISO has previously advised the Commission of the ISO's inability to issue any invoices for TAC refunds for the months of April-October 2009, including the TAC refunds associated with the settlement of the Pasadena TRR approved by the Commission in Docket No. EL09-67 for the month of October 2009, and the ISO's development of a tariff amendment filed in Docket No. ER10-1735 to facilitate the implementation of the necessary settlements recalculations. Once the Commission has accepted that tariff amendment, the ISO will implement the TAC refunds for the period from April 1 through October 31, 2009, including the refunds associated with the revised Pasadena TRR for the month of October 2009. This informational filing provides notice to the Commission of the ISO's intent to implement those refunds in accordance with the provisions of that tariff amendment.

C. City of Vernon Revised TRR Effective January 1, 2010

The Commission accepted the revision to the City of Vernon's TRR in an order issued on September 11, 2009 in Docket No. EL09-64.⁷ The ISO was able to incorporate that TRR revision into the ISO's standard settlement process for its TAC rates as of the August 1, 2009 effective date ordered by the Commission. The ISO notified the Commission of the revisions of its TAC rates effective as of that date in the ISO's informational filing on December 22, 2009 in Docket No. ER10-466, together with notice of other TAC rates revisions. The Commission acknowledged that filing in a letter order issued on February 17, 2010.

In the September 11, 2009 order, the Commission directed Vernon to file an annual update to its TRR. Vernon filed that update in Docket No. EL10-10, and the Commission issued a letter order on January 8, 2010 accepting Vernon's revised TRR effective as of January 1, 2010. Along with notice of other changes in the ISO's TAC rates, today's informational filing provides notice of the ISO's revised TAC rates effective as of January 1, 2010 reflecting the revised Vernon TRR accepted by the Commission in the order issued on January 8, 2010 in Docket No. EL10-10.

D. TRBA Adjustments Effective January 1, 2010

The docket numbers of the filings of the participating transmission owners updating their respective TRRs to account for their TRBA adjustments are provided in Attachment A to this informational filing. In each proceeding, the Commission issued a letter order accepting the requested TRBA adjustment effective as of January 1, 2010. Vernon filed its TRBA adjustment together with its TRR revision described in Section I.C above, and the Commission accepted the TRBA adjustment in the same January 8, 2010 letter order that it accepted Vernon's TRR revision. Along with notice of other changes in the ISO's TAC rates, today's informational filing provides notice of the ISO's revised TAC rates effective as of January 1, 2010 reflecting the TRBA adjustments accepted by the Commission in the orders in the dockets listed in Attachment A.

7

City of Vernon, California, 128 FERC ¶ 61,235 (2009).

E. Annual TAC Transition Charge Revision Effective January 1, 2010

Sections 4.2, 5.7, 5.8, 5.9, and 7 of Schedule 3 of Appendix F of the ISO tariff when read together provide that the transition charge associated with the TAC will be revised annually for the ten-year TAC transition period until it is no longer applicable. Pursuant to those tariff provisions, the ISO revised the TAC transition charge effective as of January 1, 2010. Along with notice of other changes in the ISO's TAC rates, today's informational filing provides notice of the ISO's revised TAC rates effective as of January 1, 2010 reflecting the revised transition charge. This is the final year of the tenyear TAC transition period. In recognition that the administration of the TAC will change as of next year, the ISO intends to make a future filing of tariff revisions to clarify or delete tariff provisions regarding the TAC transition charge for the year 2011 and subsequent years.

F. SCE Revised TRR Effective March 1, 2010

On July 31, 2009, SCE filed a revised TRR in Docket No. ER09-1534. On September 30, 2009, the Commission accepted the filing, subject to refund, effective as of March 1, 2010.⁸ In addition, on January 28, 2010, SCE filed a further revision to its TRR in Docket No. ER10-665 to be effective as of March 1, 2010. On March 12, 2010, the Commission issued a letter order accepting this further TRR revision. Along with notice of other changes in the ISO's TAC rates, today's informational filing provides notice of the ISO's revised TAC rates effective as of March 1, 2010 reflecting the revised SCE TRR accepted by the Commission in the order issued on September 30, 2009 in Docket No. ER09-1534, as further revised pursuant to the letter order issued on March 12, 2010.

G. PG&E Revised TRR Effective March 1, 2010

On July 30, 2009, PG&E filed a revised TRR in Docket No. ER09-1521. On September 30, 2009, the Commission accepted the filing, subject to refund, effective as of March 1, 2010.⁹ On March 31, 2010, PG&E filed an offer of settlement revising its TRR effective retroactive to March 1, 2010. On April 8, 2010, the Chief Judge of the Commission issued an order authorizing PG&E to institute its revised TRR on an interim basis effective as of March 1, 2010 for wholesale customers, to remain effective until a Commission order on the offer of settlement. On July 27, 2010, the Commission issued a letter order approving this settlement.¹⁰ Along with notice of other changes in the ISO's TAC rates, today's informational filing provides notice of the ISO's revised TAC rates effective as of March 1, 2010 reflecting the revised PG&E TRR accepted by the Commission in the order issued on September 30, 2009 in Docket No. ER09-1521, as further revised by the order of the Commission issued on July 27, 2010.

⁸ Southern California Edison Company, 128 FERC ¶ 61,287 (2009).

⁹ Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 128 FERC ¶ 61,288 (2009).

¹⁰ Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 132 FERC ¶ 61,073 (2010).

The ISO originally issued invoices for the revised TAC rates as of March 1, 2010 associated with PG&E's revised TRR initially accepted by the Commission and SCE's revised TRR accepted by the Commission as described in Section I.F above. However, the ISO subsequently issued invoices for revised TAC rates associated with PG&E's revised TRR effective as of March 1, 2010 based on the interim order on PG&E's offer of settlement, which revised TRR was approved in the July 27, 2010 order. As the revised TAC rates reflecting PG&E's revised TRR are the most current and have been invoiced, the ISO has provided notice only of those currently-effective TAC rates as of March 1, 2010 in this informational filing.

H. SCE Revised TRR Effective June 1, 2010

On October 30, 2009, SCE filed a revised TRR in Docket No. ER10-160. On December 31, 2009, the Commission accepted the filing, subject to refund, effective as of June 1, 2010.¹¹ Along with notice of other changes in the ISO's TAC rates, today's informational filing provides notice of the ISO's revised TAC rates effective as of June 1, 2010 reflecting the revised SCE TRR accepted by the Commission in the order issued on December 31, 2009 in Docket No. ER10-160.

I. Other TAC Refunds for the Period April 1-October 31, 2009

In the ISO's informational filings on December 11, 2009 in Docket No. ER10-413 and on December 22, 2009 in Docket No. ER10-466, the ISO advised the Commission of its revised TAC rates reflecting SCE's revised TRR for the period from March 1, 2009 through March 31, 2009 and the TAC refunds that the ISO has provided associated with the revised SCE TRR. In those filings, the ISO also advised the Commission that the ISO had yet to determine the manner by which it would be able to provide the required refunds for the months of April, May, and June 2009 for SCE (and for the month of April 2009 for Startrans IO, LLC and Atlantic Path 15, LLC), as these refunds pertain to operations under its new settlements software system.

As described in Section I.A above and in the ISO's prior filings, the ISO's new settlements software program lacked the functionality to permit the processing of recalculated settlements for TAC refunds independent of the substantial backlog of other settlements recalculations that the ISO needs to process for the period since it implemented its new settlements software program on March 31, 2009. As a result, the ISO was not able to issue an invoice for TAC refunds owed by SCE for the months of April, May, and June 2009 (or for Startrans or Atlantic Path 15 for April 2009). After extensive evaluation whether an alternative approach to the implementation of the required refunds for the months of April, May, and June 2009 could be developed, the ISO determined that the implementation of these refunds needed to await the completion of all other settlements recalculations for the post-March 31, 2009 period.

¹¹ Southern California Edison Company, 129 FERC ¶ 61,304 (2009).

As a result of these evaluations, the ISO developed the tariff amendment filed in Docket No. ER10-1735 and described in Section I.A above to facilitate the implementation of the necessary settlements recalculations. Once the Commission has accepted that tariff amendment, the ISO will implement the TAC refunds for the period from April 1 through October 31, 2009, including those associated with the revised Startrans, Atlantic Path 15, and SCE TRRs approved in ER08-413, ER08-374 and EL08-38, and ER08-1343 *et al.* This informational filing provides notice to the Commission of the ISO's intent to implement those refunds in accordance with the provisions of that tariff amendment.

II. Changes in TAC Rates

The TAC rates provided in the present filing revise the access charges and wheeling access charges provided for informational purposes by the ISO in Docket No. ER10-466 (deemed by the Commission as filed on December 22, 2009). Pursuant to the Commission orders in Docket Nos. EL09-52, EL09-64, EL09-67, ER09-1521, ER09-1534, ER10-665, and ER10-160, and the docket numbers listed in Attachment A, the changes in the present filing are effective for the dates set forth below, in accordance with CAISO Tariff Appendix F, Schedule 3, Section 8.

Worksheets illustrating the calculation of the CAISO's TAC rates are included with the present transmittal letter as Attachments B-H. The rates for each of the TAC Areas effective July 1, 2009 through July 31, 2009 are reflected in Attachment B and are as follows:

Northern Area	\$3.9108/MWh
East/Central Area	\$3.9849/MWh
Southern Area	\$3.9755/MWh

The rates for each of the TAC Areas effective August 1, 2009 through August 31, 2009 are reflected in Attachment C and are as follows:

Northern Area	\$3.9115/MWh
East/Central Area	\$3.9856/MWh
Southern Area	\$3.9762/MWh

The rates for each of the TAC Areas effective September 1, 2009 through September 30, 2009 are reflected in Attachment D and are as follows:

Northern Area	\$3.8183/MWh
East/Central Area	\$3.8924/MWh
Southern Area	\$3.8251/MWh

The rates for each of the TAC Areas effective October 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009 are reflected in Attachment E and are as follows:

Northern Area	\$3.8275/MWh
East/Central Area	\$3.9040/MWh
Southern Area	\$3.8343/MWh

The rates for each of the TAC Areas effective January 1, 2010 through February 28, 2010 are reflected in Attachment F and are as follows:

Northern Area	\$4.3587/MWh
East/Central Area	\$4.3587/MWh
Southern Area	\$4.3587/MWh

The rates for each of the TAC Areas effective March 1, 2010 through May 31, 2010 are reflected in Attachment G and are as follows:

Northern Area	\$5.0939/MWh
East/Central Area	\$5.0939/MWh
Southern Area	\$5.0939/MWh

The rates for each of the TAC Areas effective June 1, 2010 are reflected in Attachment H and are as follows:

Northern Area	\$5.1299/MWh
East/Central Area	\$5.1299/MWh
Southern Area	\$5.1299/MWh

III. Communications

Communications regarding this filing should be addressed to the following individual, whose name should be placed on the official service list established by the Secretary with respect to this submittal:

Michael D. Dozier,* Senior Counsel
California Independent System Operator Corporation
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630
Phone: (916) 608-7048
Fax: (916) 608-7222
e-mail: mdozier@caiso.com

*Individual designated for service pursuant to Rule 203(b)(3), 18 C.F.R. § 385.203(b)(3).

IV. Service

The ISO has served copies of this transmittal letter and attachments hereto on the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, the California Energy Commission, and the participating transmission owners, and on all parties with effective Scheduling Coordinator Agreements under the ISO tariff. In addition, the ISO is posting this transmittal letter and all attachments on the ISO's website.

If there are any questions concerning this filing, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael D. Dozier

Michael D. Dozier Senior Counsel California Independent System Operator Corporation 151 Blue Ravine Road Folsom, CA 95630 Tel: (916) 608-7048 Fax: (916) 608-7222 e-mail: mdozier@caiso.com

Attachments

ATTACHMENT A

ATTACHMENT A

Participating Transmission Owners' 2010 TRBA Adjustment Filings

PTO	Docket No.	Date of Filing	Date of Order
Pacific Gas and Electric	ER10-36-000	10/06/09	12/4/09
Company			
Southern California Edison	ER10-135-000	10/29/2009	12/29/09
Company			
Atlantic Path 15, LLC	ER10-139-000	10/29/2009	1/13/10
	ER10-139-001	[revised 12/7/09	
	ER10-139-002	and 12/14/09]	
City of Vernon	EL10-10-000	10/30/2009	1/8/10
	EL10-10-001	[corrected	
		11/20/09]	
Startrans IO, L.L.C.	ER10-318-000	11/24/10	1/13/10
San Diego Gas & Electric	ER10-467-000	12/22/09	2/17/10
Company			
City of Anaheim	EL10-25-000	12/22/09	2/25/10
	EL10-25-001	[corrected	
		12/30/09]	
City of Riverside	EL10-26-000	12/22/09	2/12/10
City of Banning	EL10-28-000	12/23/09	1/26/10
City of Azusa	EL10-30-000	12/30/09	1/28/10
City of Pasadena	EL10-31-000	12/30/09	2/23/10

ATTACHMENT B

ATTACHMENT C

ATTACHMENT D

ATTACHMENT E

ATTACHMENT F

ATTACHMENT G

ATTACHMENT H

ATTACHMENT A

ATTACHMENT A

Participating Transmission Owners' 2010 TRBA Adjustment Filings

PTO	Docket No.	Date of Filing	Date of Order
Pacific Gas and Electric	ER10-36-000	10/06/09	12/4/09
Company			
Southern California Edison	ER10-135-000	10/29/2009	12/29/09
Company			
Atlantic Path 15, LLC	ER10-139-000	10/29/2009	1/13/10
	ER10-139-001	[revised 12/7/09	
	ER10-139-002	and 12/14/09]	
City of Vernon	EL10-10-000	10/30/2009	1/8/10
	EL10-10-001	[corrected	
		11/20/09]	
Startrans IO, L.L.C.	ER10-318-000	11/24/10	1/13/10
San Diego Gas & Electric	ER10-467-000	12/22/09	2/17/10
Company			
City of Anaheim	EL10-25-000	12/22/09	2/25/10
	EL10-25-001	[corrected	
		12/30/09]	
City of Riverside	EL10-26-000	12/22/09	2/12/10
City of Banning	EL10-28-000	12/23/09	1/26/10
City of Azusa	EL10-30-000	12/30/09	1/28/10
City of Pasadena	EL10-31-000	12/30/09	2/23/10

ATTACHMENT B

July 01, 2009 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

Based on the FERC Order on City of Riverside's Offer of Settlement (Docket No. EL09-52)

TAC Components:

	Filed Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [1]		TRR Annual TRR ing New illities HV Facilities) (\$)		AnnualTotalLGrossTACFiledSpLoadAreaTRRF(MWh)(\$)(\$/[3][4][5]		EHVF only Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [6] = [1]/[3]	EHVF only TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [7] = [21]	HV Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [8] = [5] / [3]			TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [9] = [19]		
PGE	\$	134,892,376	\$	166,550,793	94,466,738	N	\$ 301,443,169	\$	1.4279	\$ 1.7753	\$	3.1910	\$	3.9108
SCE	\$	162,666,933	\$	193,107,640	92,450,710	EC	\$ 355,774,573	\$	1.7595	\$ 1.8447	\$	3.8483	\$	3.9849
SDGE	\$	44,809,755	\$	70,036,379	21,596,392	S	\$ 114,846,134	\$	2.0749	\$ 1.8400	\$	5.3178	\$	3.9755
Anaheim	\$	20,212,164	\$	-	2,766,313	EC	\$ 20,212,164	\$	7.3065	\$ 1.8447	\$	7.3065	\$	3.9849
Azusa	\$	1,226,554	\$	-	239,575	EC	\$ 1,226,554	\$	5.1197	\$ 1.8447	\$	5.1197	\$	3.9849
Banning	\$	930,800	\$	-	139,457	EC	\$ 930,800	\$	6.6745	\$ 1.8447	\$	6.6745	\$	3.9849
Pasadena	\$	6,796,373	\$	-	1,239,884	EC	\$ 6,796,373	\$	5.4815	\$ 1.8447	\$	5.4815	\$	3.9849
Riverside	\$	19,774,824	\$	-	2,201,147	EC	\$ 19,774,824	\$	8.9839	\$ 1.8447	\$	8.9839	\$	3.9849
Vernon	\$	1,204,988	\$	-	1,288,684	EC	\$ 1,204,988	\$	0.9351	\$ 1.8447	\$	0.9351	\$	3.9849
Atlantic P15	\$	-	\$	28,118,790	-	Ν	\$ 28,118,790	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	3.9108
Startrans	\$	4,760,375	\$	-	-	EC	\$ 4,760,375	\$	-	\$ 1.8447	\$	-	\$	3.9849
ISO Total	\$	397,275,141	\$	457,813,602	216,388,900		\$ 855,088,743							

STEP 1: Calculate the Access Charge Rate for each TAC Area.

TAC-Area portion is the percent of Total TRR in each area which has not yet transitioned to the ISO (10%) divided by the Total Load of each area. The ISO portion is the percent of all TRR which has transitioned to ISO-Wide (90%), plus the TRR of New HV Facilities, divided by total load.

	Annual TRR	Annual	Annual	Annual	TAC										
	Existing	TAC Area	TAC Area	Gross	Area	TAC Area Rate									
	•	TRR													
	HV Facilities		TRR (w/Load)	Load	Rate	(TRR w/Load)									
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(MWH)	(\$/MWH)	(\$/MWH)									
	[10]	[11]	[11B]	[12]	[13]	[13B]									
	=[1]	= [10] x 10%	= ([10] w/Load) x 10%	=[3]	=[11]/[12]	= [11B] / [12]	\mathbf{i}					Existing F	HV	Ne	w HV
North	\$ 134,892,376	\$ 13,489,238	\$ 13,489,238	94,466,738	\$ 0.1428	\$ 0.1428			TAC Rate	Wheeling F	ate	Facilites	S	Fac	cilites
East/C	\$ 217,573,010	\$ 21,757,301	\$ 21,281,264	100,325,770	\$ 0.2169	\$ 0.2121			(TAC Area	(TAC Are	а	(EHVF) only	/ TAC	(NHV	/F) only
South	\$ 44,809,755	\$ 4,480,976	\$ 4,480,976	21,596,392	\$ 0.2075	\$ 0.2075			+ ISO Wide)	+ ISO Wid	e)	Rate		TAC	C Rate
Total	\$ 397,275,141	\$ 39,727,514	\$ 39,251,477	216,388,900					(\$/MWH)	(\$/MWH)	(\$/MWH	1)	(\$/N	MWH)
	. , ,	. , ,							[19]	[20]			,	[22]	
									= [13] + [17]	= [19]		= [[13B]] + [[18]	=[1	5] / [16]
	ISO Wide TRR		ISO Wide TRR	ISO Wide	ISO	EHVF		North		\$ 3.	9108	\$ 1	.7753		2.1157
	Existing	ISO Wide TRR	New	Annual	Wide	ISO-Wide Rate	\succ	East/Central		•	9849		.8447	•	2.1157
	HV Facilities	EHVF w/Load	HV Facilities	Gross Load	Rate	TRR w/Load only	(South			9755		.8400	•	2.1157
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(MWH)	(\$/MWH)	(\$/MWH)		oouiii	φ 0.0700	Ψ Ο.	51 00	Ψ	.0400	Ψ	2.1107
	(Φ)	(Φ)	(Φ)	(1010011)	(\$/1010011)	(\$/1010011)									
	[14]	[1 4B]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]									
	Total ([10]) x 90%	Total ([10] w/Load) x 90%	= Total [2]	= Total [3]	= ([14] + [15]) / [16]	=[14B] / [16])								
ISO-wide	\$ 357,547,627	\$ 353,263,290	\$ 457,813,602	216,388,900	\$ 3.7680	<mark>\$ 1.6325</mark> _									

July 01, 2009 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 2: Calculate the HV Access Charge the UDC/MSS pays on Filed Gross Load and Benefit/Burden. Note: ISO total for (Benefit)/Burden may not equal zero due to rounding of TAC Rate.

	TAC Area [23] = [4]	Filed Gross Load (MWH) [24] = [3]	EHVF only TAC Rate (\$/MWH) [25] = [7]	Amount Paid Based on Filed Gross Load (\$) [26] = [24] x [25]	ι	EHVF only Jtility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [27] = [6]	١	ould Have Paid v/ EHVF Utility Specific Rate (\$) [28] = [24] x [27]	EHVF Access Charge Benefit)/Burden (\$) [29] = [26] - [28]
PGE	Ν	94,466,738	\$ 1.7753	\$ 167,709,859	\$	1.4279	\$	134,892,376	\$ 32,817,483
SCE	EC	92,450,710	\$ 1.8447	\$ 170,540,171	\$	1.7595	\$	162,666,933	\$ 7,873,238
SDGE	S	21,596,392	\$ 1.8400	\$ 39,737,925	\$	2.0749	\$	44,809,755	\$ (5,071,830)
Anaheim	EC	2,766,313	\$ 1.8447	\$ 5,102,908	\$	7.3065	\$	20,212,164	\$ (15,109,256)
Azusa	EC	239,575	\$ 1.8447	\$ 441,935	\$	5.1197	\$	1,226,554	\$ (784,619)
Banning	EC	139,457	\$ 1.8447	\$ 257,251	\$	6.6745	\$	930,800	\$ (673,549)
Pasadena	EC	1,239,884	\$ 1.8447	\$ 2,287,165	\$	5.4815	\$	6,796,373	\$ (4,509,208)
Riverside	EC	2,201,147	\$ 1.8447	\$ 4,060,369	\$	8.9839	\$	19,774,824	\$ (15,714,455)
Vernon	EC	1,288,684	\$ 1.8447	\$ 2,377,184	\$	0.9351	\$	1,204,988	\$ 1,172,196
Startrans	EC	-	\$ 1.8447	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0
ISO Total	-	216,388,900		\$ 392,514,766			\$	392,514,766	\$ (0)

STEP 3: For Information Only -- Projected annual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge for Existing Facilities. \$32/32/8 million cap for IOUs; munis are held harmless; IOUs pay muni cost increases in proportion to their cap relative to the total cap.

	EHVF ccess Charge enefit)/Burden (\$) [30] = [29]	IOU Burden Annual Cap (\$) <i>[31]</i>	Amount Js' Cap Exceeds IOUs' Burden (\$) [32] IF ([31] - [30] >0) = [31] - [30]. If no cap, then 0.	Ex	Amount IOU's Burden (\$) [33] IF[30]-[31]>0 =[30]-[31]. If no cap, then 0.	Payments by Entities with Net Benefit (\$) [34] IOUs = ([32] / total[32]) x total[33]. Munis w/ Benefit= ([30] / total[32]	Mitigation Payments (\$) [35] = [34] - [33]	(E	Adjusted Net Benefit) / Burden (\$) [36] = [30] + [35]	I	Reallocation IOU Burden (\$) [37] Reallocate OU Burden [39] so it is proportional o IOU Cap [31] = [39] - [36]	Transition Charge (\$) [38] = [35] + [37]	,	Adjusted Net nefit) / Burden (\$) [39] = [36] + [37]	C (\$	nsition harge Rate (MWh) [40] 88] / [24]
PGE	\$ 32,817,483	 32,000,000	\$ 0	\$	817,483.0694	\$ 0	\$ (817,483)		32,000,000	\$	(15,648,406)	\$ (-)))	\$	16,351,594		(0.1743)
SCE	\$ 7,873,238	32,000,000	24,126,762		0	\$ 5 1,290,493	\$ 1,290,493		9,163,731	\$	7,187,864	\$ 8,478,357	\$	10,001,001	\$	0.0917
SDGE	\$ (5,071,830)	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 13,071,830	\$	0	\$ 699,186	\$ 699,186	\$	(4,372,644)	\$	8,460,542	\$ 9,159,729	\$.,	\$	0.4241
Anaheim	\$ (15,109,256)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	(15,109,256)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(15,109,256)	\$	0
Azusa	\$ (784,619)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	(784,619)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(784,619)	\$	0
Banning	\$ (673,549)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	(673,549)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(673,549)	\$	0
Pasadena	\$ (4,509,208)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	(4,509,208)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(4,509,208)	\$	0
Riverside	\$ (15,714,455)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	(15,714,455)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(15,714,455)	\$	0
Vernon	\$ 1,172,196	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	1,172,196	\$ 0	\$ (1,172,196)	\$	0	\$	0	\$ (1,172,196)	\$	0	\$	(0.9096)
Startrans	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0
Total	\$ 0	\$ 72,000,000	\$ 37,198,593	\$	1,989,680	\$ 1,989,680	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0		

July 01, 2009 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 4: Fo	r Info	rmation Only P	Projected annual net	ben	efits/burdens fro	om /	Access Charge fo	or Ne	w Facilities and	d To	tal projected an	nual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge.
	File	ed Annual TRR	ISO Wide		New		New HVTRR		NHVF		Total	
		New	Annual		HVTRR		Cost	A	ccess Charge	A	ccess Charge	
	1	HV Facilities	Gross Load		Rate		Responsibility	(B	enefit)/Burden	(B	enefit)/Burden	
		(\$)	(MWh)		(\$/MWH)		(\$)		(\$)		(\$)	
		[41]	[42]		[43]		[44]		[45]		[46]	
		=[2]	=[3]		= ([15]) / [16]		= ([42]) * [43]		= ([44]) - [41]		= ([45]) + [39]	
PGE	\$	166,550,793	94,466,738	\$	2.1157	\$	199,863,106	\$	33,312,313	\$	49,663,907	
SCE	\$	193,107,640	92,450,710	\$	2.1157	\$	195,597,799	\$	2,490,159	\$	18,841,753	
SDGE	\$	70,036,379	21,596,392	\$	2.1157	\$	45,691,447	\$	(24,344,932)	\$	(20,257,033)	
Anaheim	\$	-	2,766,313	\$	2.1157	\$	5,852,683	\$	5,852,683	\$	(9,256,573)	
Azusa	\$	-	239,575	\$	2.1157	\$	506,868	\$	506,868	\$	(277,751)	
Banning	\$	-	139,457	\$	2.1157	\$	295,049	\$	295,049	\$	(378,500)	
Pasadena	\$	-	1,239,884	\$	2.1157	\$	2,623,220	\$	2,623,220	\$	(1,885,987)	
Riverside	\$	-	2,201,147	\$	2.1157	\$	4,656,963	\$	4,656,963	\$	(11,057,492)	
Vernon	\$	-	1,288,684	\$	2.1157	\$	2,726,466	\$	2,726,466	\$	2,726,466	
Atlantic P15	\$	28,118,790	0	\$	2.1157	\$	0	\$	(28,118,790)	\$	(28,118,790)	
Total	\$	457,813,602	216,388,900			\$	457,813,602	\$	0	\$	0	

ATTACHMENT C

August 01, 2009 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

Based on the FERC Order on City of Riverside's Offer of Settlement (Docket No. EL09-52)

TAC Components:

	Filed Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [1]	Filed Annual TRR New HV Facilities (\$) [2]	Filed Annual Gross Load (MWh) [3]	TAC Area [4]	Total Filed TRR (\$) [5] = [1] + [2]	EHVF only Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [6] = [1]/[3]	EHVF only TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [7] = [21]	HV Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [8] = [5] / [3]	TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [9] = [19]
PGE	\$ 134,892,376	\$ 166,550,793	94,466,738	N	\$ 301,443,169	\$ 1.4279	\$ 1.7757	\$ 3.1910	\$ 3.9115
SCE	\$ 162,666,933	\$ 193,107,640	92,450,710	EC	\$ 355,774,573	\$ 1.7595	\$ 1.8451	\$ 3.8483	\$ 3.9856
SDGE	\$ 44,809,755	\$ 70,036,379	21,596,392	S	\$ 114,846,134	\$ 2.0749	\$ 1.8404	\$ 5.3178	\$ 3.9762
Anaheim	\$ 20,212,164	\$ -	2,766,313	EC	\$ 20,212,164	\$ 7.3065	\$ 1.8451	\$ 7.3065	\$ 3.9856
Azusa	\$ 1,226,554	\$ -	239,575	EC	\$ 1,226,554	\$ 5.1197	\$ 1.8451	\$ 5.1197	\$ 3.9856
Banning	\$ 930,800	\$ -	139,457	EC	\$ 930,800	\$ 6.6745	\$ 1.8451	\$ 6.6745	\$ 3.9856
Pasadena	\$ 6,796,373	\$ -	1,239,884	EC	\$ 6,796,373	\$ 5.4815	\$ 1.8451	\$ 5.4815	\$ 3.9856
Riverside	\$ 19,774,824	\$ -	2,201,147	EC	\$ 19,774,824	\$ 8.9839	\$ 1.8451	\$ 8.9839	\$ 3.9856
Vernon	\$ 1,231,199	\$ -	1,257,502	EC	\$ 1,231,199	\$ 0.9791	\$ 1.8451	\$ 0.9791	\$ 3.9856
Atlantic P15	\$ -	\$ 28,118,790	-	Ν	\$ 28,118,790	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3.9115
Startrans	\$ 4,760,375	\$ -	-	EC	\$ 4,760,375	\$ -	\$ 1.8451	\$ -	\$ 3.9856
ISO Total	\$ 397,301,352	\$ 457,813,602	216,357,718		\$ 855,114,954				

STEP 1: Calculate the Access Charge Rate for each TAC Area.

TAC-Area portion is the percent of Total TRR in each area which has not yet transitioned to the ISO (10%) divided by the Total Load of each area. The ISO portion is the percent of all TRR which has transitioned to ISO-Wide (90%), plus the TRR of New HV Facilities, divided by total load.

	Annual TRR	Annual	Annual	Annual	TAC										
	Existing	TAC Area	TAC Area	Gross		TAC Area Rate									
	•				Area										
	HV Facilities	TRR	TRR (w/Load)	Load	Rate	(TRR w/Load)									
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(MWH)	(\$/MWH)	(\$/MWH)									
	[10]	[11]	[11B]	[12]	[13]	[13B]									
	=[1]	= [10] x 10%	= ([10] w/Load) x 10%	=[3]	=[11]/[12]	=[11B]/[12]						Ex	isting HV	N	lew HV
North	\$ 134,892,376	\$ 13,489,238	\$ 13,489,238	94,466,738	\$ 0.1428	\$ 0.1428			TAC Rate	Wh	neeling Rate	F	acilites	F	acilites
East/C	\$ 217,599,221	\$ 21,759,922	\$ 21,283,885	100,294,588	\$ 0.2170	\$ 0.2122			(TAC Area	(TAC Area	(EHV	F) only TAC	(N⊦	IVF) only
South	\$ 44,809,755	\$ 4,480,976	\$ 4,480,976	21,596,392	\$ 0.2075	\$ 0.2075			+ ISO Wide)	+	ISO Wide)		Rate	Т/	AC Rate
Total	\$ 397,301,352	\$ 39,730,135		216,357,718					(\$/MWH)		(\$/MWH)	(5	6/MWH)	(\$	S/MWH)
	• ••••,••••,•••=	• •••••••••	+,,	,,					[19]		[20]	([21]	(*	[22]
									= [13] + [17]		= [19]	= //	13B]] + [18]	=	[15]/[16]
	ISO Wide TRR		ISO Wide TRR	ISO Wide	ISO	EHVF		North		\$	3.9115		1.7757	\$	2.1160
	Existing	ISO Wide TRR	New	Annual	Wide	ISO-Wide Rate	$\mathbf{\mathbf{b}}$	East/Central	•		3.9856		1.8451	\$	2.1160
	HV Facilities	EHVF w/Load	HV Facilities	Gross Load	Rate	TRR w/Load only	(South	· ·		3.9762		1.8404	-	2.1160
						,		3000	φ 3.3/02	φ	5.5702	Ψ	1.0404	φ	2.1100
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(MWH)	(\$/MWH)	(\$/MWH)									
	[14]	[14B]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]									
	Total ([10]) x 90%	Total ([10] w/Load) x 90%	= Total [2]	= Total [3]	= ([14] + [15]) / [16]	=[14B] / [16]									
ISO-wide	\$ 357,571,217	\$ 353,286,880	\$ 457,813,602	216,357,718	\$ 3.7687	<mark>\$ 1.6329</mark> _									

August 01, 2009 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 2: Calculate the HV Access Charge the UDC/MSS pays on Filed Gross Load and Benefit/Burden. Note: ISO total for (Benefit)/Burden may not equal zero due to rounding of TAC Rate.

	TAC Area [23] = [4]	Filed Gross Load (MWH) [24] = [3]	EHVF only TAC Rate (\$/MWH) [25] = [7]	Amount Paid Based on Filed Gross Load (\$) [26] = [24] x [25]	I	EHVF only Jtility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [27] = [6]	V	ould Have Paid v/ EHVF Utility Specific Rate (\$) [28] = [24] x [27]	EHVF Access Charge Benefit)/Burden (\$) [29] = [26] - [28]
PGE	N	94,466,738	\$ 1.7757	\$ 167,742,386	\$	1.4279	\$	134,892,376	\$ 32,850,010
SCE	EC	92,450,710	\$ 1.8451	\$ 170,580,516	\$	1.7595	\$	162,666,933	\$ 7,913,583
SDGE	S	21,596,392	\$ 1.8404	\$ 39,745,361	\$	2.0749	\$	44,809,755	\$ (5,064,394)
Anaheim	EC	2,766,313	\$ 1.8451	\$ 5,104,115	\$	7.3065	\$	20,212,164	\$ (15,108,049)
Azusa	EC	239,575	\$ 1.8451	\$ 442,039	\$	5.1197	\$	1,226,554	\$ (784,515)
Banning	EC	139,457	\$ 1.8451	\$ 257,312	\$	6.6745	\$	930,800	\$ (673,488)
Pasadena	EC	1,239,884	\$ 1.8451	\$ 2,287,706	\$	5.4815	\$	6,796,373	\$ (4,508,666)
Riverside	EC	2,201,147	\$ 1.8451	\$ 4,061,329	\$	8.9839	\$	19,774,824	\$ (15,713,495)
Vernon	EC	1,257,502	\$ 1.8451	\$ 2,320,213	\$	0.9791	\$	1,231,199	\$ 1,089,014
Startrans	EC	-	\$ 1.8451	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0
ISO Total		216,357,718		\$ 392,540,977			\$	392,540,977	\$ 0

STEP 3: For Information Only -- Projected annual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge for Existing Facilities. \$32/32/8 million cap for IOUs; munis are held harmless; IOUs pay muni cost increases in proportion to their cap relative to the total cap.

	EHVF ccess Charge enefit)/Burden (\$) [30] = [29]	IOU Burden Annual Cap (\$) [31]	Amount Us' Cap Exceeds IOUs' Burden (\$) [32] IF ([31] - [30] >0) = [31] - [30]. If no cap, then 0.	E×	Amount IOU's Burden (\$) [33] IF[30]-[31]>0 =[30]-[31]. If no cap, then 0.		Payments by Entities with Net Benefit (\$) [34] [0Us = ([32] / total[32]) x total[33]. Munis w/ Benefit= ([30] / total[30]) x total[33] - total[32]	Mitigation Payments (\$) [35] = [34] - [33]	(E	Adjusted Net Benefit) / Burden (\$) [36] = [30] + [35]	I	Reallocation IOU Burden (\$) [37] Reallocate OU Burden [39] so it is proportional o IOU Cap [31] = [39] - [36]	Transition Charge (\$) [38] = [35] + [37]	,	Adjusted Net nefit) / Burden (\$) [39] = [36] + [37]	C (\$	ansition harge Rate (MWh) [40] 88] / [24]
PGE	\$ 32,850,010	 32,000,000	0	\$	850,009.6110			\$ (850,010)		32,000,000	\$	(15,649,683)	\$ (16,499,693)		16,350,317		(0.1747)
SCE	\$ 7,913,583	32,000,000	, ,	\$			\$ 1,257,150	\$ 1,257,150	•	9,170,733	\$	7,179,584	\$ 8,436,734	\$	16,350,317	\$	0.0913
SDGE	\$ (5,064,394)	8,000,000	\$ 13,064,394	\$	0	-	681,874	\$ 681,874	\$	(4,382,520)		8,470,099	\$ 9,151,973	\$.,	\$	0.4238
Anaheim	\$ (15,108,049)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	6 0	\$ 0	\$	(15,108,049)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(15,108,049)	\$	0
Azusa	\$ (784,515)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	6 0	\$ 0	\$	(784,515)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(784,515)	\$	0
Banning	\$ (673,488)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	6 0	\$ 0	\$	(673,488)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(673,488)	\$	0
Pasadena	\$ (4,508,666)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	6 0	\$ 0	\$	(4,508,666)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(4,508,666)	\$	0
Riverside	\$ (15,713,495)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	6 0	\$ 0	\$	(15,713,495)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(15,713,495)	\$	0
Vernon	\$ 1,089,014	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	1,089,014	\$	6 0	\$ (1,089,014)	\$	0	\$	0	\$ (1,089,014)	\$	0	\$	(0.8660)
Startrans	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	6 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$		0		0
Total	\$ 0	\$ 72,000,000	\$ 37,150,811	\$	1,939,024	\$	\$ 1,939,024	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0		

August 01, 2009 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 4: Fo	or Info	rmation Only P	rojected annual net	ben	efits/burdens fro	om /	Access Charge fo	r Ne	w Facilities and	d To	tal projected an	nual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge.
	File	ed Annual TRR	ISO Wide		New		New HVTRR		NHVF		Total	
		New	Annual		HVTRR		Cost	Ac	ccess Charge	A	ccess Charge	
	I	HV Facilities	Gross Load		Rate		Responsibility	(Be	enefit)/Burden	(B	enefit)/Burden	
		(\$)	(MWh)		(\$/MWH)		(\$)		(\$)		(\$)	
		[41]	[42]		[43]		[44]		[45]		[46]	
		= [2]	=[3]		= ([15]) / [16]		= ([42]) * [43]		= ([44]) - [41]		= ([45]) + [39]	
PGE	\$	166,550,793	94,466,738	\$	2.1160	\$	199,891,910	\$	33,341,117	\$	49,691,434	
SCE	\$	193,107,640	92,450,710	\$	2.1160	\$	195,625,989	\$	2,518,349	\$	18,868,666	
SDGE	\$	70,036,379	21,596,392	\$	2.1160	\$	45,698,032	\$	(24,338,347)	\$	(20,250,767)	
Anaheim	\$	-	2,766,313	\$	2.1160	\$	5,853,527	\$	5,853,527	\$	(9,254,522)	
Azusa	\$	-	239,575	\$	2.1160	\$	506,941	\$	506,941	\$	(277,573)	
Banning	\$	-	139,457	\$	2.1160	\$	295,091	\$	295,091	\$	(378,396)	
Pasadena	\$	-	1,239,884	\$	2.1160	\$	2,623,598	\$	2,623,598	\$	(1,885,068)	
Riverside	\$	-	2,201,147	\$	2.1160	\$	4,657,634	\$	4,657,634	\$	(11,055,861)	
Vernon	\$	-	1,257,502	\$	2.1160	\$	2,660,878	\$	2,660,878	\$	2,660,878	
Atlantic P15	\$	28,118,790	0	\$	2.1160	\$	0	\$	(28,118,790)	\$	(28,118,790)	
Total	\$	457,813,602	216,357,718			\$	457,813,602	\$	0	\$	0	

ATTACHMENT D

September 01, 2009 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

Based on the FERC Order on City of Riverside's Offer of Settlement (Docket No. EL09-52)

TAC Components:

	Filed Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [1]	Filed Annual TRR New HV Facilities (\$) [2]	Filed Annual Gross Load (MWh) <i>[</i> 3]	TAC Area [4]	Total Filed TRR (\$) [5] = [1] + [2]	EHVF only Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [6] = [1]/[3]	EHVF only TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [7] = [21]	HV Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [8] = [5] / [3]	TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [9] = [19]
PGE	\$ 134,892,376	\$ 166,550,793	94,466,738	Ν	\$ 301,443,169	\$ 1.4279	\$ 1.7233	\$ 3.1910	\$ 3.8183
SCE	\$ 162,666,933	\$ 193,107,640	92,450,710	EC	\$ 355,774,573	\$ 1.7595	\$ 1.7927	\$ 3.8483	\$ 3.8924
SDGE	\$ 32,867,203	\$ 61,973,862	21,965,835	S	\$ 94,841,065	\$ 1.4963	\$ 1.7301	\$ 4.3177	\$ 3.8251
Anaheim	\$ 20,212,164	\$ -	2,766,313	EC	\$ 20,212,164	\$ 7.3065	\$ 1.7927	\$ 7.3065	\$ 3.8924
Azusa	\$ 1,226,554	\$ -	239,575	EC	\$ 1,226,554	\$ 5.1197	\$ 1.7927	\$ 5.1197	\$ 3.8924
Banning	\$ 930,800	\$ -	139,457	EC	\$ 930,800	\$ 6.6745	\$ 1.7927	\$ 6.6745	\$ 3.8924
Pasadena	\$ 6,796,373	\$ -	1,239,884	EC	\$ 6,796,373	\$ 5.4815	\$ 1.7927	\$ 5.4815	\$ 3.8924
Riverside	\$ 19,774,824	\$ -	2,201,147	EC	\$ 19,774,824	\$ 8.9839	\$ 1.7927	\$ 8.9839	\$ 3.8924
Vernon	\$ 1,231,199	\$ -	1,257,502	EC	\$ 1,231,199	\$ 0.9791	\$ 1.7927	\$ 0.9791	\$ 3.8924
Atlantic P15	\$ -	\$ 28,118,790	-	Ν	\$ 28,118,790	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3.8183
Startrans	\$ 4,760,375	\$ -	-	EC	\$ 4,760,375	\$ -	\$ 1.7927	\$ -	\$ 3.8924
ISO Total	\$ 385,358,800	\$ 449,751,085	216,727,161		\$ 835,109,885				

STEP 1: Calculate the Access Charge Rate for each TAC Area.

TAC-Area portion is the percent of Total TRR in each area which has not yet transitioned to the ISO (10%) divided by the Total Load of each area. The ISO portion is the percent of all TRR which has transitioned to ISO-Wide (90%), plus the TRR of New HV Facilities, divided by total load.

	Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [10] = [1]	Annual TAC Area TRR (\$) [11] = [10] × 10%	Annual TAC Area TRR (w/Load) (\$) [11B] = ([10] w/Load) x 10%	Annual Gross Load (MWH) [12] = [3]	TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [13] = [11]/[12]	TAC Area Rate (TRR w/Load) (\$/MWH) [138] =[11B]/[12]						Existing HV	Nev	w HV
North	\$ 134,892,376	. , ,		94,466,738					TAC Rate	Wheeling Rat		Facilites		cilites
East/C	+ //	\$ 21,759,922 \$ 2,286,720		100,294,588					(TAC Area	(TAC Area	,	(EHVF) only TAC	•	F) only
South Total	\$ 32,867,203 \$ 385,358,800	. , ,		21,965,835 216,727,161	\$ 0.1496	φ 0.1490			+ ISO Wide) (\$/MWH)	+ ISO Wide) (\$/MWH)		Rate (\$/MWH)		CRate //WH)
	• ••••,••••,•••	• •••,••••,•••	• ••••••••	,,					[19]	[20]		[21]	· · ·	22]
								_	= [13] + [17]	= [19]		= [[13B]] + [18]		5] / [16]
								North	•					2.0752
	0								· ·					2.0752
								South	\$ 3.8251	\$ 3.82	51 <mark>\$</mark>	<u>1.7301</u>	\$ 2	2.0752
	[14]	[14B]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]								
ISO-wide)							
Total ISO-wide	 \$ 385,358,800 ISO Wide TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [14] Total ([10]) × 90% \$ 346,822,920 	ISO Wide TRR EHVF w/Load (\$) [14B] Totel ([10] w/Load) x 90%	ISO Wide TRR New HV Facilities (\$) [15] = Total [2]	216,727,161 ISO Wide Annual Gross Load (MWH) [16] = Total [3] 216,727,161	= ([14] + [15]) / [16]	=[14B] / [16]		North East/Central South	= [13] + [17] \$ 3.8183 \$ 3.8924	= [19] \$ 3.81 \$ 3.89	83 <mark>\$</mark> 24 \$ 51 \$	= [[13B]] + [18] 1.7233 1.7927	[=[1: \$ \$	[2 5

September 01, 2009 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 2: Calculate the HV Access Charge the UDC/MSS pays on Filed Gross Load and Benefit/Burden. Note: ISO total for (Benefit)/Burden may not equal zero due to rounding of TAC Rate.

	TAC Area [23] = [4]	Filed Gross Load (MWH) [24] = [3]	EHVF only TAC Rate (\$/MWH) [25] = [7]	Amount Paid Based on Filed Gross Load (\$) [26] = [24] x [25]	I	EHVF only Jtility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [27] = [6]	v	ould Have Paid v/ EHVF Utility Specific Rate (\$) [28] = [24] x [27]	EHVF Access Charge Benefit)/Burden (\$) [29] = [26] - [28]
PGE	Ν	94,466,738	\$ 1.7233	\$ 162,794,486	\$	1.4279	\$	134,892,376	\$ 27,902,110
SCE	EC	92,450,710	\$ 1.7927	\$ 165,738,210	\$	1.7595	\$	162,666,933	\$ 3,071,277
SDGE	S	21,965,835	\$ 1.7301	\$ 38,003,855	\$	1.4963	\$	32,867,203	\$ 5,136,652
Anaheim	EC	2,766,313	\$ 1.7927	\$ 4,959,224	\$	7.3065	\$	20,212,164	\$ (15,252,940)
Azusa	EC	239,575	\$ 1.7927	\$ 429,491	\$	5.1197	\$	1,226,554	\$ (797,063)
Banning	EC	139,457	\$ 1.7927	\$ 250,007	\$	6.6745	\$	930,800	\$ (680,792)
Pasadena	EC	1,239,884	\$ 1.7927	\$ 2,222,764	\$	5.4815	\$	6,796,373	\$ (4,573,608)
Riverside	EC	2,201,147	\$ 1.7927	\$ 3,946,040	\$	8.9839	\$	19,774,824	\$ (15,828,784)
Vernon	EC	1,257,502	\$ 1.7927	\$ 2,254,349	\$	0.9791	\$	1,231,199	\$ 1,023,150
Startrans	EC	-	\$ 1.7927	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0
ISO Total	_	216,727,161		\$ 380,598,425			\$	380,598,425	\$ 0

STEP 3: For Information Only -- Projected annual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge for Existing Facilities. \$32/32/8 million cap for IOUs; munis are held harmless; IOUs pay muni cost increases in proportion to their cap relative to the total cap.

	EHVF cess Charge mefit)/Burden (\$) [30] = [29]	IOU Burden Annual Cap (\$) <i>[31]</i>	Amount Js' Cap Exceeds IOUs' Burden (\$) [32] IF ([31] - [30] >0) = [31] - [30]. If no cap, then 0.	Amount IOU's Burden ceeds IOU's Cap (\$) [33] IF [30] - [31] >0 = [30] - [31]. If no cap, then 0.	Payments by Entities with Net Benefit (\$) [34] IOUs = ([32]/ total[32]) x total[33]. Munis w/ Benefit= ([30] / total[30]) x total[33] - total[32]	Mitigation Payments (\$) [35] = [34] - [33]	(E	Adjusted Net Benefit) / Burden (\$) [36] = [30] + [35]	10	Reallocation IOU Burden (\$) [37] Reallocate DU Burden [39] so it is proportional o IOU Cap [31] = [39] - [36]	Transition Charge (\$) [38] = [35] + [37]	,	Adjusted Net nefit) / Burden (\$) [39] = [36] + [37]	Transiti Charg Rate (\$/MWI [40] =[38]/[2	ge h)
PGE	\$ 27,902,110	 32,000,000	\$ 4,097,890	\$ 0	\$ - / -	116,822		28,018,932	\$	(11,515,293)	\$ (11,398,471)	\$	16,503,639	\$ (0.12	· · · · ·
SCE	\$ 3,071,277	 32,000,000	\$ 28,928,723	\$ 0	\$ - ,	\$ 824,699		3,895,976	\$	12,607,663	\$,	\$,,	\$ 0.14	
SDGE	\$ 5,136,652	 8,000,000	\$ 2,863,348	\$ 0	81,628	\$ 81,628	\$	5,218,280	\$	(1,092,370)	\$ (1,010,742)	\$	4,125,910	\$ (0.04	460)
Anaheim	\$ (15,252,940)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 6 0	\$ 0	\$	(15,252,940)		0	\$ 0	\$	(15,252,940)		0
Azusa	\$ (797,063)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 6 0	\$ 0	\$	(797,063)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(797,063)	\$	0
Banning	\$ (680,792)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 6 0	\$ 0	\$	(680,792)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(680,792)	\$	0
Pasadena	\$ (4,573,608)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 6 0	\$ 0	\$	(4,573,608)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(4,573,608)	\$	0
Riverside	\$ (15,828,784)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 6 0	\$ 0	\$	(15,828,784)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(15,828,784)	\$	0
Vernon	\$ 1,023,150	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,023,150	\$ 6 0	\$ (1,023,150)	\$	0	\$	0	\$ (1,023,150)	\$	0	\$ (0.81	136)
Startrans	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 6 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0
Total	\$ 0	\$ 72,000,000	\$ 35,889,961	\$ 1,023,150	\$ \$ 1,023,150	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0		

September 01, 2009 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 4: Fo	or Info	rmation Only	Projected annual net	ben	efits/burdens fro	om /	Access Charge fo	or Ne	w Facilities and	d To	tal projected anr	nual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge.
	Filed Annual TRR ISO Wide		New			New HVTRR	NHVF			Total		
	New Annual		Annual	HVTRR			Cost	Access Charge			ccess Charge	
		HV Facilities	Gross Load	Rate			Responsibility		enefit)/Burden	(B	enefit)/Burden	
		(\$)	(MWh)		(\$/MWH)	(\$)		(\$)		(\$)		
		[41]	[42]		[43]		[44]		[45]		[46]	
		=[2]	=[3]		= ([15]) / [16]		= ([42]) * [43]		= ([44]) - [41]		= ([45]) + [39]	
PGE	\$	166,550,793	94,466,738	\$	2.0752	\$	196,036,887	\$	29,486,094	\$	45,989,733	
SCE	\$	193,107,640	92,450,710	\$	2.0752	\$	191,853,236	\$	(1,254,404)	\$	15,249,235	
SDGE	\$	61,973,862	21,965,835	\$	2.0752	\$	45,583,387	\$	(16,390,475)	\$	(12,264,565)	
Anaheim	\$	-	2,766,313	\$	2.0752	\$	5,740,638	\$	5,740,638	\$	(9,512,302)	
Azusa	\$	-	239,575	\$	2.0752	\$	497,165	\$	497,165	\$	(299,898)	
Banning	\$	-	139,457	\$	2.0752	\$	289,400	\$	289,400	\$	(391,392)	
Pasadena	\$	-	1,239,884	\$	2.0752	\$	2,573,001	\$	2,573,001	\$	(2,000,607)	
Riverside	\$	-	2,201,147	\$	2.0752	\$	4,567,809	\$	4,567,809	\$	(11,260,976)	
Vernon	\$	-	1,257,502	\$	2.0752	\$	2,609,562	\$	2,609,562	\$	2,609,562	
Atlantic P15	\$	28,118,790	0	\$	2.0752	\$	0	\$	(28,118,790)	\$	(28,118,790)	
Total	\$	449,751,085	216,727,161			\$	449,751,085	\$	0	\$	0	

ATTACHMENT E

October 01, 2009 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

Based on the FERC Order on City of Pasadena's Offer of Settlement (Docket No. EL09-67)

TAC Components:

	Filed Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [1]	Filed Annual TRR New HV Facilities (\$) [2]	Filed Annual Gross Load (MWh) [3]	TAC Area [4]	TAC File Area TR (\$ [4] [5]		Total Filed TRR (\$) [5] =[1] + [2]		EHVF only TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [7] = [21]	HV Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [8] = [5] / [3]			TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [9] = [19]
PGE	\$ 134,892,376	\$ 166,550,793	94,466,738	N	\$	301,443,169	\$	1.4279	\$ 1.7331	\$	3.1910	\$	3.8275
SCE	\$ 162,666,933	\$ 193,107,640	92,450,710	EC	\$	355,774,573	\$	1.7595	\$ 1.8048	\$	3.8483	\$	3.9040
SDGE	\$ 32,867,203	\$ 61,973,862	21,965,835	S	\$	94,841,065	\$	1.4963	\$ 1.7399	\$	4.3177	\$	3.8343
Anaheim	\$ 20,212,164	\$ -	2,766,313	EC	\$	20,212,164	\$	7.3065	\$ 1.8048	\$	7.3065	\$	3.9040
Azusa	\$ 1,226,554	\$ -	239,575	EC	\$	1,226,554	\$	5.1197	\$ 1.8048	\$	5.1197	\$	3.9040
Banning	\$ 930,800	\$ -	139,457	EC	\$	930,800	\$	6.6745	\$ 1.8048	\$	6.6745	\$	3.9040
Pasadena	\$ 9,245,886	\$ -	1,295,096	EC	\$	9,245,886	\$	7.1392	\$ 1.8048	\$	7.1392	\$	3.9040
Riverside	\$ 19,774,824	\$ -	2,201,147	EC	\$	19,774,824	\$	8.9839	\$ 1.8048	\$	8.9839	\$	3.9040
Vernon	\$ 1,231,199	\$ -	1,257,502	EC	\$	1,231,199	\$	0.9791	\$ 1.8048	\$	0.9791	\$	3.9040
Atlantic P15	\$ -	\$ 28,118,790	-	Ν	\$	28,118,790	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	3.8275
Startrans	\$ 4,760,375	\$ -	-	EC	\$	4,760,375	\$	-	\$ 1.8048	\$	-	\$	3.9040
ISO Total	\$ 387,808,313	\$ 449,751,085	216,782,373		\$	837,559,398							

STEP 1: Calculate the Access Charge Rate for each TAC Area.

TAC-Area portion is the percent of Total TRR in each area which has not yet transitioned to the ISO (10%) divided by the Total Load of each area. The ISO portion is the percent of all TRR which has transitioned to ISO-Wide (90%), plus the TRR of New HV Facilities, divided by total load.

	Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [10] = [1]	Annual TAC Area TRR (\$) [11] = [10] × 10%	Annual TAC Area TRR (w/Load) (\$) [11B] = ([10] w/Load) x 10%	Annual Gross Load (MWH) [12] = [3]	TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [13] =[11]/[12]	TAC Area Rate (TRR w/Load) (\$/MWH) [138] =[118]/[12]					Existing HV	New HV
North	\$ 134,892,376	. , ,		94,466,738					TAC Rate	Wheeling Rate	Facilites	Facilites
East/C	\$ 220,048,734	. , ,		100,349,800					(TAC Area	(TAC Area	(EHVF) only TAC	(NHVF) only
South Total	\$ 32,867,203 \$ 387,808,313	. , ,		21,965,835 216,782,373	\$ 0.1496	\$ 0.1496			+ ISO Wide) (\$/MWH)	+ ISO Wide) (\$/MWH)	Rate (\$/MWH)	TAC Rate (\$/MWH)
Total	ψ 307,000,313	φ 30,700,031	φ 30,304,734	210,702,575					[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]
									= [13] + [17]	= [19]	= [[13B]] + [18]	=[15]/[16]
	ISO Wide TRR		ISO Wide TRR	ISO Wide	ISO	EHVF		North	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		\$ 2.0747
	Existing	ISO Wide TRR	New	Annual	Wide	ISO-Wide Rate	\leq	East/Central	• • • • • •			
	HV Facilities	EHVF w/Load	HV Facilities	Gross Load	Rate	TRR w/Load only	(South	\$ 3.8343	\$ 3.8343	\$ 1.7399	\$ 2.0747
	(\$) [14]	(\$) [14 <u>8]</u>	(\$) [15]	(MWH) [16]	(\$/MWH) [17]	(\$/MWH) [18]						
	Total ([10]) x 90%	Total ([10] w/Load) x 90%	= Total [2]	= Total [3]	= ([14] + [15]) / [16]	=[14B] / [16]						
ISO-wide	\$ 349,027,482	\$ 344,743,145	\$ 449,751,085	216,782,373								

October 01, 2009 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 2: Calculate the HV Access Charge the UDC/MSS pays on Filed Gross Load and Benefit/Burden. Note: ISO total for (Benefit)/Burden may not equal zero due to rounding of TAC Rate.

	TAC Area [23] = [4]	Filed Gross Load (MWH) [24] =[3]	EHVF only TAC Rate (\$/MWH) [25] = [7]	Amount Paid Based on Filed Gross Load (\$) [26] = [24] x [25]	I	EHVF only Jtility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [27] = [6]	v	ould Have Paid v/ EHVF Utility Specific Rate (\$) [28] = [24] x [27]	EHVF Access Charge Benefit)/Burden (\$) [29] = [26] - [28]
PGE	Ν	94,466,738	\$ 1.7331	\$ 163,717,136	\$	1.4279	\$	134,892,376	\$ 28,824,760
SCE	EC	92,450,710	\$ 1.8048	\$ 166,856,045	\$	1.7595	\$	162,666,933	\$ 4,189,112
SDGE	S	21,965,835	\$ 1.7399	\$ 38,218,394	\$	1.4963	\$	32,867,203	\$ 5,351,191
Anaheim	EC	2,766,313	\$ 1.8048	\$ 4,992,672	\$	7.3065	\$	20,212,164	\$ (15,219,493)
Azusa	EC	239,575	\$ 1.8048	\$ 432,388	\$	5.1197	\$	1,226,554	\$ (794,166)
Banning	EC	139,457	\$ 1.8048	\$ 251,694	\$	6.6745	\$	930,800	\$ (679,106)
Pasadena	EC	1,295,096	\$ 1.8048	\$ 2,337,403	\$	7.1392	\$	9,245,886	\$ (6,908,482)
Riverside	EC	2,201,147	\$ 1.8048	\$ 3,972,654	\$	8.9839	\$	19,774,824	\$ (15,802,170)
Vernon	EC	1,257,502	\$ 1.8048	\$ 2,269,553	\$	0.9791	\$	1,231,199	\$ 1,038,354
Startrans	EC	-	\$ 1.8048	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0
ISO Total	-	216,782,373		\$ 383,047,938			\$	383,047,938	\$ 0

STEP 3: For Information Only -- Projected annual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge for Existing Facilities. \$32/32/8 million cap for IOUs; munis are held harmless; IOUs pay muni cost increases in proportion to their cap relative to the total cap.

		EHVF ccess Charge enefit)/Burden (\$) [30] = [29]	IOU Burden Annual Cap (\$) <i>[31]</i>	I	Amount Js' Cap Exceeds IOUs' Burden (\$) [32] IF ([31] - [30] >0) = [31] - [30]. If no cap, then 0.	Exc	Amount IOU's Burden ceeds IOU's Cap (\$) [33] IF[30] - [31] >0 = [30] - [31]. If no cap, then 0.		Payments by Entities with Net Benefit (\$) [34] [0Us = ([32] / tota[[32]) x tota[[33], Munis w/ Benefit= ([30] / tota[[32]]		Mitigation Payments (\$) [35] = [34] - [33]	Adjusted Net (Benefit) / Burden (\$) [36] = [30] + [35]		Reallocation IOU Burden (\$) [37] Reallocate IOU Burden [39] so it is proportional to IOU Cap [31] = [39] - [36]			Transition Charge (\$) [38] = [35] + [37]	Adjusted Net (Benefit) / Burde (\$) [39] = [36] + [37]		C F (\$/	nsition harge Rate MWh) [40] 18] / [24]
PGE	\$	28,824,760	32,000,000	•	3,175,240		0	\$		\$		\$	28,922,784	\$	(11,410,154)	\$	(11,312,130)		17,512,630		(0.1197)
SCE SDGE	\$ ¢	4,189,112 5,351,191	32,000,000 8,000,000		27,810,888 2,648,809	\$ \$	0	\$	6 858,558 6 81,772	\$ ¢	858,558 81,772	\$	5,047,671 5,432,963	ን ሮ	12,464,959	\$,	\$ \$	17,512,630 4,378,157		0.1441 (0.0443)
	¢		 8,000,000	¢.	, ,	ф Ф	0	¢	,	¢	01,772	· · .	, ,	¢ D	(1,054,805)	¢	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	¢ ¢			(0.0443)
Anaheim	\$	(15,219,493)	 0	\$	0	\$	0	2	S 0	\$	0	\$	(15,219,493)	•	0	\$	0	\$	(15,219,493)		0
Azusa	\$	(794,166)	 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	S 0	\$	0	\$	(794,166)		0	\$	0	\$	(794,166)		0
Banning	\$	(679,106)	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	6 0	\$	0	\$	(679,106)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(679,106)	\$	0
Pasadena	\$	(6,908,482)	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	6 0	\$	0	\$	(6,908,482)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(6,908,482)	\$	0
Riverside	\$	(15,802,170)	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	6 0	\$	0	\$	(15,802,170)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(15,802,170)	\$	0
Vernon	\$	1,038,354	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	1,038,354	\$	6 0	\$	(1,038,354)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(1,038,354)	\$	0	\$	(0.8257)
Startrans	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	6 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Total	\$	0	\$ 72,000,000	\$	33,634,937	\$	1,038,354	\$	\$ 1,038,354	\$	(0)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0		

October 01, 2009 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 4: Fo	r Info	rmation Only F	Projected annual net	ben	efits/burdens fro	om /	Access Charge fo	r Ne	w Facilities and	d To	tal projected an	nual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge.
	Filed Annual TRR ISO Wide		New			New HVTRR		NHVF		Total		
		New	Annual	HVTRR			Cost	Access Charge			ccess Charge	
	ł	IV Facilities	Gross Load	Rate			Responsibility	(B	enefit)/Burden	(B	enefit)/Burden	
		(\$)	(MWh)	(\$/MWH)			(\$)		(\$)		(\$)	
		[41]	[42]	[43]			[44]	[45]			[46]	
		=[2]	=[3]		= ([15]) / [16]		= ([42]) * [43]		= ([44]) - [41]		= ([45]) + [39]	
PGE	\$	166,550,793	94,466,738	\$	2.0747	\$	195,986,958	\$	29,436,165	\$	46,948,795	
SCE	\$	193,107,640	92,450,710	\$	2.0747	\$	191,804,373	\$	(1,303,267)	\$	16,209,363	
SDGE	\$	61,973,862	21,965,835	\$	2.0747	\$	45,571,778	\$	(16,402,084)	\$	(12,023,927)	
Anaheim	\$	-	2,766,313	\$	2.0747	\$	5,739,176	\$	5,739,176	\$	(9,480,316)	
Azusa	\$	-	239,575	\$	2.0747	\$	497,038	\$	497,038	\$	(297,128)	
Banning	\$	-	139,457	\$	2.0747	\$	289,327	\$	289,327	\$	(389,779)	
Pasadena	\$	-	1,295,096	\$	2.0747	\$	2,686,892	\$	2,686,892	\$	(4,221,590)	
Riverside	\$	-	2,201,147	\$	2.0747	\$	4,566,646	\$	4,566,646	\$	(11,235,524)	
Vernon	\$	-	1,257,502	\$	2.0747	\$	2,608,897	\$	2,608,897	\$	2,608,897	
Atlantic P15	\$	28,118,790	0	\$	2.0747	\$	0	\$	(28,118,790)	\$	(28,118,790)	
Total	\$	449,751,085	216,782,373			\$	449,751,085	\$	(0)	\$	0	

ATTACHMENT F

January 01, 2010 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

TAC Components:

	Filed Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [1]	Filed Annual TRR New HV Facilities (\$) [2]	Filed Annual Gross Load (MWh) [3]	TAC Area [4]	Total Filed TRR (\$) [5] = [1] + [2]	EHVF only Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [6] = [1]/[3]		EHVF only TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [7] = [21]	HV Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [8] = [5] / [3]	TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [9] = [19]
PGE	\$ 149,885,323	\$ 189,822,512	94,466,738	N	\$ 339,707,835	\$ 1.5866	•	2.1522	\$ 3.5961	\$ 4.3587
SCE	\$ 204,548,644	\$ 193,995,691	92,450,710	EC	\$ 398,544,335	\$ 2.2125	\$	2.1522	\$ 4.3109	\$ 4.3587
SDGE	\$ 50,179,426	\$ 58,598,134	21,965,835	S	\$ 108,777,560	\$ 2.2844	\$	2.1522	\$ 4.9521	\$ 4.3587
Anaheim	\$ 23,669,575	\$ -	2,766,313	EC	\$ 23,669,575	\$ 8.5564	\$	2.1522	\$ 8.5564	\$ 4.3587
Azusa	\$ 1,623,004	\$ -	239,575	EC	\$ 1,623,004	\$ 6.7745	\$	2.1522	\$ 6.7745	\$ 4.3587
Banning	\$ 1,151,110	\$ -	139,457	EC	\$ 1,151,110	\$ 8.2542	\$	2.1522	\$ 8.2542	\$ 4.3587
Pasadena	\$ 12,978,057	\$ -	1,295,096	EC	\$ 12,978,057	\$ 10.0209	\$	2.1522	\$ 10.0209	\$ 4.3587
Riverside	\$ 20,950,688	\$ -	2,201,147	EC	\$ 20,950,688	\$ 9.5181	\$	2.1522	\$ 9.5181	\$ 4.3587
Vernon	\$ 1,630,724	\$ -	1,288,684	EC	\$ 1,630,724	\$ 1.2654	\$	2.1522	\$ 1.2654	\$ 4.3587
Atlantic P15	\$ -	\$ 30,565,537	-	Ν	\$ 30,565,537	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 4.3587
Startrans	\$ 5,421,188	\$ -	-	EC	\$ 5,421,188	\$ -	\$	2.1522	\$ -	\$ 4.3587
ISO Total	\$ 472,037,739	\$ 472,981,874	216,813,555		\$ 945,019,613					

STEP 1: Calculate the Access Charge Rate for each TAC Area.

TAC-Area portion is the percent of Total TRR in each area which has not yet transitioned to the ISO (0%) divided by the Total Load of each area. The ISO portion is the percent of all TRR which has transitioned to ISO-Wide (100%), plus the TRR of New HV Facilities, divided by total load.

	Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [10] = [1]	Annual TAC Area TRR (\$) [11] = [10] x 0%	Annual TAC Area TRR (w/Load) (\$) [11B] = ([10] w/Load) x 0%	Annual Gross Load (MWH) [12] = [3]	TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [13] = [11]/[12]	TAC Area Rate (TRR w/Load) (\$/MWH) [13B] = [11B]/[12]						Exi	isting HV	Ν	Vew HV
North	\$ 149,885,323 \$ 271,072,000	•	\$	94,466,738		<mark>\$ -</mark>			TAC Rate (TAC Area		eeling Rate FAC Area	F	acilites		acilites
East/C South	\$ 271,972,990 \$ 50,179,426		\$- \$-	100,380,982 21,965,835		\$ - \$ -			+ ISO Wide)	•	ISO Wide)		F) only TAC Rate		HVF) only AC Rate
Total	\$ 472,037,739	\$-	\$-	216,813,555					(\$/MWH) [19]	((\$/MWH) [20]	(\$	5/MWH) [21]	(\$	\$/MWH) [22]
								_	=[13] + [17]		= [19]	=[[13B]] + [18]	=	[15] / [16]
	ISO Wide TRR		ISO Wide TRR	ISO Wide	ISO	EHVF		North	\$ 4.3587		4.3587		2.1522	\$	2.1815
	Existing HV Facilities	ISO Wide TRR EHVF w/Load	New HV Facilities	Annual Gross Load	Wide Rate	ISO-Wide Rate TRR w/Load only	$\left(\right)$	East/Central South		•	4.3587 4.3587		2.1522 2.1522		2.1815 2.1815
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(MWH)	(\$/MWH)	(\$/MWH)		ooun	φ 4.0001	Ψ	4.0001	Ψ	2.1022	Ψ	2.1010
	[14]	[14B]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]									
	Total ([10]) x 100%	Total ([10] w/Load) x 100%	= Total [2]	= Total [3]	= ([14] + [15]) / [16]	=[14B] / [16])								
ISO-wide	\$ 472,037,739	\$ 466,616,551	\$ 472,981,874	216,813,555	\$ 4.3587	<mark>\$ 2.1522</mark> _									

January 01, 2010 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 2: Calculate the HV Access Charge the UDC/MSS pays on Filed Gross Load and Benefit/Burden. Note: ISO total for (Benefit)/Burden may not equal zero due to rounding of TAC Rate.

	TAC Area [23] = [4]	Filed Gross Load (MWH) [24] = [3]	EHVF only TAC Rate (\$/MWH) [25] = [7]	Amount Paid Based on Filed Gross Load (\$) [26] = [24] x [25]	I	EHVF only Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [27] = [6]	١	ould Have Paid v/ EHVF Utility Specific Rate (\$) [28] = [24] x [27]	EHVF Access Charge Benefit)/Burden (\$) [29] = [26] - [28]
PGE	Ν	94,466,738	\$ 2.1522	\$ 203,307,138	\$	1.5866	\$	149,885,323	\$ 53,421,815
SCE	EC	92,450,710	\$ 2.1522	\$ 198,968,332	\$	2.2125	\$	204,548,644	\$ (5,580,312)
SDGE	S	21,965,835	\$ 2.1522	\$ 47,273,899	\$	2.2844	\$	50,179,426	\$ (2,905,527)
Anaheim	EC	2,766,313	\$ 2.1522	\$ 5,953,537	\$	8.5564	\$	23,669,575	\$ (17,716,038)
Azusa	EC	239,575	\$ 2.1522	\$ 515,603	\$	6.7745	\$	1,623,004	\$ (1,107,401)
Banning	EC	139,457	\$ 2.1522	\$ 300,133	\$	8.2542	\$	1,151,110	\$ (850,976)
Pasadena	EC	1,295,096	\$ 2.1522	\$ 2,787,248	\$	10.0209	\$	12,978,057	\$ (10,190,809)
Riverside	EC	2,201,147	\$ 2.1522	\$ 4,737,211	\$	9.5181	\$	20,950,688	\$ (16,213,477)
Vernon	EC	1,288,684	\$ 2.1522	\$ 2,773,449	\$	1.2654	\$	1,630,724	\$ 1,142,725
Startrans	EC	-	\$ 2.1522	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0
ISO Total		216,813,555		\$ 466,616,551			\$	466,616,551	\$ (0)

STEP 3: For Information Only -- Projected annual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge for Existing Facilities. \$32/32/8 million cap for IOUs; munis are held harmless; IOUs pay muni cost increases in proportion to their cap relative to the total cap.

	EHVF ccess Charge enefit)/Burden (\$) [30] = [29]	IOU Burden Annual Cap (\$) [31]	Amount Js' Cap Exceeds IOUs' Burden (\$) [32] IF ([31] - [30] >0) = [31] - [30]. If no cap, then 0.	Amount IOU's Burden xceeds IOU's Cap (\$) [33] IF[30] - [31] >0 = [30] - [31]. If no cap, then 0.	Payments by Entities with Net Benefit (\$) [34] IOUs = ([32] / total[32]) × total[33]. Munis w/ Benefit= ([30] / total[30]) total[33] - total[32]	Mitigation Payments (\$) [35] = [34] - [33]	(Adjusted Net Benefit) / Burden (\$) [36] = [30] + [35]	Į	Reallocation IOU Burden (\$) [37] Reallocate OU Burden [39] so it is proportional o IOU Cap [31] = [39] - [36]	Transition Charge (\$) [38] = [35] + [37]	,	Adjusted Net nefit) / Burden (\$) [39] = [36] + [37]	C (\$/	nsition harge Rate (MWh) [40] 88] / [24]
PGE	\$ 53,421,815 \$	32,000,000	0	21,421,815.4372	\$ 0	\$ (21,421,815)		32,000,000	\$	(11,520,577)	\$ (- /- //	\$	20,479,423	\$	(0.3487)
SCE	\$ (5,580,312) \$	32,000,000	37,580,312		\$ 17,489,281	\$,	\$	11,908,969	\$	8,570,454	\$ 26,059,735	\$	20,479,423	\$	0.2819
SDGE	\$ (2,905,527) \$	8,000,000	10,905,527	\$	\$ 5,075,259	\$ 5,075,259	\$	2,169,732	\$	2,950,123	\$ 8,025,382	\$	-,,	\$	0.3654
Anaheim	\$ (17,716,038) \$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	(17,716,038)	•	0	\$ 0	\$	(17,716,038)		0
Azusa	\$ (1,107,401) \$		0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	(1,107,401)		0	\$ 0	\$	(1,107,401)		0
Banning	\$ (850,976) \$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	(850,976)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(850,976)	\$	0
Pasadena	\$ (10,190,809) \$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	(10,190,809)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(10,190,809)	\$	0
Riverside	\$ (16,213,477) \$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	(16,213,477)	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	(16,213,477)	\$	0
Vernon	\$ 1,142,725 \$	0	\$ 0	\$ 1,142,725	\$ 0	\$ (1,142,725)	\$	0	\$	0	\$ (1,142,725)	\$	0	\$	(0.8867)
Startrans	\$ 0 \$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0
Total	\$ 0 \$	72,000,000	\$ 48,485,839	\$ 22,564,540	\$ 22,564,540	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0		

January 01, 2010 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 4: Fo	r Info	rmation Only P	rojected annual net	ben	efits/burdens fro	om /	Access Charge fo	or Ne	w Facilities and	d To	tal projected an	nual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge.
	File	ed Annual TRR	ISO Wide		New		New HVTRR		NHVF		Total	
		New	Annual		HVTRR		Cost	A	ccess Charge	A	ccess Charge	
	1	HV Facilities	Gross Load		Rate		Responsibility	(B	enefit)/Burden	(B	enefit)/Burden	
		(\$)	(MWh)		(\$/MWH)		(\$)		(\$)		(\$)	
		[41]	[42]		[43]		[44]		[45]		[46]	
		= [2]	=[3]		= ([15]) / [16]		= ([42]) * [43]		= ([44]) - [41]		= ([45]) + [39]	
PGE	\$	189,822,512	94,466,738	\$	2.1815	\$	206,080,541	\$	16,258,029	\$	36,737,452	
SCE	\$	193,995,691	92,450,710	\$	2.1815	\$	201,682,547	\$	7,686,856	\$	28,166,279	
SDGE	\$	58,598,134	21,965,835	\$	2.1815	\$	47,918,784	\$	(10,679,350)	\$	(5,559,495)	
Anaheim	\$		2,766,313	\$	2.1815	\$	6,034,751	\$	6,034,751	\$	(11,681,287)	
Azusa	\$	-	239,575	\$	2.1815	\$	522,636	\$	522,636	\$	(584,765)	
Banning	\$	-	139,457	\$	2.1815	\$	304,227	\$	304,227	\$	(546,749)	
Pasadena	\$		1,295,096	\$	2.1815	\$	2,825,270	\$	2,825,270	\$	(7,365,538)	
Riverside	\$	-	2,201,147	\$	2.1815	\$	4,801,834	\$	4,801,834	\$	(11,411,643)	
Vernon	\$	-	1,288,684	\$	2.1815	\$	2,811,283	\$	2,811,283	\$	2,811,283	
Atlantic P15	\$	30,565,537	0	\$	2.1815	\$	0	\$	(30,565,537)	\$	(30,565,537)	
Total	\$	472,981,874	216,813,555			\$	472,981,874	\$	0	\$	0	

ATTACHMENT G

March 01, 2010 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

Based on FERC Order on PG&E's Motion for Interim Rates (ER09-1521)

TAC Components:

	Filed Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [1]	Filed Annual TRR New HV Facilities (\$) [2]	Filed Annual Gross Load (MWh) [3]	TAC Area [4]	Total Filed TRR (\$) [5] = [1] + [2]	EHVF only Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [6] = [1]/[3]	EHVF only TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [7] = [21]	HV Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [8] = [5] / [3]	TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [9] = [19]
PGE	\$ 160,324,704	\$ 209,625,978	90,326,715	N	\$ 369,950,682	\$ 1.7749	\$ 2.4513	\$ 4.0957	\$ 5.0939
SCE	\$ 241,066,782	\$ 249,428,193	89,286,280	EC	\$ 490,494,975	\$ 2.6999	\$ 2.4513	\$ 5.4935	\$ 5.0939
SDGE	\$ 50,179,426	\$ 58,598,134	21,965,835	S	\$ 108,777,560	\$ 2.2844	\$ 2.4513	\$ 4.9521	\$ 5.0939
Anaheim	\$ 23,669,575	\$ -	2,766,313	EC	\$ 23,669,575	\$ 8.5564	\$ 2.4513	\$ 8.5564	\$ 5.0939
Azusa	\$ 1,623,004	\$ -	239,575	EC	\$ 1,623,004	\$ 6.7745	\$ 2.4513	\$ 6.7745	\$ 5.0939
Banning	\$ 1,151,110	\$ -	139,457	EC	\$ 1,151,110	\$ 8.2542	\$ 2.4513	\$ 8.2542	\$ 5.0939
Pasadena	\$ 12,978,057	\$ -	1,295,096	EC	\$ 12,978,057	\$ 10.0209	\$ 2.4513	\$ 10.0209	\$ 5.0939
Riverside	\$ 20,950,688	\$ -	2,201,147	EC	\$ 20,950,688	\$ 9.5181	\$ 2.4513	\$ 9.5181	\$ 5.0939
Vernon	\$ 1,630,724	\$ -	1,288,684	EC	\$ 1,630,724	\$ 1.2654	\$ 2.4513	\$ 1.2654	\$ 5.0939
Atlantic P15	\$ -	\$ 30,565,537	-	Ν	\$ 30,565,537	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5.0939
Startrans	\$ 5,421,188	\$ -	-	EC	\$ 5,421,188	\$ -	\$ 2.4513	\$ -	\$ 5.0939
ISO Total	\$ 518,995,258	\$ 548,217,842	209,509,102		\$ 1,067,213,100				

STEP 1: Calculate the Access Charge Rate for each TAC Area.

TAC-Area portion is the percent of Total TRR in each area which has not yet transitioned to the ISO (0%) divided by the Total Load of each area. The ISO portion is the percent of all TRR which has transitioned to ISO-Wide (100%), plus the TRR of New HV Facilities, divided by total load.

	Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [10] = [1]	Annual TAC Area TRR (\$) [11] =[10] x 0%	Annual TAC Area TRR (w/Load) (\$) [11B] = ([10] w/Load) x 0%	Annual Gross Load (MWH) [12] = [3]	TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [13] =[11]/[12]	TAC Area Rate (TRR w/Load) (\$/MWH) [13B] = [11B]/[12]					Ex	kisting HV	New HV
North	\$ 160,324,704	•	\$-	90,326,715		\$ -			TAC Rate	/heeling Rate		Facilites	Facilites
East/C South	\$ 308,491,128 \$ 50,179,426	•	\$- \$-	97,216,552 21,965,835		\$ - \$ -			(TAC Area + ISO Wide)	(TAC Area + ISO Wide)	(EHV	F) only TAC Rate	IHVF) only AC Rate
Total	\$ 518,995,258	•	\$ -	209,509,102	•	Ť			(\$/MWH)	(\$/MWH)	(\$/MWH)	(\$/MWH)
									[19] = [13] + [17]	[20] = [19]	- 0	[21] [13B]] + [18]	[22] = [15] / [16]
	ISO Wide TRR		ISO Wide TRR	ISO Wide	ISO	EHVF		North		\$ 5.0939		2.4513	 2.6167
	Existing	ISO Wide TRR	New	Annual	Wide	ISO-Wide Rate	\geq	East/Central	•	5.0939		2.4513	2.6167
	HV Facilities	EHVF w/Load	HV Facilities	Gross Load	Rate	TRR w/Load only	(South	\$ 5.0939	\$ 5.0939	\$	2.4513	\$ 2.6167
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(MWH)	(\$/MWH)	(\$/MWH)							
	[14] Total ([10]) x 100%	[14B] Total ([10] w/Load) x 100%	[15] = Total [2]	[16] = Total [3]	[17] = ([14] + [15]) / [16]	[18] =[14B] / [16]							
ISO-wide	\$ 518,995,258			209,509,102)						

March 01, 2010 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 2: Calculate the HV Access Charge the UDC/MSS pays on Filed Gross Load and Benefit/Burden. Note: ISO total for (Benefit)/Burden may not equal zero due to rounding of TAC Rate.

_	TAC Area [23] = [4]	Filed Gross Load (MWH) [24] =[3]	EHVF only TAC Rate (\$/MWH) [25] = [7]	Amount Paid Based on Filed Gross Load (\$) [26] = [24] x [25]	ι	EHVF only Jtility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [27] = [6]	v	ould Have Paid v/ EHVF Utility Specific Rate (\$) [28] = [24] x [27]	EHVF Access Charge Benefit)/Burden (\$) [29] = [26] - [28]
PGE	N	90,326,715	\$ 2.4513	\$ 221,419,777	\$	1.7749	\$	160,324,704	\$ 61,095,073
SCE	EC	89,286,280	\$ 2.4513	\$ 218,869,337	\$	2.6999	\$	241,066,782	\$ (22,197,445)
SDGE	S	21,965,835	\$ 2.4513	\$ 53,845,313	\$	2.2844	\$	50,179,426	\$ 3,665,887
Anaheim	EC	2,766,313	\$ 2.4513	\$ 6,781,121	\$	8.5564	\$	23,669,575	\$ (16,888,454)
Azusa	EC	239,575	\$ 2.4513	\$ 587,275	\$	6.7745	\$	1,623,004	\$ (1,035,729)
Banning	EC	139,457	\$ 2.4513	\$ 341,854	\$	8.2542	\$	1,151,110	\$ (809,256)
Pasadena	EC	1,295,096	\$ 2.4513	\$ 3,174,696	\$	10.0209	\$	12,978,057	\$ (9,803,361)
Riverside	EC	2,201,147	\$ 2.4513	\$ 5,395,718	\$	9.5181	\$	20,950,688	\$ (15,554,970)
Vernon	EC	1,288,684	\$ 2.4513	\$ 3,158,978	\$	1.2654	\$	1,630,724	\$ 1,528,254
Startrans	EC	-	\$ 2.4513	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0
ISO Total		209,509,102		\$ 513,574,070			\$	513,574,070	\$ (0)

STEP 3: For Information Only -- Projected annual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge for Existing Facilities. \$32/32/8 million cap for IOUs; munis are held harmless; IOUs pay muni cost increases in proportion to their cap relative to the total cap.

		EHVF ccess Charge enefit)/Burden (\$) [30] = [29]	IOU Burden Annual Cap (\$) [31]	IC	Amount s' Cap Exceeds OUs' Burden (\$) [32] F [31] - [30] > 0) = [31] - [30]. If no cap, then 0.		Amount IOU's Burden (\$) [33] IF[30] - [31] >0 = [30] - [31]. If no cap, then 0.		Payments by Entities with Net Benefit (\$) [34] IOUs = ([32] / tota[32]) × tota[33]. Munis w/ Benefit= ([30] / tota[[30]) total[33] - total[32]		Mitigation Payments (\$) [35] = [34] - [33]	(Adjusted Net (Benefit) / Burden (\$) [36] = [30] + [35]	IC to	Reallocation IOU Burden (\$) [37] Reallocate DU Burden [39] so it is proportional IOU Cap [31] = [39] - [36]		Transition Charge (\$) [38] = [35] + [37]	·	Adjusted Net nefit) / Burden (\$) [39] = [36] + [37]) (ع	ansition Charge Rate S/MWh) [40] [38] / [24]	
PGE	\$	61,095,073 \$	32,000,000		0		29,095,072.9087	\$	0	\$	(29,095,073)		32,000,000	\$	(12,403,658)	\$	X // - /	\$	19,596,342		(0.4594)	
SCE SDGE	¢	(22,197,445) \$ 3,665,887 \$	32,000,000 8,000,000		54,197,445 4,334,113	\$ \$	0	\$ ¢	28,355,748 2,267,579	\$ \$	28,355,748 2,267,579	•	6,158,303 5,933,467	ን ሮ	13,438,039 (1,034,381)	\$ \$	41,793,787 1,233,198	\$ \$	19,596,342 4,899,085	\$ \$	0.4681 0.0561	
Anaheim	φ Φ	(16,888,454) \$	0,000,000	φ \$	4,334,113	φ ¢	0	φ ¢	2,207,379	φ ¢	2,207,579	φ \$, ,	¢ ¢	(1,034,301)	φ φ	1,233,198	φ C	(16,888,454)	-	0.0301	
Azusa	ŝ	(1.035,729) \$	0	\$	0	ŝ	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(1,035,729)	•	0	\$	0	ŝ	(1,035,729)		0	
Banning	ŝ	(809,256) \$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	ŝ	(809,256)	•	0	\$	0	Ŝ	(809,256)		0	
Pasadena	\$	(9,803,361) \$	0	\$	0	Ŝ	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(9,803,361)		0	\$	0	Ŝ	(9,803,361)		0	
Riverside	\$	(15,554,970) \$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$		•	0	\$	0	\$	(15,554,970)		0	
Vernon	\$	1,528,254 \$	0	\$	0	\$	1,528,254	\$	0	\$	(1,528,254)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(1,528,254)	\$	0		(1.1859)	
Startrans	\$	0 \$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0		0	
Total	\$	0 \$	72,000,000	\$	58,531,558	\$	30,623,327	\$	30,623,327	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0			-

March 01, 2010 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 4: For	r Info	rmation Only P	rojected annual net	ben	efits/burdens fro	m /	Access Charge fo	or Ne	w Facilities and	d To	tal projected an	nual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge.
	File	ed Annual TRR	ISO Wide		New		New HVTRR		NHVF		Total	
		New	Annual		HVTRR		Cost	A	ccess Charge	A	ccess Charge	
	1	HV Facilities	Gross Load		Rate		Responsibility	(B	enefit)/Burden	(B	enefit)/Burden	
		(\$)	(MWh)		(\$/MWH)		(\$)		(\$)		(\$)	
		[41]	[42]		[43]		[44]		[45]		[46]	
		= [2]	=[3]		= ([15]) / [16]		= ([42]) * [43]		= ([44]) - [41]		= ([45]) + [39]	
PGE	\$	209,625,978	90,326,715	\$	2.6167	\$	236,355,921	\$	26,729,943	\$	46,326,285	
SCE	\$	249,428,193	89,286,280	\$	2.6167	\$	233,633,438	\$	(15,794,755)	\$	3,801,587	
SDGE	\$	58,598,134	21,965,835	\$	2.6167	\$	57,477,516	\$	(1,120,618)	\$	3,778,467	
Anaheim	\$	-	2,766,313	\$	2.6167	\$	7,238,550	\$	7,238,550	\$	(9,649,904)	
Azusa	\$	-	239,575	\$	2.6167	\$	626,891	\$	626,891	\$	(408,838)	
Banning	\$	-	139,457	\$	2.6167	\$	364,914	\$	364,914	\$	(444,342)	
Pasadena	\$	-	1,295,096	\$	2.6167	\$	3,388,849	\$	3,388,849	\$	(6,414,512)	
Riverside	\$	-	2,201,147	\$	2.6167	\$	5,759,693	\$	5,759,693	\$	(9,795,277)	
Vernon	\$	-	1,288,684	\$	2.6167	\$	3,372,071	\$	3,372,071	\$	3,372,071	
Atlantic P15	\$	30,565,537	0	\$	2.6167	\$	0	\$	(30,565,537)	\$	(30,565,537)	
Total	\$	548,217,842	209,509,102			\$	548,217,842	\$	0	\$	0	

ATTACHMENT H

June 01, 2010 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

Based on FERC Order on SCE's Revised Transmission Revenue Requirement (ER10-160)

TAC Components:

	Filed Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [1]	Filed Annual TRR New HV Facilities (\$) [2]	Filed Annual Gross Load (MWh) [3]	TAC Area [4]	Total Filed TRR (\$) [5] = [1] + [2]	EHVF only Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [6] = [1]/[3]	EHVF only TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [7] = [21]	HV Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [8] = [5] / [3]	TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [9] = [19]
PGE	\$ 160,324,704	\$ 209,625,978	90,326,715	Ν	\$ 369,950,682	\$ 1.7749	\$ 2.4513	\$ 4.0957	\$ 5.1299
SCE	\$ 241,066,782	\$ 256,982,193	89,286,280	EC	\$ 498,048,975	\$ 2.6999	\$ 2.4513	\$ 5.5781	\$ 5.1299
SDGE	\$ 50,179,426	\$ 58,598,134	21,965,835	S	\$ 108,777,560	\$ 2.2844	\$ 2.4513	\$ 4.9521	\$ 5.1299
Anaheim	\$ 23,669,575	\$ -	2,766,313	EC	\$ 23,669,575	\$ 8.5564	\$ 2.4513	\$ 8.5564	\$ 5.1299
Azusa	\$ 1,623,004	\$ -	239,575	EC	\$ 1,623,004	\$ 6.7745	\$ 2.4513	\$ 6.7745	\$ 5.1299
Banning	\$ 1,151,110	\$ -	139,457	EC	\$ 1,151,110	\$ 8.2542	\$ 2.4513	\$ 8.2542	\$ 5.1299
Pasadena	\$ 12,978,057	\$ -	1,295,096	EC	\$ 12,978,057	\$ 10.0209	\$ 2.4513	\$ 10.0209	\$ 5.1299
Riverside	\$ 20,950,688	\$ -	2,201,147	EC	\$ 20,950,688	9.5181	\$ 2.4513	\$ 9.5181	\$ 5.1299
Vernon	\$ 1,630,724	\$ -	1,288,684	EC	\$ 1,630,724	\$ 1.2654	\$ 2.4513	\$ 1.2654	\$ 5.1299
Atlantic P15	\$ -	\$ 30,565,537	-	Ν	\$ 30,565,537	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5.1299
Startrans	\$ 5,421,188	\$ -	-	EC	\$ 5,421,188	\$ -	\$ 2.4513	\$ -	\$ 5.1299
ISO Total	\$ 518,995,258	\$ 555,771,842	209,509,102		\$ 1,074,767,100				

STEP 1: Calculate the Access Charge Rate for each TAC Area.

TAC-Area portion is the percent of Total TRR in each area which has not yet transitioned to the ISO (0%) divided by the Total Load of each area. The ISO portion is the percent of all TRR which has transitioned to ISO-Wide (100%), plus the TRR of New HV Facilities, divided by total load.

	Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [10] = [1]	Annual TAC Area TRR (\$) [11] =[10] x 0%	Annual TAC Area TRR (w/Load) (\$) [11B] = ([10] w/Load) x 0%	Annual Gross Load (MWH) [12] = [3]	TAC Area Rate (\$/MWH) [13] =[11]/[12]	TAC Area Rate (TRR w/Load) (\$/MWH) [138] = [118]/[12]						Exist	ing HV	N	lew HV
North	\$ 160,324,704	•	\$-	90,326,715		\$ -			TAC Rate		eling Rate		cilites		acilites
East/C South	\$ 308,491,128 \$ 50,179,426	•	Ψ	97,216,552 21,965,835		\$ - \$ -			(TAC Area + ISO Wide)	•	AC Area O Wide)	. ,	only TAC		IVF) only AC Rate
Total	\$ 518,995,258	•	\$-	209,509,102	Ψ	Ψ			(\$/MWH)		/MWH)		лwн)		S/MWH)
									[19]		[20]		21]		[22]
	ISO Wide TRR		ISO Wide TRR	ISO Wide	ISO	EHVF		North	=[13] + [17] \$ 5.1299		= [19] 5.1299		B]] + [18] 2.4513	= <i>l</i> \$	[15]/[16] 2.6527
	Existing	ISO Wide TRR	New	Annual	Wide	ISO-Wide Rate	\geq	East/Central			5.1299		2.4513	\$	2.6527
	HV Facilities	EHVF w/Load	HV Facilities	Gross Load	Rate	TRR w/Load only	(South	\$ 5.1299	\$	5.1299	\$	2.4513	\$	2.6527
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(MWH)	(\$/MWH)	(\$/MWH)									
	[14]	[14B]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]									
	Total ([10]) x 100%	Total ([10] w/Load) x 100%	= Total [2]	= Total [3]	= ([14] + [15]) / [16]	=[14B] / [16]									
ISO-wide	\$ 518,995,258	\$ 513,574,070	\$ 555,771,842	209,509,102	\$ 5.1299	\$ 2.4513									

June 01, 2010 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 2: Calculate the HV Access Charge the UDC/MSS pays on Filed Gross Load and Benefit/Burden. Note: ISO total for (Benefit)/Burden may not equal zero due to rounding of TAC Rate.

	TAC Area [23] = [4]	Filed Gross Load (MWH) [24] = [3]		EHVF only TAC Rate (\$/MWH) [25] = [7]	Amount Paid Based on Filed Gross Load (\$) [26] = [24] x [25]	EHVF only Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH) [27] = [6]			ould Have Paid v/ EHVF Utility Specific Rate (\$) [28] = [24] x [27]	EHVF Access Charge Benefit)/Burden (\$) [29] = [26] - [28]
PGE	N	90,326,715	\$	2.4513	\$ 221,419,777	\$	1.7749	\$	160,324,704	\$ 61,095,073
SCE	EC	89,286,280	\$	2.4513	\$ 218,869,337	\$	2.6999	\$	241,066,782	\$ (22,197,445)
SDGE	S	21,965,835	\$	2.4513	\$ 53,845,313	\$	2.2844	\$	50,179,426	\$ 3,665,887
Anaheim	EC	2,766,313	\$	2.4513	\$ 6,781,121	\$	8.5564	\$	23,669,575	\$ (16,888,454)
Azusa	EC	239,575	\$	2.4513	\$ 587,275	\$	6.7745	\$	1,623,004	\$ (1,035,729)
Banning	EC	139,457	\$	2.4513	\$ 341,854	\$	8.2542	\$	1,151,110	\$ (809,256)
Pasadena	EC	1,295,096	\$	2.4513	\$ 3,174,696	\$	10.0209	\$	12,978,057	\$ (9,803,361)
Riverside	EC	2,201,147	\$	2.4513	\$ 5,395,718	\$	9.5181	\$	20,950,688	\$ (15,554,970)
Vernon	EC	1,288,684	\$	2.4513	\$ 3,158,978	\$	1.2654	\$	1,630,724	\$ 1,528,254
Startrans	EC	-	\$	2.4513	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0
ISO Total		209,509,102			\$ 513,574,070			\$	513,574,070	\$ (0)

STEP 3: For Information Only -- Projected annual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge for Existing Facilities. \$32/32/8 million cap for IOUs; munis are held harmless; IOUs pay muni cost increases in proportion to their cap relative to the total cap.

	EHVF Access Charge (Benefit)/Burden (\$) [30] = [29]		IOU Burden Annual Cap (\$) [31]	10	Amount s' Cap Exceeds OUs' Burden (\$) [32] F(31] - [30] > 0) = [31] - [30]. If no cap, then 0.		Amount IOU's Burden (\$) [33] IF[30] - [31] >0 = [30] - [31]. If no cap, then 0.	(Payments by Entities with Net Benefit (\$) [34] 10Us = [32] / tota[32] × tota[33]. /unis w/ Benefit= [30] / tota[30]) tota[33] - tota[32]		Mitigation Payments (\$) [35] = [34] - [33]	(Adjusted Net Benefit) / Burden (\$) [36] = [30] + [35]	IC to	Reallocation IOU Burden (\$) [37] Reallocate DU Burden [39] so it is proportional IOU Cap [31] = [39] - [36]		Transition Charge (\$) [38] = [35] + [37]	·	Adjusted Net nefit) / Burden (\$) [39] = [36] + [37]	((\$	ansition Charge Rate (/MWh) [40] [38] / [24]
PGE	\$	61,095,073 \$	32,000,000		0		29,095,072.9087	\$	0	\$	(29,095,073)		32,000,000	\$	(12,403,658)	\$	X // - /	\$	19,596,342		(0.4594)
SCE SDGE	\$ ¢	(22,197,445) \$ 3,665,887 \$	32,000,000 8,000,000		54,197,445	\$ \$	0	\$	28,355,748 2,267,579	\$ \$	28,355,748 2,267,579	\$ \$	6,158,303 5,933,467	\$	13,438,039 (1,034,381)	\$ ¢	41,793,787 1,233,198	\$ \$	19,596,342 4,899,085	\$ \$	0.4681 0.0561
Anaheim	φ Φ	(16,888,454) \$	8,000,000	¢.	4,334,113 0	ф Ф	0	φ ¢	2,207,579	¢ ¢	2,207,579	φ \$, ,	ф Ф	(1,034,301)	\$	1,233,198	φ Φ	(16,888,454)	-	0.0501
Araneim Azusa	¢ ¢	(1,035,729) \$	0	¢	0	φ ¢	0	φ ¢	0	φ Φ	0	ф \$	(1,035,729)	•	0	φ Φ	0	ф Ф	(1,035,729)		0
Banning	¢ ¢	(809,256) \$	0	ֆ Տ	0	φ Φ	0	φ	0	φ Φ	0	φ ¢	(1,035,729) (809,256)	•	0	φ Φ	0	φ Φ	(809,256)		0
•	φ Φ		-	Ţ	-	ወ	0	ф Ф	0	φ ¢	-	ф Ф			0	ф Ф	-	ф Ф			0
Pasadena	\$	(9,803,361) \$	0	\$	0	Ф	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(9,803,361)	•	0	Þ	0	\$	(9,803,361)		0
Riverside	\$	(15,554,970) \$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(,	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(15,554,970)		0
Vernon	\$	1,528,254 \$	0	\$	0	\$	1,528,254	\$	0	\$	(1,528,254)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(1,528,254)	\$	0		(1.1859)
Startrans	\$	0 \$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Total	\$	0\$	72,000,000	\$	58,531,558	\$	30,623,327	\$	30,623,327	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0		

June 01, 2010 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 4: For	Info	rmation Only P	rojected annual net	ben	efits/burdens fro	m /	Access Charge fo	r Ne	w Facilities and	d To	tal projected ani	nual net benefits/burdens from Access
	File	d Annual TRR	ISO Wide		New		New HVTRR		NHVF		Total	
	New		Annual	HVTRR		Cost			ccess Charge	Ad	ccess Charge	
	HV Facilities Gross		Gross Load	Rate		Responsibility			enefit)/Burden	(B	enefit)/Burden	
	(\$) (N		(MWh)	(\$/MWH)			(\$)		(\$)		(\$)	
		[41]	[42]		[43]		[44]		[45]		[46]	
		= [2]	=[3]		= ([15]) / [16]		= ([42]) * [43]		= ([44]) - [41]		= ([45]) + [39]	
PGE	\$	209,625,978	90,326,715	\$	2.6527	\$	239,612,715	\$	29,986,737	\$	49,583,079	
SCE	\$	256,982,193	89,286,280	\$	2.6527	\$	236,852,718	\$	(20,129,475)	\$	(533,133)	
SDGE	\$	58,598,134	21,965,835	\$	2.6527	\$	58,269,509	\$	(328,625)	\$	4,570,461	
Anaheim	\$	-	2,766,313	\$	2.6527	\$	7,338,292	\$	7,338,292	\$	(9,550,162)	
Azusa	\$	-	239,575	\$	2.6527	\$	635,529	\$	635,529	\$	(400,200)	
Banning	\$	-	139,457	\$	2.6527	\$	369,942	\$	369,942	\$	(439,313)	
Pasadena	\$	-	1,295,096	\$	2.6527	\$	3,435,545	\$	3,435,545	\$	(6,367,816)	
Riverside	\$	-	2,201,147	\$	2.6527	\$	5,839,057	\$	5,839,057	\$	(9,715,913)	
Vernon	\$	-	1,288,684	\$	2.6527	\$	3,418,535	\$	3,418,535	\$	3,418,535	
Atlantic P15	\$	30,565,537	0	\$	2.6527	\$	0	\$	(30,565,537)	\$	(30,565,537)	
Total	\$	555,771,842	209,509,102			\$	555,771,842	\$	0	\$	0	